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A STUDY OF ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION IN RELATION TO THE ECONOMIC LAND CLASSIFICATION IN TWELVE RURAL MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN.

In the summer of 1937 a land economic survey was conducted in twelve rural municipalities and one local improvement district (1) in south central Saskatchewan.. The purpose of this survey was, "to delimit and to give an economic appraisal of bodies of land homogenous in physical characteristics and potential capabilitiesy (2) It was an economic land classification of which the quarter section of land was the unit of appraisal. It is the purpose of this study to relate some of the problems of taxation to the various land classes delimited in this survey. An attempt will be made to present a practical application in the use of Land Classification in the solution of problems confronting taxation authorities.

At least 80 per cent of the total farm income in these municipalities was derived from wheat. (3) Suitability and capacity for wheat production, therefore, was the basis of this
(1) Rural Municipalities of: Willow Bunch, No 42, Lake of the Rivers, No 72, Stonehenge, No 73; Elmsthorope, No 100; Terrell, No 101; Lake Johnston, No 102; Sutton, No 103; Baildon, No 131; Hilsborough, No 132, Rodgers, No 133; Caron, No 162; Wheatlands, No 163;
(2) Mreliminary report on the Classification of Land Areas Based on Suitability for wheat Production in Thirteen Rural Municipalities, South Central Saskatchewan." Unpublished Report, July 1838. A cooperative project of the Economics Division and the Dept. of Farm Management of the University of Saskatchewan, p. 1.

Note: Hereafter references to this report shall be referred to a "Preliminary Report, July 1938".
(3) Ibid, page 2
economic land classification. Since wheat growing was the major enterprise in this area for the past quarter century it may be reasonably assumed that wheat will continue to occupy the same importance in the farm economy of this area for the next decade or two.

The area under discussion is located west, southwest and southeast of the city of Moose Jaw and extending south to within eighteen miles of the international border. It lies adjacent and west of a lblock of seven municipalities covered in a similar land economic survey the previous summer. It contains approximately 2,420,000 acres of land.

Five different land classes were established on the basis of varying potential productivity. Every quarter section within the area was placed in one of the five classes according to its estimated productivity based on the history of wheat yields of the predominating soil type of the quarter for the past sixteen years and its arable acreage. Any quarter which was not considered capable of producing for sale annually (production less 1.5 bushels for seed) 350 bushels of wheat was classified as'submarginal for wheat or Land Class I. The Limits of bushels of wheat for aale for the other classes are shown in Table I.
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Table I The Limits of Bushels of Wheat for Sale Per Quarter Section in the Five Land Classes.

| Land classes | Approximate range in bushels <br> of wheat for sale per <br> quarter section. |
| :--- | :--- | Description


| I | Under 350 | Better adapted to <br> grazing than wheat <br> production. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| II | 351 to 475 | Marginal for wheat |
| III | 476 to 720 | Fair wheat land |
| IV | 721 to 900 | Good wheat land |
| V | 900 and over | Excellent wheat <br> land. |

SOURCE OF DATA
The data regarding assessments and taxetion were taken from informaton obtained on the land classification study. Additional material was obtained from the Annual Municipal Reports and special tabulations provided for this study by the Department of Municipal Affairs.

## TAXES, A FARM EXPENSE

Taxes have always been an important item in the farm budget. They are an annual charge against the farm revenue varying very little from year to year when compared with farm revenues. A certain tax levy may decide the marginal position of land with respect to certain uses as wheat growing, for example. If a farmer of average efficiency, after paying allhis cash operating expenses, living costs, and allowing for a fair depreciation on his capital exclusive of land, has just
enough cash left to pay his taxes he is said to be operating a farm on a ' marginal land' which cannot bring enough revenue over a period of years to pay any interest on the capital investment.

Table II shows the relative position that taxes occupy with respect to farm cash expenses in the different farm units of southern Saskatchewan where wheat supplies more than 80 per cent of the farm gross revenue.

Table II Taxes as a Percentage of Farm Cash Expenses in Areas where Wheat Supplies 80 per cent and over of the Gross Farm Revenue. (1)

| District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Harm cash } \\ & \text { expenses } \end{aligned}$ | Taction | rm <br> Per cent taxes of farm cash | are expenses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Davidson and Crals | \$461 | \$75 | 16.3 |  |
| Gravelbourg | 419 | 86 | 20.5 |  |
| Rosemount - Reford | 489 | 94 | 19.2 |  |
| Kindersley - Eston | 519 | 92 | 17.7 |  |
| Regina and Rosetown | 666 | 114 | 17.1 |  |
| SECTION FARM |  |  |  |  |
| Davidson and Craik | \$1212 | $\$ 150$ | 12.4 |  |
| Gravelbourg | 1180 | 172 | 14.6 |  |
| Rosemount - Reford | 1280 | 188 | 14.7 |  |
| Kindersley - Eston | 1344 | 184 | 13.7 |  |
| Regina and Rosetown | 1687 | 228 | 13.5 |  |
| (Budget for | THREE QUARTER SECTION FARM ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |
| Marginal areas) | * 604 | 159 | 26.3 |  |

(1) Figures taken from "Studies of Probable Ket Farm Revenues for the Principal Soil Types of Saskatchewan." W. Allen, E. C. Hope, F. C. Hitchcock, Univ. of Sask. Agric. Extension Bulzetin No 64.
(2) Figures taken from "A Budget" for three quarter section farms on marginal areas in southern Saskatchewan constructed by the Farm Management Department, Univ of Sask and Agricultural Economics Div. Dept. of Agric. cooperating.

One-half and one section farms are considered. On one-half section farms taxes make up from 16.3 to 20.5 per cent of he farm cost expenses in the Davidson-Craik and Gravelbourg districts respectiely. Less variation is shown on one section farms where the proportion of taxes in relation to farm expenses is also lower than that on the half-section farms. Here the range is from 12.4 per cent in the Davidson - Craik to 14.7 per cent in the Rosemount-Reford areas. on the three -quarter section farms in marginal areas of the south taxes occupy a very prominent part in the farm budget. Here they are 26.3 per cent of the farm expenses. In other words, more than one-quarter of cash costs in marginal lands are spent on local government. This fact reveals the inability of inferior areas in our Province to support an adequate standard of public services such as schools, roads, telephones and the like. The above table also indicates that local taxation falls relatively more heavily on the smaller farm units than on the larger units. MUNICIPALITIES AND LAND CLASSES The basis of land classification in southern Saskatchewan has already been explained and it would be interesting to note what proportion of the land falls into different Land Classes in each municipality. The amount of revenue that a rural municipality may expect to raise from year to year depends entirely on the quality of assessable land within its borders. The higher the proportion of good land found in a municipality the better will be its tax collections. It has beendemonstrated that even during the periods of drouths better land has usually produced some thing for saile, whereas inferior class of land
under identically the same conditions failed to produce even feed.

The proportion of different land classes within the twelve municipalities is given in Table III.

Table III. PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL AREA IN EACH LAND CLASS BY RURAL MUNICIPALITIES -- TWELVE MONICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN. Economic 3survey 1937 (1)

| Rural <br> Municipalities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.M. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Total Acreage | Land Classes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I | II | III | IV | V |
|  | (per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caron | 162 | 141,142 | 33 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 38 |
| Wheatlands | 163 | 204,786 | 55 | 24 | 18 | 3 | $\frac{3}{4}$. |
| Baildon | 131 | 205,613 | 29 | 12 | 24 | 19 | 16 |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 113,207 | 73 | 18 | 5 | 4 | . |
| Rodgers | 133 | 177,015 | 51 | 10 | 23 | 16 | - |
| EImsthorpe | 100 | 206,363 | 32 | 20 | 39 | 9 | - |
| Terrell | 101 | 211,457 | 45 | 18 | 32 | 5 |  |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 137,459 | 24 | 8 | 36 | 31 | 1 |
| Sutton        <br> Lake of the 103 205,488 10 9 22 56 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 245,354 | 6 | 1 | 36 | 55 | 2 |
| Willow Bunch | 42 | 249,316 | 25 | 9 | 40 | 26 | - |

Of the best type of land viz - Land Class V, Caron has 38 per cent as compared with only one per cent in Lake Johnstone None of this 'excellent wheat land'is found in Wheatlands, Hillsborough Rodgers, Elmsthorpe or Willow Bunch. When the two upper land classes, i. e, - Land Class IV and V are combined
(1) "Preliminary Report, July 1938," p. 16

Sutton comes fir¥t with 59 per cent of its area classified as better than the average for wheat production. (Iand Class III considered as average land.) Stonehenge follows a close second with 57 per cent, Lake of the Rivers next with 52 per cent and Hillsborough comes last with only four per cent of its area having a rating better than the average for wheat production.

In Table IV the twelve municipalities are divided into two groups $A$ and $B$, on the basis of their long time average productivity in bushels of wheat for sale per quarter section of land.

Table IV TWELVE RURAL MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN
ARRANGED ACCORDING TO AVERAGE PRODUCIIVITY PER QUARTER SECTION AND WITH CORRESPONDING PERCENTAGES OF THEIR AREAS IN LAND CLASS I AND II.

| Rur 1 Municipality | R.M <br> No | Average productivity <br> per quarter section total area in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Land Classes <br> (bushels) |


|  | Sroup As |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 719 | 7 |
| Lake of the Rivers | 72 | 702 | 20 |
| Sutton | 103 | 689 | 19 |
| Caron | 162 | 601 | 41 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 573 | 32 |
| Baildon | 131 | 567 | 41 |
| Willow Bunch | 42 | 555 | 34 |
| Groun B |  |  |  |
| Elmsthorpe | 100 | 475 | 52 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 408 | 61 |
| Terrell | 101 | 407 | 63 |
| Wheatlands | 163 | 345 | 79 |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 266 | 91 |

© Group A - R. M's with less than 50 per cent of their area in Land Classes I and II
(0) Group B - R. IM's with more than 50 per cent of their area in Land Classes I and II

Group A includes all those municipalities which have less than 50 per cent of their area in Land Class I and II, and Group B more than 50 per cent in Land Class I and II In Group A Stenehenge occupied the top position with only seven per cent of its total aland area included in Land Class I and II, and having an average productivity of 719 bushels per quarter. Willow Bunch comes last in this group with 34 per cent of its area in Land Class I and II having an aderage productivity of 555 bushels per quarter. It will be noticed that both Baildon and Caron have a higher percentage of their land in Land Class I and II than Willow Bunch and yet have a higher average productivity per quarter. This is explained by the fact that they both contain a fair percentage of the heavy soil types which tend to augment the average productivity per quarter for the whole municipality. Elmsthorpe in the Group B has just a little more than one-half or 52 per cent of its area classed as Land Class I and II. Its average productivity per quarter is 475 bushels which is just at the upper limit of Land Class II. Hillsborough occupies the last position with 91 per cent of its land being classified as I and II for wheat production. Its average productivity is 266 bushels of wheat. Wheatlands the second last has 79 per cent of its area in Land Class I and II with an average productivity of 345 bushels, just about on the boundary line between Land Class 9 I and II.

## TAX INDEBTEDNESS

Numerous studies of rural tax delinquency have been made in the older settled parts of eastern $C_{a n a d a}$ and the United States. It is not the intention of this paper to go into the causes of tax delinquency. It is intended merely to point out some of the relationships that exist between tax delinquency and Land Classes of the twelve municipalities in Southern Saskatchewan.

Table $V$ presents the picture of tax collections as a percentage of total tax levies over a period of sixteen years by the agove two groups of municipalities.

Table $V$ HISTORY OF TAX COLEECTIONS FOR TWELVE RURAL MUNICIPALITIES IN SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN FROM 1921 to 1936.

| Year | Group A |  | Group B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total current | Collections as | Total current | Collections |
|  | tax levy | percentage of | tax levy | as percentag |
|  | (Dollers) | levy (Percent) | (Dollars) | $\qquad$ |
| 1921 | 506,125 | 84.4 | 261,679 | 51.1 |
| 1922 | 478,646 | 115.7 | 211,466 | 111.7 |
| 1923 | 477, 751 | 102.0 | 220,128 | 94.5 |
| 1924 | 467,487 | 110.9 | 213,886 | 107.1 |
| 1925 | 484,909 | 103.9 | 215,540 | 104.8 |
| 1926 | 534,815 | 107.4 | 229,136 | 96.8 |
| 1927 | 548,078 | 97.3 | 243,183 | 98.3 |
| 1928 | 601,212 | 100.5 | 261,514 | 103.5 |
| 1929 | 587,253 | 75.7 | 272,804 | 70.5 |
| 1930 | 598,116 | 61.8 | 270,191 | 59.8 |
| 1931 | 487,214 | 36.7 | 221,414 | $29.1{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 1932 | 443,989 | 43.8 | 191,800 | 37.4 |
| 1933 | 331,184 | 45.9 | 157,581 | 45.8 |
| 1934 | 311,209 | 60.9 | 154,268 | 35.4 |
| 1935 | 319,369 | 82.4 | 134,858 | 64.1 |
| 1936 | 292,307 | 65.2 | 146,791 | 50.4 |
| 1921-28 | 4,099,023 | 102.5 | 1,856,532 | 95.0 |
| 1929-36 | 3,370,641 | 58.8 | 1,549,707 | 50.2 |
| 1921-36 | 7,469,664 | 82.8 | 3,406,239 | $74.6{ }^{2}$ |

Throughout the period of so - called 'good years' i.e, -1921 to 1928, only in 1925, 1927 and 1928 were the average collections slightly higher in the municipalities of the Group B. The Group A collected 102,5 per cent of thier total current levy for this period as against 95.0 per cent by the $G_{r}$ oup $B$. It would appear, that on the average, from 1921 to 1928 , the $G_{r o u p}$ A managed to collect all their levies plus a portion of the arrears which existed before this period. At the same time the Group B failed to collect any portion of the arrears before this period and came short of five per cent in collecting their total current levy from 1921 to 1928. Durirg the period of dry years,from 1929 to 1936, the Group A collected 58.8 per cent agsinst 50.2 per cent by the Group B. When collections for the whole period of sixteen years are compared the $G_{r}$ oup A collected 82.8 per cent of their total current levy for this period, whereas the Group B collected only 74.6 per cent. It is rather a significant fact to note that during the sixteenyear period, from 1921 to 1936, the total levy of the seven manicipalities in the Group $A$ was more than twice the total levy of the five municipalities in the Group B.

$$
\text { page } 11 \text {, }
$$

Table VI /shows the average tax arrears per farm in the years 1928 and 1936 for the two groups of municipalities. There was not much different shown in the average tax indebtedness per farm in either of the groups in 1928. The outstanding taxes per farm amounted to $\$ 55$ in the Group B as against $\$ 52$ in the Group A.

TABLE VI - TOTAL UNCOLLECTED TAX ARREARS AS AT DECEMBER 3IST 1928 AND 1936 TWELVE MUNICIPALITIES, SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN.

| $\underset{\text { Municipality }}{\text { Rural }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.M. } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | Tax Arrears |  | inumber of farms |  | Arrears: Average per farm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 |
| Group A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willow Bunch | 42 | \$35,699 | \$367,229 | 700 | 482 | \$51 | \$762 |
| Lake of the Rivers | $72$ | 20,320 | 194,937 |  |  | 43 | 508 |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 26,868 | 310,808 | 718 | 554 | 37 | 561 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 26,037 | 138,175 | 310 | 279 | 84 | 477 |
| Sutton | 103 | 29,348 | 113,583 | 492 | 445 | 60 | 255 |
| Baildon | 131 | 25,777 | 183,576 | 355 | 366 | 73 | 508 |
| Caron | 162 | 7,637 | 75,386 | 250 | 285 | 31 | 264 |
| Total - Seven Rural Municipalities |  | \$171,686 | \$1378,634 | 3300 | 2795 | \$52 | \$493 |
| Group B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elmsthorpe | 100 | \$ 36,087 | * 341,992 | 750 | 397 | \$48 | \$861 |
| Terrell | 101 | 23,803 | 208,101 | 600 | 354 | 40 | 588 |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 17,436 | 80,175 | 135 | 111 | 129 | 728 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 21,634 | 96,960 | 280 | 257 | 77 | 377 |
| Wheatlands | 163 | 18,851 | 213,363 | 375 | 323 | 50 | 660 |
| Total - Five Rural Municipalities |  | \$117,811 | \$940,591 | 2140 | 1442 | \$ 55 | \$652 |
| Total - Twelve Municipalit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rural } \\ & \text { ties } \end{aligned}$ | \$289,497 | *2319,225 | 5440 | 4237 | \$ 53 | \$547 |

Hillsborough of the Group $B$ had the highest average tax indebtedness among the twelve municipalities amounting to $\$ 129$ per farm. Caron, of the Group A had the lowest indebtedness which amounted to only $\$ 31$ per farm.

In 1936 the average tax indebtedness per farm in the Group $B$ was $\$ 652$ as against $\$ 493$ in the Group A, a difference of over $\$ 150$. Elmsthorpe of the Group B had the highest average indebtedness amounting to $\$ 861$ per farm as compared with $\$ 762$ in willow Bunch, highest in the Group A. Caron of the Group A had the lowest tax indebtedness per farm, viz: \$264 as against \$377 in
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Rodgers being lowest in the Group B.
Whenthe numbers of farmers in 1928 and 1936 in the two groups of municipalities are examined it is evident that the degree of abandonment in the Group $B$ was much higher than in the Group $A$, being nearly 35 per cent as against a little over 15 per cent in the Group A. Abandonment and tax delinquency are very closely associated. When a farmer is confronted with a total crop failure his taxes, like many other current bills, will remain unpaid, unless he has some cash reserve on hand or another source of income other then the current crop. But when a partial or a total crop failure becomes an annual feature, abandonment is one of the few possible alternatives resulting in a huge amount of tax arrears being charged against the land.

In Table VI it will be observed that by the end of 1936 over $21 / 4$ million of tax arrears were recorded on the books of the twelve municipalities. It is interesting to note what differences existed between the fime Land Classes in the matter of tax arrears. It is true that the better Land Classes in all municipalities carried a higher average assessment fer acre than the poorer ones, this will be shown in a later table, therefore it is quite possible that the absolute tax indebtedness of the higher Land Classes might be greater than that of the lower Land Clases. What is of most interest is the number of years of tax arrears in relation to the various Land Classes.

Table VII illustrates this point.
Table VII. NUMBERS OF YEARS OF TAX DELINQUENCY AS AT DECEMBER 31st, 1936 by LaND CLASBES- ELEVEN RURAL $\operatorname{MONICIRALITIES~}$ SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN.

| Rural <br> Municipality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.M. } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | Land Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | V |
| Caron | 163 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 3.7 | - |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.4 | - |
| Baildon | 131 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 |
| Sutton | 103 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 4.6 | 3.5 | . |
| Terrell | 101 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.1 | -. |
| Ellmsthorpe | 100 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 4.9 | .- |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 2.8 |
| Lake of the Rivers | 72 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Willow Bunch | 42 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 4.8 | - |
| Total 11 R . M's |  | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 2.1 |

NOTE: Wheatlands, No 163, was omitted from this Table since its assessment was scaled down considerably in 1936 and hence the assessment figures of 1936 would not present a true picture of what took place before that year.

The above table gives the average number of years of tax indebtedness for each of the five Land Classes in the whole area as well as in each separate municipality.

Using the figures given in Appendix A an
average annual mill rate was computed for each Municipality for the period 1929-1936. Then taking the assessed value of each Land Class
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an average annual levy by Land Classes was computed for this period duringwhich most of the tax arrears were accumulated. It will be observed from the figures in Apendix A that the total uncollected taxes in 1928 with but one or two exceptions, did not exceed the 1936 current levies which were the lowest during this eight-year period of crop failures. Since the 1936 levies were far below the average levy in each manicipality for the 1929-1936 period it was concluded that most of the tax arrears on all Land Classes were accumulated since 1928. Dividing the total indebtedness of each Land Class by its average annual current levy from 1929-1936 the resulting figure is the number of years of unpaid taxes for the specific Land Class.

In the eleven municipalities no appreciaule difference in tax delinquency is noted between Land Classes I and II, both being approximately six years in arrears. Land Class III was just a fraction over five years in arrears. Land Class IV shows a fair tax collection record, having only 3.8 years of outstanding taxes. Five land has the best tax paying record with only a little over two years of arrears. The ability of Land Class $V$, which is comprised of Regina and sceptre clays, to produce crops in this area even under extremely dry conditions is well illustrated in the above table. Of all the municipalities Caron has the best tax paying history. It has two years of unpaid taxes ona Land Class $V$ and only 4.5 years on Land ${ }^{C}$ lass I. It appears that some of the revenue from the higher grades of land in Caron went to pay taxes on the lower land classes.

Land Cl I portion of its levy from 1929 to 1936 being over eight years in arrears and almost two and one-half years more than the average for the whole area. Land Class II in Lale Johnstone ahad 7.3 years of outstanding taxes which was one and one half years more than the average for this Land Class in the whole area. Next to Caron sutton had a very good record of tax collections. Its Land class I was 5.4 years in arrears which was less than the average for the group. Gand Class II in Sutton was the least behind in taxes having only 3.8 years of arrears or exactly two years less than the average for this class. In the same Municipality Land Class III was 3.2 years in ar rears, just a small fraction of a year under Caron the Best in this group. Land Class IV was 2.6 years in arrears as against two years in Caron and Sutton's small portion of Land Class $V$ had only one year of tax arrears, a record which was only equalled by the same land class in Baildon.

Another comparison of tax delinquency between the land classes is the ratio that tax arrears bear to the assessed value. Table VIII presents the percentage tax delinquency is of the assessed value by land classes for all parcels other than those with nominal assessment for pasture lease taxation purposes, such land being assessed at $\$ 2.00$ an acre.

Table VIII PER CENT TAX INDEBTEDNESS AS AT DECEMBER 31, 1936, AS OF ASSESSED VALUE BY LAND CLASSES, TWELVE RURAL MUNICIPALITTES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN (1)

| Land Class | No of parcels | Tax Indeb bedness as a <br> percentage of assessed value. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 3555 | 10.7 |
| II | 1859 | 10.1 |
| III | 4042 | 8.6 |
| IV | 3461 | 6.3 |
| V | 876 | 2.9 |
| All classes | 13793 | 7.6 |

The ratios follow the same order as those in Table VII which expersses tax indebtedness in number of years. Indebtedness on Land Class I amounted to 10.7 per cent of the assessed value and wheat on the excellent/land 2.9 per cent.
(1) "Preliminary Report, July 1938 ", p 47.

RELIEF INDEBTEDNESS
During the widespread drouth in southern Saskatchewan which began in 1929 the Provincial and Dominion Government have borne a large share of relief costs of the rural municipalities founi in the drought area. Seed grain fodder as well as direct relief were supplied to the needy families. Table IX indicates the amount of outstanding government and municipal relief per farm as well as per capita at the end of 1936.

TABLE IX - TOTAL OUTSTANDING RELIEF, DECEMBER 31ST 1936, TWELVE RURAL MUNICIPALITIES, SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN.

| Rural <br> Municipality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.M. } \mathrm{M} \text {. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Total relief <br> (1) | No.of farms | Popu1ation | Average per farm | Average per capita |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willow Bunch | 42 | \$652,452 | 482 | 2446 | \$1354 | \$268 |
| Lake of the Rivers | 72 | 256,493 | 384 | 1429 | 668 | 179 |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 751,909 | 554 | 2557 | 1357 | 294 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 203,612 | 279 | 1197 | 730 | 170 |
| Sutton | 103 | 404,213 | 445 | 1871 | 908 | 216 |
| Baildon | 131 | 281,611 | 366 | 1421 | 769 | 198 |
| Caron | 162 | 122,717 | 285 | 1053 | 431 | 117 |
| Total - Seven Rural Municipalities |  | \$2673,007 | 2795 | 11,974 | - 956 | \$223 |
| Group B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elmsthorpe | 100 | \$ 514,588 | 397 | 2023 | \$1296 | \$254 |
| Terrell | 101 | 340,761 | 354 | 1610 | 963 | 212 |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 94,265 | 111 | 475 | 849 | 199 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 217,161 | 257 | 998 | 845 | 218 |
| Wheatlands | 163 | 278,169 | 323 | 991 | 861 | 281 |
| Total: Five R. M.'s |  | 1444,944 | 1442 | 6095 | \$1002 | \$237 |
| Total: TwelveR. M. 's |  | \$4117,951 | 4237 | 18,069 | 972 | 228 |

(1) Annual Reports: Saskatchewan ${ }_{\text {eppartment of Municipal }}$ Affairs.

The average relief debt in Group A was $\$ 956$ per farm and $\$ 223$ per capita, whereas in Group B it was $\$ 1002$ per farm and $\$ 237$ per capita. Thus when the groups as a whole were considered the average relief indebtedness was about $\$ 50$ per farm and $\$ 15$
per capita more in the Group B than in the Group A, but with individual municipalities this is not the case. Of the twelve municipalities Stonehenge of the Group A had the highest indebtedness per farm amounting to $\$ 1357$, and the highest per capita amounting to \$294. Caron in the Group A had the best record with relief indebtedness of only $\$ 431$ per farm and $\$ 117$ per capita. It is interesting to note that Stonehenge with its highest average productivity per quarter was the highest in per capita relief indebtedness and third highest in relief indebtedness per farm. On the other hand Hillsborough with the lowest average productivity per quarter showed the lowest average indebtedness per farm as well as per capita in Group B. This may be attributed to an abundance of grazing land which resulted in more cattle being kept in the municipality thus providing the farmers with at least some revenue when their wheat crops failed. VARIATIONS IN ASSESSMENTS

There may be two kinds of variations in assessments viz. - equitable and inequitable. There are those variations which are justified on the basis of physical and economic factors i.e., better grades of land should carry a higher assessment than the poorer grades. Similarly land located close to a market should be assessed higher than land of similar quality farther away from the market and so forth. Such variations may be classed as equitable. There are many variations, however, which cannot be explained on the basis of either physical or economic factors. Such variations in
assessments would be classed as inequitable variations. Numerous appeals against too high an assessment indicate that such inequalities do exist. The above statements will be substantiated by Tables presented later based on data fromthe twelve municipalities. At this time it may be in order to present a brief outline of the history of assessment in Saskatchewan. HISTORY OF ASSESSMENT IN SASKATCHEWAN (1)

MIn 1905 the local government units consisted of two farms, tow and rural municipalities. We find the following provision in Section 127 of Municipal Ordinance as to method of assessing land:

In Assessing vacant ground or ground used as a farm, garden or nursery....the value of each parcel of vacant ground shall be that at which sales of it can be reasonably expected during the current year; the assessor shall value it as if held for farming or gardening purposes with such percentage added as the situation of the land may reasonably call for and such vacant land whether surveyed into lots or not if unsold as may be entered on the assessment roll as so much of the original lot or section as the case mey be................"
"(2) Except in the case of mineral lands hereafter provided for land shall be estimated at its relative value as compared with the balance of the land in the municipality; Provided that no lands shall in a rural municipality be assessed at a less valuation than $\$ 2.00$ per acre.".............
(1) The writer is indebted to $H$. T. H. Freeman, of the Saskatchewan Assessment Comission who supplied the material for this section.

The Rural Municipality Act of 1908-09
replaced the ordinance of 1905 and provided for appeals against unfairc assessments. Tax levies were not made on assessment, however, but at a uniform rate of not more than six and one quarter cents per acre on farm land.

In 1912-13 for the first time in Saskatchewan a provision was made for the assessing of farm land at a certain value.
n252a. Land shall be assessed at its actual cash value exclusive of any increase in such value caused by the erection of any building thereon or by any other expenditures of labour or capital."

Provision was also made for the levying of taxes on the assessed value of farm land.

In 1916 the Patriotic Tax was introduced which was to be levied at the rate of one mill on the assessed value of all property in the municipadity. After the World War this tax was changed to what we know now as the Public Revenue Tax.

The Rural Municiality Act was again consolidated in
1917 and the method for assessing the rural land was outlined in the following provision:
"225 (1) Land shall be assessed at its fair actual value, exclusive of any increase insaah value caused by the erection of buildings thereon or by any other expenditures of labour or capital. In case the value at which any specified land has been assessed appears to be more or less than its true value, the amount of the assessment shall nevertheless not be varied on appeal, if the value at which it is assessed bears a fair and just proportion
to the value at which lands in the immediate vicinity of the land in questions are assessed."

The same Act for the first time required a report of the assessment from the rural municipality to be forwarded to the Provincial Government. The return had to be made to the Wild Lands Tax Commissioner. This official had the power to demand the gross assessment of the rural municipality to be lowered or raised for the next year. This was a step for the first time toward equalizing assessments between rural municipalities for the purpose of making the Patriotic Tax levey more equitable among the municipalities. By 1920 the matter of equalization of assessments among rural municipalities had received a great deal of attention. The Municipal Statutes were again revised that year. Stricter provision was incoporated in the Act for reports of assessments to the Wild Land Tax Commissioner. The word "equalization" was intoroduced in the following section:
"247 (1) The Secretary Treaurer shell, upon the final completion of the assessment roll, forward to the Wild Lands Tax Commissioner a statement showing the total assessed value of the land in the municipality, and the Commissioner shall, upon such information and after such enquiries as he may deem advisable, confirm the said total assessed value as the equalized assessment of the municipality, or shall fix some other amount as the said equalized assessment, and the amount so confinmed or fixed shall, subject to the provisions of subsection (3), be the Focal assessed value of said municipality for the following year and for each year thereafter until the next equalized assessment has been made."

In 1921-22 a Permanent Assessment Commission was
established to which all matters pertaining to assessment were to be referred in the future. All appeals from local courts of revision were to be made to this body. The setting up of an Assessment

Commission was the result of many investigations made prior to the above date. During 1920 officers of theIApartment of Municipal Affairs made a survey of all the rural municipalities with a view of establishing equitable assessment for each municipality. Maximum values for the best land as well as the average per acre value of all assessable land in each rural municipality were estimated. From this data aggregate assessments were determined. Their estinates were based on actaul sale value of land which prevailed at thet time and in the vicinity in which they were engaged.

Since then few minor changes in the assessment
laws have taken place. The section providing for a set aggregate assessment by. the Assessment Commission was removed in 1927 but the maximum values established in 1920, except with few minor changes, hate remained the same to date.

At present the Saskatchewan Assessment Commission has introduced a fundamental change in its policy for rural taxation. It plans to reassess equitably all farming land in Saskatchewan. The bases of the new assessment shall be the land's ability to prodфuce income. All assessors will be required to attend an assessor's course at the University of Saskatchewan and pass an examination. The whole scheme may be summed up in the following quotation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -23- \\
& \text { "Todate, we have a great deal of information in } \\
& \text { the form of soil maps and soil reports, based } \\
& \text { on scientific soil research, and economic } \\
& \text { surveys of agricultural industries. It is } \\
& \text { necessary that we capitalise this valuable } \\
& \text { information by setting up an entirely new } \\
& \text { system of assessment, a system using analysis, } \\
& \text { comparison and capitalization, all based on } \\
& \text { the lands ability to produce an income in terms } \\
& \text { of average efficiency, average production and } \\
& \text { normal prices." }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the light of the brief discussion above a few Iables will be presented to show the variations in assessment found $\mathrm{In}^{\mathrm{n}}$ the twelve municipalities.

Table X ASSESSED VALUE PER ACRE OF DIFFERENT CLASSES OF LAND WITH SOME PORTION OF EACH QUARTER SECTION BROKEN. TWELVE MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWRN.
(2)

| Rural municipality | $\frac{\mathbb{T} . M_{0}}{\text { No }}$ | LAND CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | V | TOTAL |
| Wheatlands | 163 | \$5.08 | \$8.24 | \$15.20 | \$19.38 | 数。 | \$9.43 |
| Caron | 162 | 10.85 | 14.81 | 19.03 | 25.22 | 33.47 | 24.70 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 7.89 | 10.69 | 14.76 | 16.04 | ... | 13.64 |
| Hillsbonough | 132 | 7.68 | 10.00 | 14.65 | 15.17 | ** | 9.90 |
| Baildon | 131 | 10.42 | 18.518 | 21.87 | 26.57 | 88.25 | 22.70 |
| Sutton | 103 | 12.26 | 15.99 | 17.92 | 22.12 | 23.73 | 20.04 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 11.87 | 14.46 | 17.91 | 22.39 | 24.16 | 18.27 |
| Terrell | 101 | 10.56 | 13.86 | 18.09 | 21.67 | .. | 15.72 |
| Elmsthorpe | 100 | 11.71 | 13.61 | 19.30 | 23.13 | - | 16.93 |
| Stonehenge Lake of the | 73 | 11.37 | 12.20 | 18.74 | 21.97 | 24.42 | 20.30 |
| Rivers | 72 | 10.55 | 15.15 | 17.96 | 22.70 | 22.71 | 21.25 |
| Willow Bunch | 42 | 11.74 | 15.40 | 18.19 | 21.02 | - | 17.89 |

The above Table shows the lack of uniformity in assessment
of any one land cless in the several municipalities. The average
(1) "Textbook for Assessors." - Saskatchewan Assessment Commission, January 1939, page 106.
(2) "Preliminary Report, July 1938" p 45
assessment on submarginal land varies from $\$ 5.08$ to $\$ 12.06$ per acre. On marginal land from $\$ 8.24$ to $\$ 18.51$. The eap is not so pronounced on Land Class III where the lowest valuation is $\$ 15.20$ and the highest $\$ 21.87$. Land Class IV ranges from $\$ 15.17$ to $\$ 26.57$ and Land Class $V$ has a range from $\$ 22.71$ to $\$ 33.47$ per acre.

Wide and uneven variations also exist in the percentage increase in assessment between land classes in each rural municipality as shown in Table XI. There is a 78 per cent increase between Land Classes I and II in Baildon while in Elmstherpe it is only twelve per cent. Again in Caron there is a 32.7 per cent increase from Land Class IV to $V$ whereas in Lake of the Rivers both of these land classes carry the same average assessment.

Table XI PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN ASSESSMENT BETWEEN LAND CLASSES OF LAND OF WHICH SOME PORTION OF EACH QUARTER SECTION IS BROKEN TWELVE MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHENAN ECONOMIC SURVEY OF 193\%. (1)

| Rural <br> municipality | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{.} \mathrm{M} . \\ & \mathrm{NO} \end{aligned}$ | Land Class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ito } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IIto } \\ & \text { IIII } \end{aligned}$ | III to | $\text { IV to } \mathrm{V}$ |
|  |  | 62.2 84.5 Per cent 30.8 |  |  |  |
| Wheatlands | 163 |  |  |  |  |
| Caron | 162 | 36.5 | 28.5 | 32.5 | 32.7 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 35.5 | 38.1 | 22.2 | -. |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 30.2 | 46.5 | 3.5 | . |
| Baildon | 131 | 77.6 | 18.2 | 21.5 | 25.1 |
| Sutton | 103 | 30.4 | 12.1 | 23.4 | 7.3 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 21.8 | 23.8 | 25.0 | 7.0 |
| Terrell | 101 | 31.2 | 30.5 | 19.8 | . |
| Elmsthorpe | 100 | 12.4 | 46.6 | 19.8 | . |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 16.1 | 53.6 | 17.2 | 12.5 |
| Lake of the River | s 72 | 43.6 | 18.5 | 26.4 | . 0.0 |
| Willow Bunch | 42 | 31.2 | 18.1 | 15.6 | .. |

(I) Prelimanary Report, July 1938-p 46

Table XII
ASSESSED VALUE PER ACRE OF DIFFERENT LAND CLASSES BY YEARS OF ASSESSMENT TWELVE RURAL MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATHCEEAN.

| Rural municipality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R. } M \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Land Classes |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | I | II. | III | IV | V |  |
| Year assessed: 1923-1924 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caron | 162 | \$1085 | \$14.81 | \$19.03 | \$25.22 | \$33.47 | \$24.70 |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 7.68 | 10.00 | 14.65 | 15.17 | - | 9.90 |
| Baildon | 131 | 10.42 | 18.51 | 21.87 | 26.57 | 33.25 | 22.70 |
| Sutton | 103 | 12.26 | 15.99 | 17.92 | 22.22 | 23.73 | 20. 0.4 |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 11.37 | 12.20 | 18.74 | 21.97 | 24.42 | 20.30 |
| Total; 5 R.TM's |  | \$10.52 | \$14.30 | \$18.44 | \$22.21 | \$28.72 | \$19.53 |


| Lake Johnstone 102 | \$11.87 | \$14.46 | \$17.91 | \$22.39 | \$24.16 | \$18.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terrell 101 | 10.56 | 13.86 | 18.09 | 21.67 |  | 15.72 |
| Elmsthorpe 100 | 11.71 | 13.61 | 19.30 | 23.13 | - | 16.93 |
| Lake of the |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rivers 72 | 10.55 | 15.15 | 17.96 | 22.70 | 22.71 | 2125 |
| Willow Bunch 42 | 11.74 | 15.40 | 18.19 | 21.02 |  | 17.88 |
| Iotal: 5 R.M's | \$11.29 | \$14.50 | \$18.29 | \$22.18 | \$23.44 | \$18.01 |
| Year Assessed: 1930 and 1936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheatlands 163 | \$5.08 | \$8.24 | \$15.20 | \$19.88 | - | \$ 9.43 |
| Rodgers 133 | 7.89 | 10.69 | 14.76 | 18.04 |  | 13.64 |
| Total: 2 R.M's | \$6.48 | \$9.46 | \$14.98 | \$18.96 |  | 11.53 |
| Per cent degrease |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| from 1927 - 1929 | 42.6 | 34.8 | 18.1 | 14.5 | -• | 36.0 |

In Table XII the municipalities are grouped into periods according to year of the last known assessment. 'lhe year groups are arranged in the following order, 1923-24; 1927-29; and 1930-36. Five municipalities still carry their original assessment of 1923-24, five were revited in 1927-29 and two since 1930.

In the municipalities assessed between 1923 and 1929 no significant difference is noted between average assessments of the same land classes but a sudden decrease in assessment values is observed in the evaluation of all land classes after 1930. The drop from 1927-29 to 1930-36 assessments id proportionally highest on Land Class I, being 42.6 per cent, and least on Land Class IV, where it is 14.52 per cent. The average assessment on all land classes was reduced by 36.0 per cent.

Table XIII ASSESSED VALUE PER ACRE OF DIFFERENT LAND CLASSES ASSESSED BY THE SAME ASSESSOR BUT AT TWO DIFFERENT PERIODS.

| Period |  | Land Class |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | II | III | IV | IOTAL |
| $1927-29$ | $\$ 11.87$ | $\$ 14.46$ | $\$ 17.91$ | $\$ 22.39$ | $\$ 16.66$ |
| $1930-36$ |  |  |  |  |  |

The above table shows assessments of two adjoining municipalities assessed by the same assessor in two consecutive years, viz1929 and 1930. The decrease on Land Class I is about one-third and on Land Class V about one-fifth from 1929 to 1930 assessments. There is about twenty6five per cent decrease in the average value per acre of all land classes within one year. It will be recalled
that 1929 and 1930 were the first two years of drought in the southern part of the Province. In addition prices for wheat fell from about $\$ 1.50$ per bushel in July 1929 to about 60 cents in December 1930. From the above Table it appears that it took two years of unfavorable agricultural conditions to have a marked influence on land values. The compariosns in the above tables show the weakness in a method of assessment based on sales value - a value of unstable character. It is readily seen how assessments based on sales value of land in a certain year may soon become out of line with normal value, (if normal value is to be based on average production and average prices over a period of years). Land values are subject to wide fluctuations from time to time and any assessments made during periods of prosperity or depressions are bound to be either too high ortoo low, when compared with the long time normal value. For local taxation purposes the mill rate can be adjusted but inequalities will arise out of Public Revenue tax levies, where municipalities assess their land according to sales value.

Several Tables are presented in which absolute variations will be shown. However, since distance from market was one of the factors considered in the past assessments, its significance should not be disregarded.

| TABLE XIV | AVERAGE ASSESSED VALUES OF LAND PER QUARTER SECTION FOR SEVEN RURAL MUNICIPALITIES ARRANGED ACCORDING TO DISTANCE FROM TOWN BY LAND CLASSES ECONOMIC SURVEY OF 1936 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miles |  |  | Clas |  |  |  |
| from town | $\bar{I}$ | II | III | IV | V | Total |
| 0 to 3 | \$1682 | \$2183 | \$2844 | \$3319 | \$4326 | \$2644 |
| 4 to 8 | 1638 | 2108 | 2727 | 3381 | 4261 | 2559 |
| 9 and over | 1490 | 1800 | 2472 | 2943 | 4300 | 1984 |
| All distances | \$1591 | \$2007 | \$2711 | \$3316 | \$4291 | \$2377 |

The above table shows the relationship between distance from market and assessments per quarter section by land classes in the seven municipalities surveyed in 1936. On Land Class I the differente is around $\$ 200$ between parcels near town and those over nine miles away. The difference is $\$ 383$ for the same distance on Land Class II, $\$ 372$ on Land Class II and $\$ 376$ on Land Class IV. It may be stated then, that on none of the land classes the difference due to distance from town exceeded $\$ 400$

It is also assumed here that relationship between assessments and distance from market which existed in 1936 area holds true for

[^0]for 1937 area, both being adjacent areas and quite similar with respect to economic and physical factors. The fact is recognized that some of the variations shown below are partly due to distance from town but many variations cannot be explained in the light of any facts.
Table XV VARIATIONS IN ASSESSMENTS OF 1927-1929 PER QUARTER SECTION WITHIN FOUR UPPER LAND CLASSES FIVE RURAL MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN (1)

| Class Interval | Land Class |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\text { II }}$ | III | IV |
|  | Number of parcels |  |  |
| \$1000-1199 | 3 | 4 | ** |
| 1200-1399 | 16 | 1 | .. |
| 1400-1599 | 51 | 5 |  |
| 1600-1799 | 53 | 43 | . |
| 1800-1999 | 77 | - | . |
| 2000-2199 | 68 | 44 | 2 |
| 2200-2399 | 91 | 77 | -• |
| 2400-2599 | 101 | 123 | 14 |
| 2600-2799 | 66 | 202 | 51 |
| 2800-2999 | 82 | 234 | 73 |
| 3000-3199 | 41 | 313 | - |
| 3200-3399 | 44 | 229 | 107 |
| 3400-3599 | 14 | 169 | 144 |
| 3600-3799 | 5 | 271 | 215 |
| 3800-3999 | - | 65 | 130 |
| 4000-4199 | . | -. | 86 |
| 4200-4399 | . | $\bullet$ | 11 |
| 4400-4599 | -. | - . | 15 |
| 4600-4799 | - | ** | 23 |

(1) All fractional parcels as well as those with nothing broken were eliminated from this table.

In Table XV the wide range of variations within Land Classes II III and IV will be noted. The assessments of 1927-1929 are used during which the sales value of land did not change appreciably. The assessment on Land Class II varies from $\$ 1000$ to $\$ 3800$ per quarter, a spread of $\$ 2800$ Even if the two lowest and the two highest class intervals are elininated the range still varies from $\$ 1400$ to $\$ 3400$ giving a spread of $\$ 2000$. If an allowance of $\$ 200$ is made for those parcels which are nine miles and over away from a shipping point there still remains a spread of $\$ 1800$. Part of this spread may be attributed to the upper and lower limits in assessment in any one land class. There are those marginal quarters in the lower limit that have a productivity of 350 bushels and those of the upper limit with 475 bushels while the bulk of them heve a varying productivity somehwere between these two limits. The same is true of all other land classes. Consequently some vari:tion in assessments must be allowed for within any land class but if the increase in assessment is to be proportional to productivity it is readily observed that such is not the case with the assessments of 1927-1929 shown in Table XV. The gpread on Land Class II is from $\$ 1000$ to $\$ 3800$ per quarter, on Land Cless III from $\$ 2000$ to $\$ 4800$. Eliminating the few cla cases from the upper and lower limits of the distribution of these three land classes there are still the bulk assessments varying from $\$ 1200$ to $\$ 3600$ per quarter on Land Class II, from $\$ 1600$ to $\$ 4000$ on Land Class III and from $\$ 2400$ to $\$ 4800$ on Land Class IV.

The dovetailing of assessments between tese three land classes is also interesting. On Land Class III 96.3 per cent of assessments are in the same range as those on Land Class II. Almost 70 per cent of assessments on Land Class IV are in the same range as those found on Land Class II, 84.5 per cent of them being in the same range as Land Class III.

Table XVI Variations in Assessments per Quarter Section on TWo of the Major Soil Types. All 耳evel Topography for Parcels Having over 155 Assessed Acres and Over 150 Acres Broken by Years of Assessment.


In Table XVI variations in assessments on two of the major soil types found in this areare considered, viz, Haverhill loam and Haverhill clay loam. Only fully broken out parcels on level topography are considered. The assessment
periods of 1923-24 and 1927-29 are used. Theoretically the majority of these parcels should carry nearly the same assessed value except for some slight variations due to distance from market or local soil changes which could not be mapped in a reconnaissance soil map. The 1923-24 assessments vary from $\$ 2200$ to $\$ 4600$ per quarter on Haverhill loam, a spread of \$2400. On Haverhill clay loam the range is from $\$ 2200$ to $\$ 5200$ a spread of $\$ 3000$. 91.2 per cent of assessments on Haverhaill clay loam are in the same range as Haverhill loam. In 1927-29 group the range of assessments in the same for both of these soil types, viz, from $\$ 2000$ to $\$ 4600$ per quarter. It may be argued that ten or fifteen years ago there was not as much land under cultivation on these pracels as there is today, hence onereason for these variations. Bust most of the settlement in this area took place before the World War and by 192166.8 per cent of the total occupied land had been brought under dultivation and by 1931 only a little over ten per cent was added to the total improved area. (1) It may be reasonably assumed that even back in 1921 most of the level land had been occupied and broken out. Furthermore, the spread in assessments of the 1927-29 group seem to indicate that wide variations on similar parcels do exist irrespective of the year of assessment.

The variations on Sceptre and Regina clays are not as pronounced as those on the lighter textured soils. Owing to the small size of the sample the 1923-24 and 1927-29 assessments were combined as shown in Table XVI A.
(1) Physical and Economic Factors Related to Land Use Classifization in Southwest Central Saskatchewan." Publicetion 609, Technical Bulletin No 15, Dept. of Farm Management, Univ. of Sask. with Agric. Economics Branch. Dom. Dept. of Agriculture cooperat

Table IVI A VARIATION IN ASSESSMENTS PER QUARTER SECTION ON CLAYS AND HEAVY CLAYS OF REGINA AND SCEPTRE SERIES OF ALLL LEVEL TOPOGRAPHY FOR PARCELS HAVING OVER 155 ASSESSED ACRES AND OVER 150 ACRES BROKEN ASSESSMENT OF 1923-24 and 1927-29 COMBINED.


The range on sceptre clay and heavy clay is from $\$ 3000$ to $\$ 200$ but when the four lower class intervals are excluded the spread is narrowed down to $\$ 1400$ ranging from $\$ 3800$ to $\$ 5200$. On the Regina series the range is from $\$ 3800$ to $\$ 5600$ but on eliminating the first three class intervals the range is cut down to $\$ 1200$ ranging from $\$ 4400$ and $\$ 5600$. Only 18.2 per cent of assessments on Regina clays are in the same range as the sceptre clays. Over 62 per cent of assessments on Regina clays are in the same class interval, viz - between $\$ 5600$ and $\$ 5800$ 。

ASSESSMENT AND SOIL TYPES:
The assessors appraisal of the various soil
groups is presented intable XVII
Table VII RELATION OF ASBESSMENT TO SOII TYPES LEVEL TOPOGRAPHY WITH PARCELS ASSESBED FOR 150 ACRES AND OVER AND HAVING OVER 150 ACRES UNDER CULTIVATION BY YEARS OF ASSESSMENT - Assessments of 1923-24


- 35 -

All three groups of assessment periods are taken, 1923-24, 192729 and 1930-36. Coarse sandy loams were assessed at an average value of $\$ 10.00$ an acres in 1923-24 but after ten years the same soil type tas cut down to a third of its former value. There were notanoigh quarters to determine the assessed value of the 1927-29 group. on this soil type. Fine sandy loamscarried practically the same average assessment during the years 1923-24 and 1927-29 - $\$ 13.00$ and $\$ 12.90$ per acre respectively. In the $1930-36$ period this soil dropped to $\$ 6.50$ per acre, about one half of its former value. The 'blow-out' loams of the Echo series were assessed $d$ at $\$ 18.70$ in 1923-24 but were reauced to $\$ 1460$ in 1927-29. There is no significant difference shown in assessments of loams in the first two periods, the values being $\$ 21.30$ and $\$ 21.50$ per acre respectively. This value was redueed to $\$ 14.70$ in the drough period. A slight difference is observed in the average assessments of clay loams in the first two periods. The 1923-24 value is $\$ 23.00$ per acre as compared with 1927-29 value of $\$ 21.50$ per acre. The same soil was reduced to $\$ 17,90$ in the 1930336 period. Loams were evaluated at the same igure as the clay loams in 1927-29. It is also observed that clay loams and sceptre clays carried the same average assessment in 1923-24 appraisals. Regina clay and heavy clay carried the highest average assessment of $\$ 33.20$ per acre in 1923-24.

RELATION OF ASSESSMENT TO TOPOGRAPHY AND STONINESS
The degree of association between assessment and such physical factors as topography, stoniness and amount of land broken out will be presented in Tables XVIII, XIX and XIXA. Table XVIII RELATION OF TOPOGRAPHY AND STONINESS TO ASSESSMENT ALL SOILS ON GENTLY TO STEEPLY ROLLING TOPOGRAPHY AND WITH VARYING DEGREES OF STONINESS INCLUDED.


Table YVIII shôws the relationship of asessments to topography and stoniness on all soils in the three assessment periods. The average assessment on gently rolling, rolling and hilly topography with varying degrees of stoniness are compared. Level topography is purposily omitted because of wide varistions in soil types found on it, whereas the rolling phases would tend to elimimate these variations to some degree. For the same reason parcels without stones are also excluded. With this procecdere the above $\mathrm{T}_{\text {able }}$ is more or less limited to loams and clay loams which are predominate soil types on rolling phases of topography and with some degree of stoniness. Average productivity in bushels of wheat per 160 acre parcel is included for the dual purpose of reflecting the soil type as well as amount of broken out land. In the case of topography it is interesting to note that in the first two assessment periods, 1923-24 and 1927-29, the average assessments were discounted by approximately 30 and 50 per cent from gently rolling to rolling and hilly topographies respectively. In the 1930-36 assessments rolling toopography was discounted by approximately 35 per cent but hilly land was treated more severely, being discounted by almost 68 percent from the value on gently rolling land. Stoniness and amount broken appear to have a joint relationship which affect average assessments to a greater or lesser degree, depending on topography. In all the three assessment periods average productivity per quarter decreases as the degree of stoniness increases and the more rolling the land becomes the greater proportional decrease in productivity is noted.

Stones appear to affect arability to a much greater degree on rolling and hilly ladathan on gently rolling land, therefore, the discounts given above for rolling and hilly land may be partly attribute to the average amount of broken out land on each topography and partly to topography itself. Since a few townships in municipalities assessed in 1930-36 were not opened up for settlement until 1928 the apparent average productivity per quarter for this group is lower than for either of the other two groups.

A further modification of Table XVIII is presented in Tables XIX and XIXA.

Table XIX RELATION OF ASSESSMENT TO TOPOGRAPHY STONINESS AND AMOUNT BROKEN ON CLAY LOAM SOILS ALL HAVING APPROXIMEELY THE SAME PRODUCTIVITY RATING AND ASSESSED IN LI" 1927-1929

| Amount Broken | $\frac{0-39 \text { Acres }}{\text { No }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { TOPOGRAPHY }}{\text { Level }} \\ & \frac{40-79 \mathrm{Ac}}{} 80 \\ & \text { No par Value } \\ & \text { cels Val } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{-119 \mathrm{aqc}}{\text { No par }} \begin{aligned} & \text { cels Vaiue } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{120-16}{\text { No par- }} \\ & \text { cels } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0 \text { ac }}{\text { Value }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free from stones | \$8.00 | \$13.40 | 7 \$20.20 | 126 | \$22.00 |
| Few stones | $1 \quad 5.60$ | 1214.00 | $43 \quad 16.70$ | 416 | 22.00 |
| Quite stony |  | $11 \quad 14.70$ | 2816.60 | 187 | 20.20 |
| Pao stony to farm | $1 \quad 10.00$ |  | 315.00 | 3 | 19.80 |
| Total | $3-\$ 7.90$ | 26 \$14.20 | 87 \$16.90 | 732 | \$21.50 |
| GENTIT ROLLING |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free from stones |  |  | 2 \$18.80 | ${ }_{305}^{11}$ | $\$ 12.80$ 19.50 |
| Few Stones Quite stony | 3 7 | 9 $\$ 14.30$ <br> 41  <br> 15.20  | $\begin{array}{rl} 52 & 16.60 \\ 124 & 16.20 \end{array}$ | 305 551 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.50 \\ & 19.20 \end{aligned}$ |
| Too stony tofirm | $1 \begin{array}{r}12.10 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1 13.50 | 212.80 | 4 | 17.80 |
| Total | 11 \$11.80 | $51 \quad \$ 15.00$ | 180 \$16.30 | 871 | \$19.30 |

## ROLLING

| Free from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Few stones | 1 | \$9.80 | 8 | \$12.10 |  | \$15.00 | 29 | 15.80 |
| Quite stony | 29 | 9.60 | 78 | 12.50 | 141 | 13.30 | 99 | 70 |
| Too stony to farm |  | 10.20 | 16 | 10.60 | 13 | 12.20 | 1 | 17.40 |
| Total | 37 | \$9.70 | 102 | 12.20 | 161 | \$14.20 | 23 | \$16.60 |

$\overline{\text { Per cent assessed value on Gently Rolling topography is of assessed value }}$ on Level topography on parcels over 75 per cent broken out $\mathbf{- 8 9 . 8}$ per cent

Per cent assessed value on rolling topography is of assessed value on Level topography on parcels over 75 per cent broken out - $77.2 \%$

In Table XIX the 1927－29 assessments on Haverhill clay loam and mixtures containing this soil are compared according to the number of acres under cultivation，stoniness and topography． Thus on parcels having over 120 acres under cuttivation the average assessments on gently rolling land are 89.5 per cent of those on level land，adiscount of a little over ten per cent． The assessments on rolling land are 77．2per cent of those on level land，a discount of almost 23 percent．

The sample is not large enough on steep and hilly land to make any comparisons．There is also aclose association，shown on all the first three phases of topography between amount of land broken out and average assessments．As the amount of land broken out on any one phase incureases the average assessment also increased．No definite conculsion can be drawn fiom this Table regarding influence of stoniness on assessment．For obvious reasons there were only few parcels farmed which had over 120 acres broken and classed as＇too stonytd farml Considering parcels on all three phases of topography with over 120 acres broken there is no difference shown in assessments on level parcels having no stones orifew stanesblut a drop of almost ${ }^{(2)} 000$ per acre is observed on level parcels classed as having imany stonest There is only a negligible decrease from $\operatorname{Win}_{⿱ ㇒ 日 勺} 19.50$ to $\$ 19.20$ on ＇gently rolling parcels＇from＇few stones＇to＇many stones＇respectively． On irolling＇parcels the reverse is true where an increase in value is shown from＇few stones＇to＇many stones＇being $\$ 15.80$ and $\$ 16.70$ respectively．

Table XIXA. RELATION OF ASSESSMENT TO TOPOGRAPHY STONINESS AND AMOUNT BROKEN ON LOAM SOILS ALL HAVING APPROXIMATELY THE SAME PRODUCTIVITY RATING AND ASSESSED IN 1930-36.

| Amount broken | 0-39 ac | 40-79 ac | 80-119ac | 120-160as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No of Aver. | No of Aver. | No of Aver | No | Aver |
|  | parcels value | par- value | parc- value |  | value |
|  | per | cels per | els per |  | per |
|  | acre | acre. | acre. |  | acre. |


| Topography: Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free from stones | ** | - | 碞 | Lever |  | -* |  | \$12.20 |
| Few stones | - |  | $\bullet$ | - |  | $\bullet$ | 32 | 17.50 |
| Quite stony | . |  | $\ldots$ | .. | - |  | 13 | 15.80 |
| Too stony to farm | - | - | -* |  | $\cdots$ |  | 2 | 15.70 |


|  | Gently Rolling |  |  |  | 2 | \$5.00 | $\bullet$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free from stones | 1 | \$5.00 | 1 | \$8.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Few stones | 4 | 5:10 | 3 | 6:20 | 9 | 10.10 | 36 | \$13.20 |
| Quite stony | 5 | 6.70 | 11 | 7.50 | 12 | 8.10 | 25 | 10.90 |
| Too stony to farm | 4 | 1.60 | $\cdots$ | . | 1 | 15.00 | 1 | 14.40 |
| Rolling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free from stones | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | -• | - | * | - |
| Few stones | 5 | \$6.30 | 4 | \$9.80 | 1 | \$10.20 | 19 | \$10.50 |
| Quite stony | 83 | 3.30 | 31 | 7.40 | 35 | 8.80 | 23 | 10.70 |
| Too stony to farm | 87 | 3.20 | 14 | 7.20 | 13 | 10.10 | 6 | 11.90 |

Table XIXA is arranged similerly to Table XIX but the data is for Haverhill Loam soils assessed in 1930-36. As in the case of heavier soils the close association which exists between average assessments and amount of land broken is shown again in this Table. With respect to topography there is a drop of almost 23 per cent from asessments on level parcels to assessments on gently rolling parcels with more than three quarters under cultivation: A 32 per cent decrease is noted when assessments on rolling topography ape compared withthe parcels on the level. Thus it may be concluded that in the opinion of assessors there was a discount ranging from 10 to 23 per cent due togently rolling topography as compared with the level land on parcels over seventy-five per cent broken out. In thecase of rolling land the discount from (level land varied from 23 to 32 per cent: ASSESSMENT AND PRODUCTIVITY.

In the remaining part of this study it is proposed to introduce a method of assessment based on the productive capacity of the land. This method would necessarily assess land at a value which would be directly proportional to its productivity in $\overline{\mathrm{BL}}$ bushels of wheat for sale over a period of years as determined by the economic surveys. In other words such assessment would essentially mean a distribution of the total tax levy at an equal rate per bushel of whett raised for sale within a municipality over a period of years. This method of assessment would not tax equally each farmer's net income within the municipality but would tend to tax equally over period of years
his gross sales of wheat irrespective of the size of farm he operates. To determine the average annual production of wheat per quarter the same method would have to be used as that in the economic land classification explained elsewhere in this study. This scheme would be applicable to areas where wheat supplies at least three quarters of the farm income as is true of the twelve rural municipalities considered in this study.

In the further discussion of this (productivity' method of assessment only physical factorsshall be considered.

No account shall be taken of such economic factors as distance from market, freight rates on grain, fuel, oil and the like. The area of these twelve rural municipalities will be dealt with as a whole. Once the Land Class is determined and consequently 'productivity' assessed value placed on a parcel it would be a simple matter to make an adjustment for such economic factors as may result from the location of the particular parcel.

As a starting point the bench mark of $\$ 32.00$ per acre for Regina heavy clay is used.(1)

It has been already established that the maximum annual production of wheat for sale over a period of years on this soil is approximately 1123 bushels the upper limit of Land Class V. Fwom this figure proportional values are determined for the upper and lower limits of the remaining three land classes, i., e. Land Classes IV, III and II. For example, theassessed value per acre of the lower limit of Land Class Vwould bear the same relation to 900 bushels of wheat (the lower limit of annual production for sale per quarter section on Land Class v) as $\$ 32.00$
(1) "Report on Rural Land Assessment in the Province of Saskathhewan" 1938 T. H. Freeman, D. 14
to 1123 bushels and so forth, remembering that the assessed value per acre of the lower limit of any one land class is at the same time the assessed value of the upper limit in the next lower land class.

## The following calculation will illustrate

method of arriving at the proportional assessment values of the upper and lower limits of the various land classes. $\frac{\$ 32.00}{1123 \text { bus }}=\frac{x}{900}$ bus., solving for $X$ a value of $\$ 25.60$ is obtained which is the assessed value per acre of the lower limit of Land Class $V$ as well as of the upper limit of Land Class IV. Similarly $\frac{\$ 32.00}{1123 \text { bus. }=} \frac{X}{720}$ bus. gives a value of $\$ 20.50$ per acre, the lower limit of land Class IV and the upper limit of Land Class III.

Thus a range of assessed values is established down to the lower limit of Land Class II. Since submarginal land (Land Class I) is eseentially a pasture land no productivity assessed values can be established for it on the basis of wheat production. A flat rate of $\$ 3.00$ per acre ( 1 ) shall be applied to all percels having less than 350 bushels of wheat for sale annually. In $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{le} \mathrm{XX}$ the upper and lower limits of assessed values for each landclass in proportion to the productivity is shown, all values being directly proportional to productivety set for the upper limit of Land Class $V$ and the bench mark of $\$ 32.00$ per acre. The lowest productivity assessment
$\qquad$
(1) This value is used at present by the Sask. Assessment Commission in assessing grazing land in southern Saskatchewar

Tabze XX UPPER AND LOWER LIMITS OF ASSESSED VALUES FOR EACH LAND CLASS BASED ON PRODUCTIVITY USING BENCH MARK OF \$32.00 PER ACRE FOR UPPER LIUIT OF LAND CALLSS V

| Assessed value per acre | Land <br> Class | Assessed Value per 160 Acres. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 25.60-\$ 32.00$ | V | $\$ 4100-\$ 5100$ |
| $20.50-25.60$ | IV | $3300-4100$ |
| $13.60-20.50$ | III | $2200-3300$ |
| $10.00-13.60$ | II | $1600-2200$ |

on land which would just come into cultivation is $\$ 10.00$ per acre. It is interesting to note that the Saskatchewan Assessment Commission recommends $\$ 8.00$ as the lowest possible value for assessing margidal land, which would correspond to Land Class II. By using the average productivity per ac quarter (1) in the fous land classes of the 1936, and 1937 survey areas, the average 'productivity' assessed values for each land class are determined as shown in Table XXI.

Table XXI AVERAGE ACTUAL ASSESSED VALUE PER ACRE BY LAND CLASSES COMPARED WITH ASSESSMENTS BASED ON AVERAGE PRODUCTITITY FOR EAGH IAND CLASS AND BENCH MARK OF $\$ 32.00$ PER ACRE, 1936 and 1937 ECONOMIC SURVEYS.

| Land Class | 1937 Survey |  | 1936 Survey |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aver.productivity | Actual | Aver.productivity | Actual |
|  | assessed value | assessed ment. | assessed value | assessment. |
| V | \$27.10 | \$30.67 | \$27.80 | \$27.10 |
| IV | 23.30 | 22.15 | 20.70 | 21.00 |
| III | 18.50 | 18.13 | 17.10 | 17.20 |
| II | 14.20 | 13.01 | 13.50 | 12.74 |

(1) "Preliminary Repopt May 1937", p. 22 and "Preliminary Report, July 1938", p 28.

In the preceding Table the average 'productivity' values are compared with the actual average assessed values by land classes. In the seven municipalities surveyed in 1936 there is very little discrepancy shown between the 'productivity' and actual average assessed values in any one land class. In all cases the difference is less than one dollar per acre. In the 1937 area the largest discrepancy exists on Land Class V, where the difference is over $\$ 3.50$ per acre. It will be observed that the average 'productivity' value on Land Class II is higher than the upper limit set for this land class in Table XX. The explanation lies in the fact that the average productivity on this land class was higher than the upper limit for Land Class II due to reasons given elsewhere.(1) On Land Classes III and IV the difference between the 'productivity' and the actual average assessed values is very small. From this Table it appears that there was a strong tendency on the average to assess Land Class II or better in direct proportion to productivity. Thus from the standpoint of Provincial Revenue Tax, on the whole, none of the land classes above Land Class I in either of the 1936 or 1937 areas were much over or under assessed. Land Class I however, was much over assessed which up to 1929 was usually assessed at an average value of approximately two dollars per acre.

Table XXII is set out to show the inequitable distribution of Public Revenue Tax levy in the twelve municipalities, if total 'productivity' of each municipality is considered a fair basis for any tax levies. Having estimated the sixteen year average annual production of wheat for sale in each municipality the total amount for sale was determined for the whole area. Dividing the total into the total annual Public Revenue Tax levy
(1) Preliminary Report, July 1938, pp. 29-30

TABLE XXII EXTENT OF ANNUAL OVERPAYMENT OR UNDERPAYMENT OF PUBLIC REVENUE TAX LEVY FROM 1921 to 1936 BY THE TWELVE RURAL MUNLCIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHENAN ASSUMING AN EQUAL LEVY PER BUSHEL OF WHEAT PRODUCED FOR SALE DURING THIS PERIOD.

| Rural muncipality | R. $M$. No. | Aver annual Public <br> revenue tax <br> levy 1921-36 | 'Equalized' annual tax levy P.R.T. | Annual overpay ment or underpayment | Per cent overpayment or underpayment is of Tequalized annual Ievy. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheatlands | 163 | \$4604 | \$4145 | \$ 459 | 11.1 |
| Caron | 162 | 5705 | 4738 | 967 | 20.4 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 3211 | 3721 | -510 | -13.7 |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 1495 | 1351 | 144 | 10.7 |
| Baildon | 131 | 8204 | 5811 | 2393. | 41.2 |
| Sutton | 103 | 7235 | 8283 | -1048 | -12.7 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 4456 | 4633 | -177 | - 3.8 |
| Terrell | 101 | 4538 | 4490 | 48 | 1.1 |
| Elmsthorpe | 100 | 6020 | 5827 | 193 | 3.3 |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 9077 | 9965 | -888 | -0.9 |
| L. of the River | s72 | 588K | 6561 | -680 | -10.4 |
| Willow Bunch | 42 | 6624 | 7392 | -768 | -10.4 |

for the whole area gives an'équalized' levy of nine mills per bushel for the twelve municipalites. (l)

Thus to equalize the incidence of the Public Kevenue Tax during the last sixteen years each municipality should have paid to the Provincial Treasury nine mills per bushel of whett wold during that period. Multiplying the estimated annual production of each municipality by the above rate an 'equalized' annual Public Revenue Tax levy for each municipality was obtained. The difference between the actual levy and the 'equalized' levy represents the estimated overpayment or underpayment as the case may be, by each municipality for the past sixtten years. These differences indicate the degree of the inqquality that exists between the present aggregate assessments of the twelve rural municipalities. Within the twelve municipalities Terrell's actual Public Revenue Tax levy was only $\$ 50$ more than the 'equalized' levy of 1.1 per cent. Baildon was overtaxed by 41.2 per cent which resulted in an annual overpayment of $\$ 2400$ in provincial taxes during the past sixteen years. Rodgers has an aggregate which caused its provincial levy to be 13.7 per cent below the 【equalized levy. Only fowr municipalities have aggregate assessments less than

[^1]$$
-48-
$$
ten per cent above or below their 'equalized assessment. Six are from ten to twenty per cent under or over assessed. The remaining two are more than twenty per cent overassessed when compared with the 'equalized' assessment.

The matter of inequalities in aggregate assessments as between municipalities is an important issue both totle municipalities themselves as well as to the Provincial Government. No municipality desires to carry more than its proportional share of the Public Revenue $T_{a x}$ nor should the government lose any of the revenue from those municipalities that carry less than their proportional share of the Provincial $\mathrm{Le}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{vy}$.

A similar comparison of assessments as between the verious land clases within each municipality is set out in Table XXIII. page 49.

This table shows the existing relative inequalities in assessments between land class of each municipality. The productivity of each land class again is the basis of comparison except in the case of Land Class I (pasture land) where a flat rate of $\$ 2.00$ per acre for government owned land and $\$ 3.00$ per acre for all other pasture land is used. Thus the Public Revenue Tax levy on grazing lang when based on the above rate of $\$ 2.00$ and $\$ 3.00$ shall be termed as an 'adjusted' levy rathern than an 'equalized' levey. The difference between actual and lequalized' or adjusted levies indicat an unequal distribution oî taxes between the various land clsses due to assessments on each land class being out of line with relative productivity.

Table XXIII SXTENT OFANNUAL OVERPAYMENT OR UNDERPAYMENT OF PUBLIC REVENUE TAX LEVY FROM 1921 to 1936 BY LAND CLASSES WITHIN ELEVEN RURAL MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKACHENAN ASSUMING AN EQUAL LEVY PER BUSHEL OF WHEAT PRODUCED FOR SALE DURING THE PERIOD (1)

| Rural <br> municipality |  |  | Annual | Per cent over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R. M. Aver.annual | 'Equalized' | overpay- | payment or under |
|  | No P.R.T. levy | annual | ment or | payment is of |
|  | 1921-36 | $\text { tax }{ }_{(2)} \mathrm{evy}$ | under- | lequalized annual 1 |


| Caron | 162 | \$928 | \$675 | 3675 | 267 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodgers | 133 | 1317 | 413 | 904 | 219 |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 1165 | 408 | 757 | 186 |
| Baildon | 131 | 1157 | 318 | 839 | 264 |
| Sutton | 103 | 467 | 113 | 354 | 313 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 733 | 182 | 551 | 303 |
| Terrell | 101 | 1832 | 468 | 1364 | 291 |
| Elmsthorpe | 100 | 1422 | 364 | 1058 | 291 |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 316 | 83 | 233 | 281 |
| I. of the Rivers | 72 | 482 | 137 | 345 | 252 |
| Willow Bunch | 43 | 1353 | 346 | 1007 | 291 |
| Total: 11 RM's |  | +.. | - |  | 262. 1 |
|  |  |  | Land C |  |  |
| Caron | 162 | \$313 | \$355 | \$-42 | -11.8 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 348 | 395 | -47 | -11.9 |
| Hill sborough | 132 | 368 | 624 | -256 | -41.0 |
| Baildon | 131 | 860 | 923 | - 63 | - 6.8 |
| Sutton | 103 | 539 | 452 | 87 | 19.2 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 277 | 277 | - | - |
| Terrell | 101 | 1004 | 1036 | -332 | - 3.1 |
| Flmsthorpe | 100 | 1011 | 1232 | -221 | -17.9 |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 66 | 66 | . |  |
| L.of the Rivers | 72 | 244 | 185 | 59 | 31.9 |
| Wtaliow Bunch | 43 | 633 | 512 | 151 | 29.5 |
| Total: 11 RM's |  |  |  |  | - 26.0 |
|  |  |  | Land 0 | III |  |
| Caron | 162 | \$622 | \$788 | \$-168 | -21.3 |
| Rodgers | 133 | 1106 | 1334 | -228 | -17.1 |
| Hillsborough | 132 | 158 | 238 | -80 | -33.6 |
| Baildon | 131 | 1960 | 2545 | -585 | -22.9 |
| Sutton | 103 | 1473 | 1453 | 20 | 1.4 |
| Lake Johnstone | 102 | 1659 | 1799 | -140 | - 7.8 |
| Terrell | 101 | 2318 | 2513 | -195 | - 7.8 |
| Elmsthorpe | 100 | 2873 | 3170 | -297 | - 9.4 |
| Stonehenge | 73 | 3059 | 2936 | 123 | 4.2 |
| L of the Rivers | 72 | 967 | 927 | 40 | 4.3 |
| W1110w Bunch | 43 | 3346 | 3321 | 125 | 3.9 |
| Total; $11 \mathrm{RM}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ |  |  |  |  | -6.6 |

Table XXIII (continued.)

| Rural municipality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.M. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Aver.annual PE.R.T levy 1921-\$6 | 'Equalized' annual tax levy | Annual <br> over- <br> payment etes | Per cent over <br> payment or under <br> payment is of <br> 'equalized' <br> annual levy. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


(R.M. No 163)
(1) Wheatlands/was excluded from this Table.
(2) P.R.T. (Annual Levy 1921-36) was adjusted for Land Class I.

It will be observed that actual levies on Land Class I (grazing land) were by far the most out of line when compared w with taxes on the better grades of land. This regulted in an unusually high overpayment of the provincial levy on Land Class II (submarginal land). The actual levy in all municipalities except Hillsborough was more than twice the estimated 'adjusted levy' In Sutton, and Lake Johnstone it was over three times the 'adjusted' levy. In the case of Land ${ }^{\prime}$ lass II in both Lake Johnstone and Stonehenge the two levies were the same. In Lake of the Rivers and Willow Bunch the actual levy exceeded the 'equalized. levy by about 30 per cent. In Hillsborough the actual levy was 41 per cent less than the 'equalized' levy. Land Class III was within a ten per cent limit of under or overpayment in seven mynicipalities. Hillsborough's levy on this class was 33.6 per cent less than its 'equalized' levy The levy on Land Class IV in the same seven municipalities as on Land Class III was within a ten per cent limit of the 'equalized' levy. Hillsborough was undertaxed by 47 per cent while three other municipaities were undertaxed by approximately twenty per cent.

In Table XXIV, page 51, a comparison is made between present (1936) aggregate assessments and 'productivity' aggregates. The iproductivity! assessments were determined by using mid-values per acre for Land Classes two to five. (See Table XX) and the nominal values of two and three dollars per are for $L_{a}$ nd Class I. No consideration was given to tother
economic factors such as distance from town, kind of roads, freight zones and the like. The final analysis of an allowance were to be made for these economic factors the ${ }^{\text {p }}$ productivity assessments would be somewhat lower than shown in the following Table.

TAFLE XXIV PRESENT (1937) LAND ASSESSMENT COMPARED WITH PROPOSED 'PRODUCTIVITY' LAND ASSESSMENT TWELVE RURAL MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN.

| Rural <br> Municipality | R.M. <br> Bo. | Present land <br> (19sessment | Proposed <br> productivity <br> assessment. | Per centage <br> reduction fresent <br> pres |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheatlands | 163 | $1,675,400$ | $1,661,700$ | 0.8 | Assessment. |

It is impossible to take these other factors into consideration here since it would be necessary to consider every parcel separately. It is not the purpose of this Table to allocate the correct aggregates to each municipality as such is only possible after considering every parcel of land, as mentioned above. Its purpose is to show the existing relative inequalities between the aggregate assessments of the twelve municipalities if productive capacities are to be used as a measure of taxpaying abilities and $\$ 3200$ as the bench mark for the best land in the province.

In 1936 of the twelve municipalities four had aggregate assessments which were not more than five per cent above the 'productivity' aggregates, on e between five and ten per cent, four between ten and twenty and two municipalities had aggregates over twenty per cent above the 'productivity' values. One municipality was actually a little over five per cent below its ' productivity' value.

April 1939
M. M. B

## SUMMARY

1. In areas where wheat supplies over 80 per cent of gross farm revenue taxes amounted to 16 to 20 per cent of the farm cash expenses on one-half section farms and from 12 to 15 per cent on one section farms during the last 15 or 20 years. on a 'marginal' three-quarter section farm they were approximately 25 per cent.
\$. Tax collections were in direct proportion to the productivity of the land.
2. Wide and uneven variations exist at present in assessed values between similar land classes in different municipalte ities as well as between the various land classes within the municipalities. These variations tend to be ironed out, howeven, when the assessments of each land class, throughout the area are all averaged together. The average assessments for Land Classes II to V taken over a large area seem to bear a close relationship to their average productivity.
3. There was a lack of uniformity in the assessments made by the same assessors in two different periods.
4. There was a wide range in assessed values of parcels hoving similar physical and economic characteristics.
5. The (eomparative' method of assessment based on sales values of land will always casue inequaities in assessments between ( a ) individual parcels, (b) various land classes; (c) aggregate assessments of municipalities.
6. If the present 'bench mark' of $\$ 32.00$ per acre is to constitute the maximum assessed value for the best land in Saskatchewan many of the present aggregate municipal assessments
would have to be scaled down which would result in a decreased total Public Revenue Tax levy on rural land, unless the present mill rate is raised. The reduction for the twelve municipalities amounted to approximately eleven per cent.
7. The 'productivity' method of assessment as outlined in this study, would be applicable to areas where wheat growing is the major enterprise and would essentially mean a tax at a uniform rate on the estimated average gross sales of wheat (or the estimated potential capability of producing same) of a quarter section over a long period of years.
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APPENDIX A
AREA AMD ASSESSMENTS, TWELVE RURAL MUNICIPALITIES SOUTH CENTRAL SASKATCHEWAN

|  |  | Net taxable |  |  | Total school |  | Total arrears |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. of acres assessed (00) | land <br> assessment (000) | Total net taxable assessment (000) | General municipal tax levy (00) | tax levies | Public revenue tax | and current taxes to be collected (00) | Amount realized (00) | Percent collected Dec. 31 | Total uncollected arrears (00) | Total <br> current <br> levy <br> (00) |






## Willow Bunch \#42



 $\$ 2641$
2501
2502
2484
3065
3050
3077
3168
4068
4020
4013
4005
3989
3948
3937
3935


※ N M M

| Ho | $\infty$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1 | 0 | $\infty$ |




APPENDIX A CON'D. (2)

APPENDIX A COND. (3)

| Year | No. of acres assessed (00) | Net taxable land assessment (000) | Total net taxable assessment (000) | General municipal tax levy (00) | Total <br> School tax levies (00) | Public <br> revenue <br> tax <br> (00) | Total arrears and current taxes to be collected (00) | Amount realized (00) | Percent collected Dec. 31 | Total uncollected arrears (00) | Total current levy (00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terrell \#101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921 | 2105 | \$2216 | \$ | \$261 | \$232 | \$53 | \$960 | \$326 | 34.0 | \$634 | \$545 |
| 1922 | 2059 | 2177 | 2187 | 155 | 209 | 44 | 1063 | 569 | 53.6 | 402 | 496 |
| 1923 | 2028 | 2142 | 2151 | 131 | 194 | 43 | 886 | 492 | 55.5 | 349 | 452 |
| 1924 | 2008 | 2140 | 2152 | 131 | 203 | 43 | 843 | 521 | 61.8 | 243 | 466 |
| 1925 | 1917 | 2099 | 2112 | 129 | 215 | 42 | 739 | 484 | 65.5 | 219 | 475 |
| 1926 | 1949 | 2129 | 2185 | 142 | 229 | 44 | 749 | 481 | 64.2 | 238 | 507 |
| 1927 | 2032 | 2187 | 2264 | 158 | 231 | 34 | 932 | 594 | 63.7 | 318 | 669 |
| 1928 | 2036 | 2181 | 2258 | 203 | 232 | 34 | 1054 | 792 | 75.2 | 238 | 707 |
| 1929 | 2062 | 2630 | 2720 | 231 | 237 | 41 | 959 | 451 | 47.1 | 488 | 697 |
| 1930 | 2075 | 2629 | 2716 | 217 | 220 | 41 | 1235 | 511 | 41.2 | 613 | 702 |
| 1931 | 2094 | 2625 | 2712 | 110 | 163 | 41 | 1234 | 181 | 14.7 | 773 | 564 |
| 1932 | 2073 | 2625 | 2713 | 149 | 101 | 54 | 1324 | 227 | 17.2 | 1069 | 475 |
| 1933 | 2060 | 2617 | 2705 | 149 | 88 | 54 | 1597 | 125 | 7.8 | 1466 | 438 |
| 1934 | 2045 | 2598 | 2679 | 177 | 83 | 54 | 1966 | 140 | 7.1 | 1735 | 429 |
| 1935 | 2011 | 2572 | 2653 | 133 | 84 | 53 | 2160 | 221 | 10.2 | 1874 | 333 |
| 1936 | 2031 | 2564 | 2644 | 132 | 98 | 53 | 2301 | 167 | 7.3 | 2081 | 328 |
| Lake Johnstone \#102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921 | 1360 | 2559 | ---- | 204 | 195 | 54 | 889 | 359 | 40.0 | 530 | 454 |
| 1922 | 1359 | 2519 | 2535 | 128 | 242 | 50 | 996 | 578 | 58.0 | 365 | 498 |
| 1923 | 1345 | 2378 | 2397 | 121 | 21 | 48 | 853 | 443 | 51.3 | 397 | 269 |
| 1924 | 1338 | 2364 | 2384 | 121 | 216 | 48 | 892 | 512 | 57.4 | 337 | 460 |
| 1925 | 1340 | 2360 | 2383 | 121 | 203 | 48 | 812 | 483 | 59.5 | 293 | 447 |
| 1926 | 1347 | 2352 | 2394 | 144 | 218 | 48 | 934 | 672 | 71.9 | 235 | 612 |
| 1927 | 1343 | 2343 | 2384 | 155 | 205 | 36 | 850 | 577 | 67.9 | 248 | 591 |
| 1928 | 1343 | 2344 | 2386 | 215 | 216 | 36 | 963 | 683 | 70.9 | 260 | 689 |
| 1929 | 1347 | 2362 | 2404 | 216 | 223 | 36 | 921 | 467 | 50.7 | 451 | 634 |
| 1930 | 1343 | 2343 | 2380 | 190 | 212 | 36 | 1127 | 437 | 38.8 | 568 | 633 |
| 1931 | 1344 | 2338 | 2372 | 166 | 181 | 36 | 1128 | 164 | 14.6 | 358 | 512 |
| 1932 | 1342 | 2330 | 2368 | 142 | 126 | 47 | 809 | 143 | 17.7 | 646 | 419 |
| 1933 | 1336 | 2317 | 2358 | 71 | 98 | 47 | 1113 | 167 | 15.0 | 940 | 284 |
| 1934 | 1332 | 2314 | 2363 | 71 | 97 | 47 | 1374 | 187 | 13.6 | 1134 | 280 |
| 1935 | 1329 | 2324 | 2407 | 72 | 101 | 48 | 1474 | 253 | 17.2 | 1208 | 275 |
| 1936 | 1335 | 2329 | 2410 | 72 | 99 | 48 | 1508 | 161 | 10.7 | 1332 | 250 |

APPENDIX A CONיD. (4)

| Year | No. of acres assessed (00) | Net <br> taxable <br> land <br> assess- <br> ment <br> (000) | Total net taxable assessment (000) | General municipal tax levy (00) | Total school tax levies (00) | Public <br> revenue <br> tax <br> (00) | Total arrears and current taxes to be collected (00) | Amount realized (00) | Percent collected Dec. 31 | Total uncollected arrears (00) | Total current levy (00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sutton \#103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921 | 2015 | \$3783 | \$--- | \$571 | \$311 | \$76 | *1482 | \$895 | 60.0 | \$587 | \$959 |
| 1922 | 2016 | 3786 | 3786 | 379 | 335 | 76 | 1511 | 1019 | 67.4 | 487 | 946 |
| 1923 | 2024 | 3789 | 3789 | 379 | 314 | 76 | 1468 | 886 | 60.4 | 578 | 939 |
| 1924 | 2039 | 3860 | 3860 | 270 | 297 | 77 | 1420 | 1003 | 70.6 | 409 | 794 |
| 1925 | 2039 | 3850 | 3850 | 193 | 275 | 77 | 1149 | 822 | 71.6 | 291 | 705 |
| 1926 | 2049 | 3854 | 3854 | 270 | 323 | 77 | 1163 | 891 | 76.6 | 258 | 847 |
| 1927 | 2051 | 3840 | 3840 | 269 | 316 | 58 | 1097 | 810 | 73.9 | 283 | 816 |
| 1928 | 2054 | 3958 | 3958 | 277 | 337 | 59 | 1184 | 880 | 74.4 | 293 | 867 |
| 1929 | 2041 | 4009 | 4009 | 281 | 329 | 60 | 1155 | 697 | 60.4 | 450 | 836 |
| 1930 | 2051 | 4013 | 4013 | 281 | 313 | 60 | 1333 | 586 | 44.0 | 571 | 841 |
| 1931 | 2051 | 4015 | 4015 | 278 | 250 | 60 | 1347 | 252 | 18.7 | 532 | 725 |
| 1932 | 2051 | 4029 | 4029 | 282 | 151 | 81 | 1205 | 303 | 25.2 | 715 | 622 |
| 1933 | 2039 | 4016 | 4016 | 195 | 94 | 80 | 1186 | 151 | 12.8 | 982 | 408 |
| 1934 | 2038 | 4011 | 4011 | 201 | 110 | 80 | 1428 | 402 | 28.2 | 939 | 411 |
| 1935 | 2042 | 4010 | 4010 | 200 | 136 | 80 | 1425 | 393 | 27.5 | 978 | 439 |
| 1936 | 2046 | 4016 | 4016 | 201 | 157 | 80 | 1481 | 303 | 20.5 | 1136 | 454 |
| Baildon ilill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921 | 2006 | 4359 | --- | 488 | 271 | 98 | 1239 | 706 | 57.0 | 532 | 858 |
| 1922 | 2013 | 4344 | 4368 | 223 | 216 | 87 | 1115 | 819 | 73.4 | 283 | 663 |
| 1923 | 2015 | 4357 | 4376 | 223 | 228 | 87 | 997 | 667 | 66.9 | 316 | 687 |
| 1924 | 2011 | 4370 | 4370 | 223 | 234 | 87 | 1004 | 677 | 67.5 | 318 | 660 |
| 1925 | 2018 | 4327 | 4347 | 223 | 232 | 87 | 1013 | 720 | 71.0 | 250 | 665 |
| 1926 | 2001 | 4314 | 4380 | 219 | 227 | 88 | 958 | 712 | 74.2 | 239 | 673 |
| 1927 | 2009 | 4312 | 4382 | 241 | 226 | 66 | 966 | 695 | 71.2 | 249 | 702 |
| 1928 | 2013 | 4320 | 4390 | 241 | 235 | 66 | 1012 | 741 | 73.2 | 258 | 740 |
| 1929 | 2021 | 4388 | 4477 | 246 | 226 | 67 | 985 | 495 | 50.3 | 475 | 703 |
| 1930 | 2027 | 4389 | 4478 | 245 | 218 | 67 | 1244 | 445 | 35.7 | 574 | 724 |
| 1931 | 2032 | 4389 | 4480 | 243 | 177 | 67 | 1252 | 174 | 13.9 | 1070 | 623 |
| 1932 | 2029 | 4382 | 4476 | 201 | 63 | 89 | 1677 | 315 | 18.8 | 1325 | 516 |
| 1933 | 2020 | 4366 | 4460 | 134 | 70 | 89 | 1836 | 310 | 16.9 | 1462 | 405 |
| 1934 | 2015 | 4362 | 4446 | 133 | 65 | 89 | 1921 | 196 | 10.2 | 1656 | 393 |
| 1935 | 2010 | 4352 | 4435 | 177 | 68 | 89 | 2164 | 328 | 15.2 | 1823 | 425 |
| 1936 | 2013 | 4346 | 4428 | 155 | 79 | 89 | 2295 | 403 | 17.6 | 1835 | 379 |

APPENDIX A CON'D. (5)
APPENDIX A CONDD. (6)

APPENDIX B

| Rural Municipality No. Year | 42 |  | 72 |  | 73 |  | 100 |  | 101 |  | 102 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash and Bank Balance | \$6262 | \$12971 | \$10363 | \$5998 | \$21437 | \$8360 | \$11390 | \%4377 | \% 3158 | \$2192 | \$5097 | \$2328 |
| Sundry Accounts Receivable | 7543 | 1003 | 1171 | 1701 | 6862 | 1348 | 3599 | 1212 | 429 | 130 | 858 | 7919 |
| Arrears of Taxes | 33677 | 277657 | 20320 | 137960 | 26868 | 240826 | 33538 | 278405 | 22710 | 156451 | 24651 | 104554 |
| Tax sale holdings (Certificates \& property) |  | 55849 | 727 | 30069 | 1295 | 82066 | 5368 | 33681 | 1254 | 24918 | 2585 | 49120 |
| Supplies and deferred expenses | 117 | 55 | -- | - | -- | 370 | 277 | 200 | -- | 42 | -- | 62 |
| Trust cash and taxes to be collected in trust | 2450 | 89581 | -- | 57026 | $\cdots$ | 69982 | 9242 | 63638 | 1328 | 51651 | 1689 | 28794 |
| Net value of capital or fixed assets | 10829 | 9195 | 5294 | 6573 | 9363 | 5356 | 9709 | 6180 | 4895 | 4333 | 1818 | 1383 |
| Total assets | 60878 | 446311 | 37875 | 239327 | 65825 | 408308 | 73123 | 387693 | 33774 | 239717 | 36698 | 188160 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sundry accounts payable | 2230 | 39861 | 1114 | 27548 | 258 | 19853 | 4586 | 34608 | 1427 | 11384 | 3078 | 16764 |
| Due schools, etc., for requisition of taxes | 2105 | 127090 | --- | 57498 | 7070 | 185567 | 23408 | 110047 | 9032 | 77719 | 2517 | 66897 |
| Net bank overdrafts and bank loans | 23600 | 232576 | --- | 87974 | - | 190266 | -- | 196932 | 10788 | 116066 | --- | 77475 |
| Gross debenture debt | 1500 | - | - | --- | 3000 | --- | 3000 | 2493 | 800 | - | -- | --- |
| Reserves for uncollectible accounts receivable, taxes and tax sale holdings | -- | 30000 | 1287 | 11243 | 3107 | 20731 | 3368 | 45266 | 209 | 11194 | 3560 | 34189 |
| Trust liabilities (offset by cash and taxes in trust) | 2450 | 89581 | 3547 | 57026 | 230 | 69982 | 9242 | 63638 | 1328 | 51650 | 1689 | 28794 |
| Net surplus | 28993 | -72797 | 35474 | -1962 | 52390 | -78091 | 29518 | -65291 | 10190 | -28296 | 25855 | -35959 |
| Total liabilities and surplus | 60878 | 446311 | 37875 | 239327 | 65825 | 408308 | 73122 | 387693 | 33774 | 239717 | 36699 | 188160 |
| Total net debenture debt of municipality including rural telephone and Union Hospital debenture debt | 51741 | 71649 | 56582 | 53327 | 66929 | 58914 | 25287 | 22887 | 43092 | 40590 | 24763 | 11223 |
| All liabilities Total | 83626 | 590757 | 58983 | 294616 | 80364 | 545313 | 68891 | 475871 | 66676 | 308603 | 35607 | 235342 |

APPENDIX B CONיD. (2)

| Rural Municipality No. Year | 103 |  | 131 |  | 132 |  | 133 |  | 162 |  | 163 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash and Bank Balance | \$26043 | \$16585 | \$17376 | \$6603 | \$2198 | \$4410 | \$2594 | \$1401 | \$9587 | \$8372 | \$15754 | \$ 883 |
| Sundry Accounts Receivable | 5760 | 715 | 3914 | 318 | 241 | 1175 | 2625 | 1028 | 4671 | 3084 | 6960 | 6606 |
| Arrears of Taxes | 29348 | 92491 | 25777 | 119002 | 16150 | 72561 | 21589 | 82113 | 7412 | 50131 | 17850 | 160742 |
| Tax sale holdings (Certificates \& property) | --- | 49384 | 2093 | 13215 | 241 | 2450 | 659 | 26603 | 4348 | 22699 | 6944 | 19896 |
| Supplies and deferred expenses | $\cdots$ | 30 | --- | 151 | -- | --- | -- | - - | --- | --- | -- | -- |
| Trust cash and taxes to be collected in trust |  | 21161 | --- | 64769 | 1357 | 7780 | 15 | 15117 | 225 | 25325 | 1001 | 52861 |
| Net value of capital or fixed assets | 17244 | 5610 | 14708 | 5509 | 1661 | 1367 | 2480 | 1613 | 5049 | 2553 | 6690 | 4036 |
| Total assets | 78395 | 185976 | 63868 | 209567 | 21848 | 89743 | 29962 | 126875 | 31292 | 112164 | 55199 | 245024 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sundry accounts payable | - | 7294 | 622 | 9851 | 154 | 9316 | 487 | 17440 | 1677 | 10753 | 1761 | 18084 |
| Due schools, etc., for requisition of taxes | -- | 35749 | - | 32969 | 2704 | 16703 | 177 | 30593 | 208 | 34264 | 276 | 44888 |
| Net bank overdrafts and bank loans | --- | 116217 |  | 125991 | 1500 | 33565 | - | 76104 | --- | 28163 | --- | 62599 |
| Gross debenture debt | 2250 | -- | 1250 | -- | 1200 | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | 4000 | 1410 |
| Reserves for uncollectible accounts receivable, taxes and tax sale holdings | 3575 | 51942 | 2766 | 12117 | 1624 | 16998 | 9484 | 20297 | 3885 | 13049 | 9502 | 14962 |
| Trust liabilities (offset by cash and taxes in trust) | --- | 21161 | --- | 64769 | 1357 | 7798 | 15 | 15117 | 225 | 25325 | 1001 | 52861 |
| Net surplus | 72571 | -46387 | 59230 | -36130 | 13308 | $+5363$ | 19799 | -32676 | 25298 | 4610 | 38659 | 450220 |
| Total liabilities and surplus | 78396 | 185976 | 63868 | 209567 | 21847 | 89743 | 29962 | 126875 | 31293 | 112164 | 55199 | 245024 |
| Total net debenture debt of municipality including rural telephone and Union Hospital debenture debt | 37333 | 10815 | 27372 | 17896 | 10536 | 5708 | 11244 | 9423 | 3017 | 1661 | 29197 | 15758 |
| A11 1iabilities Total | 43158 | 243178 | 32010 | 263593 | 19075 | 90088 | 21407 | 168974 | 9012 | 113215 | 45737 | 210562 |


| APPENDIX C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Riural Municipality No. $\frac{\text { NEVENUES AND EXPENDITUR }}{42}$ |  |  | $\frac{72}{}$ |  | $\frac{73}{1928}$ |  | 100 |  | -101 |  | 102 |  |
| Year | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue from taxation | \$36330 | 820152 | \$24262 | 413210 | \$35354 | \$14478 | \$30527 | \$31633 | \$20203 | \$12862 | \$21322 | *7228 |
| Penalties on tax arrears | 2851 | 12141 | 1876 | 6193 | 2655 | 7987 | 1413 | 7095 | 2763 | 7080 | 2181 | 5701 |
| Administration revenue | 1652 | 572 | 789 | 1722 | 1486 | 564 | 1921 | 505 | 690 | 538 | 546 | 527 |
| Other revenue | 1500 | --- | 800 | - | 750 | --- | 2100 | --- | 2300 | --- | 2000 | --- |
| Total revenue | 42333 | 32865 | 27727 | 21125 | 40245 | 23029 | 35961 | 39233 | 25956 | 20480 | 26049 | 11456 |
| Debenture issues | - | - | --- |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | 9815 | 34888 | 5020 | 11979 | 5078 | 17983 | 6105 | 16564 | 6769 | 11271 | 3186 | 7628 |
| Protection of person and property | 208 | 121 | 297 | 84 | 362 | 149 | 1362 | 134 | 192 | - | 999 | -- |
| Maintenance public works | 20466 | 3282 | 5546 | 4185 | 7583 | 7273 | 16332 | 2824 | 184 | 1465 | 5900 | 590 |
| Health and sanitation | 236 | 15 | 208 | 32 | 215 | -- | 100 | 100 | 117 | - | 126 | -- |
| Recreation and charities | 3202 | 7643 | 2723 | 3162 | 3023 | 4767 | 1556 | 1757 | 1382 | 5297 | 1317 | 681 |
| Debenture charges | 408 | -- | 1625 | --- | 798 | -- | 816 | 84 | 472 | -- | -- | --- |
| Capital expenditure chargeable to revenue | 6161 | 449 | 11140 | 665 | 23293 | 85 | 7555 | 112 | 14700 | -- | 14397 | --- |
| Reserves | - | -- | 687 | 800 | 750 | -- | 800 | 6000 | 1000 | 500 | 1300 | 2000 |
| Total expenditure chargeable to revenue | 40496 | 46398 | 27246 | 20907 | 41102 | 30257 | 34626 | 27575 | 24816 | 18533 | 27226 | 10899 |
| Capital expenditure from debentures | --- | -- | - | - | - | - | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | -- |


| Rural Municipality No. Year | 103 |  | 131 |  | 132 |  | 133 |  | 162 |  | 163 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 | 1928 | 1936 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue from taxation | \$27654 | \%19993 | \$24147 | \%15481 | \$7970 | \$6281 | \$12411 | \$7727 | \$12121 | ¢12107 | W11671 | \$9600 |
| Penalties on tax arrears | 2563 | 3968 | 2282 | 5800 | 1141 | 3285 | 1509 | 3462 | 692 | 2561 | 1968 | 7389 |
| Administration revenue | 396 | 647 | 854 | 3104 | 444 | 95 | 912 | 725 | 1502 | 908 | 2223 | 222 |
| Other revenue | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1800 | - | 1097 | - | 1500 | --- | 500 | - |
| Total revenue | 30613 | 24608 | 27283 | 24385 | 11355 | 9661 | 15929 | 11914 | 15815 | 15576 | 16362 | 17211 |
| Debenture issues | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | 4401 | 8150 | 3925 | 15264 | 2369 | 4441 | 2488 | 8895 | 2770 | 5856 | 3402 | 13200 |
| Protection of person and property | 1659 | 81 | 483 | 24 | 86 | 104 | 438 | 2 | 293 | 234 | 707 | --- |
| Maintenance public works | 9202 | 3868 | 4662 | 5857 | 485 | 296 | 3718 | 1145 | 4319 | 2855 | 3971 | 631 |
| Health and sanitation | 314 | - | 107 | 36 | --- | --- | 35 | -- | 353 | 393 | - | 600 |
| Recreation and charities | 3166 | 6886 | 2472 | 1048 | 100 | 192 | 376 | 675 | 995 | 867 | 478 | 1985 |
| Debenture charges | 651 | - | 355 | -- | 726 | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | 1368 | 25 |
| Capital expenditure chargeable to revenue | 4085 | --- | 15839 | 328 | 3145 | 25 | 4454 | --- | 10832 | --- | 10348 | -- |
| Reserves | 760 | 4950 | 1550 | 1500 | 530 | 3000 | 936 | -- | - | 3450 | --- | - |
| Total expenditure chargeable to revenue | 24238 | 23935 | 29393 | 24057 | 7441 | 8057 | 12447 | 10717 | 19564 | 13655 | 20274 | 16441 |
| Capital expenditure from debentures | - | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | - | --- | --- | --- | --m |


[^0]:    (1) Data taken from "Preliminary Report" on summary of progress made in Connection with the 1936 study.

[^1]:    (1) Public Revenue Tax was chosen arbitrarily for the purpose of illustration. It is a provincial tax paid by all the municipalities at a flat rate on their aggregate assessments.

