

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from the University of Saskatchewan, I agree that the libraries of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by the academicians and professors who supervised my thesis work or, in their absence, by the Head of the Department or the Dean of the College in which my thesis work was done. It is understood that any copying or publication of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and the University of Saskatchewan for any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of the material in this thesis, in whole or part, should be addressed to:

Head of the Department of Biochemistry

University of Saskatchewan

Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 0W0

ABSTRACT

Preventative immunotherapeutic treatments have been an area of great interest to combat infectious disease because of the ability to stimulate the host's immune system which prepares the host to fight pathogenic microbes. The immunotherapeutic approach requires the use of an immune stimulating molecule that is able to boost the host's immune response. A major problem exists that these immune stimulating molecules are often very expensive and require a large dose to be effective. To reduce the cost of using these molecules, a delivery system can be used which is able to lower the effective dose of the immune stimulant while not causing any toxic effects towards the host's health. In this study, the immune stimulating molecules synthetic unmethylated cytidine-phosphate-guanosine oligodeoxynucleotides were attached non-covalently to multi-walled carbon nanotubes. The use of carbon nanotubes as a delivery mechanism could result in a lower effective dose able to stimulate a protective immune response in a chicken model. In this study, we first assessed which of the non-covalent linkages was ideal for linking the immune stimulant to the carbon nanotubes. This was conducted by looking at which method of linkage would allow the best cellular proliferation and transcriptional activation of selected innate immune genes. Once an appropriate linkage method had been selected, cellular uptake studies were conducted to establish that cytidine-phosphate-guanosine oligodeoxynucleotides were delivered to intracellular target receptors. After cellular uptake was demonstrated, it was important that the carbon nanotubes linked to the immune stimulant do not cause toxicity towards the host. To measure toxicity, *in vitro* studies were conducted to observe cell viability post treatment with carbon nanotube linked immune stimulant. Further studies were conducted on any alterations to the immune stimulants' ability to activate immune cells by studying the pathway of macrophage activation. The protective ability of the molecules was then measured by the ability to protect chickens from a lethal challenge with *S. typhimurium*. Once the protective nature of the molecules was established, the mechanism of immune stimulation was examined by *in vivo* cell recruitment and *in vitro* cytokine production. These studies indicate that linking cytidine-phosphate-guanosine oligodeoxynucleotides to carbon nanotubes can lower the effective dose of the immune stimulant without altering the biological function of the molecule.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Palok Aich for giving me the opportunity to work on this exciting and innovative project. As well I would like to thank him for his guidance, patience, knowledge, advice and support.

I would also like to thank the members of my graduate committee: Dr. Volker Gerdts, Dr. Ramji Khandelwal, Dr. Jeremy Lee and Dr. Robert Warrington. Thanks for your comments and suggestions.

I would like to send a big thanks to the animal care staff at VIDO who took excellent care of the chickens used in this project. I would also like to thank Dr. Brenda Allan and Dr. Susantha Gomis for their advice and technical support. A special thanks to Jamille Heer, Yuriy Popowych and Wayne Conner for their help and technical support.

This project was funded by Advancing Canadian Agriculture, Agri-Food Saskatchewan (ACAAFS), Alberta Funding Consortium , Saskatchewan Chicken Industry Development Fund (SCIDF) and Poultry Industry Council (PIC) of Canada. CpG ODNs were provided by Merial Inc.(USA). Confocal Fluorescence Microscopy was performed at the facility of Western College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Saskatchewan. The Raman Spectroscopy was performed at the Saskatchewan Structural Science Center, University of Saskatchewan by Jason Maley.

Table of Contents

Permission to use	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
List of Abbreviations	x
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Background	3
2.1 The immune system	3
2.1.1 General features of innate and adaptive immunity	3
2.1.2 Cellular elements of immune responses	5
2.2 Immune stimulation	7
2.2.1 Benefits of immune stimulation	8
2.2.2 Immunotherapy	9
2.2.3 Challenges of immunotherapy	10
2.3 Immune stimulatory and immunotherapeutic molecules	12
2.3.1 CpG ODN –An example of an immune stimulant	13
2.3.2 Host responses to CpG ODN	17
2.3.2.1 Chicken immune response and CpG ODN	19
2.4 Nanotechnology	20
2.4.1 Status of nanotechnology	20
2.4.2 Promise of nanotechnology and medicinal application	22
2.4.3 Nanobiotechnology	24
3.0 Hypothesis	26

4.0 Objectives and specific aims	27
5.0 Materials and Methods	28
5.1 Cell culture	28
5.1.1 Splenic lymphocytes	28
5.1.2 Chicken macrophage cell line HD11	28
5.2 Linkage of carbon nanotube to CpG ODN	29
5.2.1 Via Adsorption	29
5.2.1.1 Adsorption with a linker	29
5.2.1.2 Direct adsorption	29
5.2.2 Via Electrostatic interactions	29
5.2.3 Dialysis of MWCNT-CpG ODN	30
5.2.4 CpG ODN	31
5.2.5 Biophysical characterization of the formulation	31
5.2.5.1 Raman spectroscopy	31
5.2.5.2 Fluorescence measurements	31
5.3 Cellular proliferation	31
5.4 Comparative cellular uptake	32
5.4.1 Labeling of CpG ODN and MWCNT-CpG ODN	32
5.4.2 Confocal microscopy	32
5.7 Toxicity assays	33
5.7.1 Cell viability	33
5.7.2 Measurement of nitric oxide and peroxide production	33
5.8 Comparative analysis of inhibition of NO production	35
5.8.1 Endocytosis and endosomal maturation	35

5.8.2 Inhibition of MAPK pathway signal transduction	35
5.9 Protection of chickens against <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> infection	35
5.10 <i>In vivo</i> cell recruitment	36
5.11 Characterization of surface antigen expression	36
5.12 Innate immune gene expression	37
6.0 Results	41
6.1 Optimization of the carbon nanotube linked CpG ODN molecules	41
6.1.1 Cellular proliferation	41
6.1.2 Gene expression	41
6.2 Biophysical characterization of the formulation	45
6.2.1 Raman spectroscopy	45
6.2.2 Fluorescence measurements	45
6.3 Cellular uptake	48
6.4 <i>In vitro</i> toxicity	54
6.4.1 Cell viability	54
6.4.2 Free radical production	54
6.4.3 Production of nitric oxide	56
6.5 Inhibition of induced nitric oxide production in macrophages	61
6.5.1 Endocytosis and endosomal maturation	61
6.5.2 Nitric oxide signaling pathway	63
6.6 <i>In vivo</i> studies	66
6.6.1 Protection study	66
6.6.2 Cell recruitment	69
6.7 Surface antigens	73

6.8 Innate immune gene expression	75
7.0 Discussion and Conclusion	78
7.1 Selection of the best conjugate	78
7.2 Cellular uptake	82
7.3 Toxicity	84
7.4 Comparison of free and MWCNT linked CpG ODN's biological function	87
7.5 <i>In vivo</i> studies: Protection of chickens against bacterial infection and cell recruitment .	91
7.6 Comparative innate immune gene expression between free and linked CpG ODN	93
7.7 Future directions	94
8.0 List of references	97

LIST OF TABLES

5.1 Primers for chicken genes 38

6.1 Cell recruitment severity 70

6.2 Overview of cell infiltration scores for subgroups 71

7.1 Percent of CpG ODN conjugated to MWCNT 81

LIST OF FIGURES

6.1 Cellular proliferation following stimulation with MWCNT-CpG ODN	42
6.2 Relative fold changes in select innate immune gene expression	43
6.3 Raman spectroscopy	46
6.4 Fluorescence spectra	47
6.5 Confocal microscopy of uptake by HD11 cells	49
6.6 <i>In vitro</i> viability studies with chicken macrophage cells (HD11)	55
6.7 Peroxide production of treated HD11 cells	56
6.8 Nitrite production by chicken macrophage cells (HD11)	58
6.9 Inhibition of nitric oxide production – endocytosis and endosomal maturation	62
6.10 Inhibition of nitric oxide production – iNOS and PKC	64
6.11 Inhibition of nitric oxide production – p38, MEK 1/2 and NF- κ B	65
6.12 Survival graph of <i>S. typhimurium</i> challenged chickens	67
6.13 Survival of chickens at lower doses	68
6.14 Cell recruitment scores	72
6.15 MHC I and MHC II antigen expression on HD11 cells	74
6.16 Fold changes of select innate immune genes	77

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFM	Atomic Force Microscopy
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
AP	Activator protein
APC	Antigen presenting cell
BIEC	Bovine intestinal epithelial cell
cDNA	Complimentary deoxyribonucleic acid
CpG	Cytidine-phosphate-guanosine
DMEM	Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium
DMF	N,N-Dimethylformamide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ERK	Extracellular receptor kinase
FBS	Fetal bovine serum
GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
HD11	Avian MC29 virus-transformed chicken macrophage cell
IFN- α	Interferon-alpha
IFN- β	Interferon-beta
IFN- γ	Interferon-gamma
Ig	Immunoglobulin
IKK	I κ B kinase
IL	Interleukin
iNOS	Inducible Nitric-Oxide Synthase
IRAK1	Interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase-1
IRF	Interferon regulatory factor
I κ B	Phosphorylation inhibitor
LN	Lymph node
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
mDC	Myeloid dendritic cell

MDC	Monodansylcadaverine
MEK	MAPK/ERK kinase
MHC	Major histocompatibility complex
MTT	3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide
MWCNT	Multi-walled carbon nanotube
MWCO	Molecular weight cut off
MyD88	Myeloid differentiation factor 88
NF- κ B	Necrosis-factor-kappa-beta
NO	Nitric oxide
OD	Optical density
ODN	Oligodeoxynucleotide
p38	Protein 38
p65	Protein 65
PAL	Poly-allylamine hydrochloride
PAMP	Pathogen-associated molecular pattern
PBMC	Peripheral blood mononuclear cell
PBS	Phosphate buffer saline
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
pDC	Plasmacytoid dendritic cell
PEI	Polyethyleneimine
PKC	Protein kinase C
PRR	Pattern recognition receptor
PySE	Pyrenebutanoic acid, succinimidyl ester
qRT-PCR	Quantitative real time -polymerase chain reaction
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
SQ	Subcutaneous
ssODN	Single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotides
Th	Helper T cells
TICAM	Toll-like receptor adaptor molecule

TLR

Toll-like receptor

TNF

Tumour-necrosis factor

TRAF

Tumour-necrosis factor- α receptor activated factor