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#### Abstract

The thiopyran route to polypropionates is an attractive strategy that involves a stepwise iterative aldol homologation of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one (I) with thiopyran aldehyde (II) followed by desulfurization to rapidly assemble stereochemically complex polypropionate synthons such as (III) and (IV) in only a few steps (Figure A). 


Figure A
In chapter 1, the thesis is summarized in the context of relevant background research including; a) the basic principle of the thiopyran route; b) dynamic kinetic resolution of $\alpha$-substituted aldehydes; c) previous syntheses of serricornin; iv) previous syntheses of membrenones.

In chapter 2, proline-catalyzed enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one (I) with various achiral aldehydes were studied. The results provided insights on the behaviour and stereoselectivity profile of thiopyranone (a crucial starting block in the thiopyran design) in the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction.

In chapter 3, inspired by the results of the aldol reaction of ketone (I) with achiral aldehydes, we next investigated the proline-catalyzed asymmetric aldol reactions of (I) with racemic thiopyran aldehyde (II) as a strategy to rapidly prepare enantiomerically pure tetrapropionate synthons without any requirement of enantioenriched aldehyde (II). The reaction occurred with high enantiotopic group selectivity and dynamic kinetic resolution (Scheme A).

In chapter 4, a detailed study to ascertain the scope and limitations of the design strategy described in chapter 3 was extended towards other catalysts, aldehydes and ketones.

Finally, applications of the above mentioned strategy towards the synthesis of (-)serricornin and (-)-membrenones A and B are elaborated in chapters 5 and 6 respectively (Scheme A).


Scheme A
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Thiopyran route to polypropionates

Polyketides are a therapeutically appealing class of natural products. They are secondary metabolites from bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals and are generally produced from the (stereo)controlled oligomerization of acetyl and propionyl subunits. ${ }^{1-3}$ Polypropionates are an important subclass of polyketides and are characterized by the presence of a structural motif containing a linear carbon-carbon chain with alternating methyl and hydroxyl (oxo) substituents. ${ }^{4-9}$ In Nature, their biosynthesis involves condensation of suitably activated propionate precursors catalyzed by a family of enzymes or enzyme complexes known as the polyketide synthetase system ${ }^{10-14}$ (Figure 1.0). Polypropionate natural products are often associated with numerous biomedical promises ${ }^{1,2}$ such as antibiotics, antiparasitic and anti-cancer and intensive research concerning isolation, synthesis and pre-clinical evaluations of new polypropionate macrolides from Nature's reservoir is of concomitant focus in many pharmaceutical laboratories worldwide.


Figure 1.0: Biosynthesis of polypropionates

stegobinone (5)


tetrapropionate precusor


6-deoxyerythronolide B (7)




hexapropionate precursor
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Figure 1.1: Examples of polypropionate natural products

For instance, erythromycin, ${ }^{1,10}$ a well-established macrolide antibiotic has been widely used in the treatment of infections caused by gram-positive microorganisms and is used as an alternative for patients allergic to penicillin. The complex molecular architectures
of polypropionates present a challenging benchmark to chemists and have prompted the discovery and development of several stereoselective carbon-carbon bond forming reactions. ${ }^{15-25}$ The aldol reaction is widely regarded as one of the most powerful reactions in modern synthetic chemistry for C-C bond formation. ${ }^{26-35}$ This reaction has been intensively investigated over the past three decades and has found numerous applications in polyketide natural product synthesis. ${ }^{5,7,9,17}$ Some selected examples where stereoselective aldol reactions have contributed to the synthesis of polypropionate targets are stegobinone (5), ${ }^{36,37}$ erythronolide $\mathrm{B}(7),{ }^{38-45}$ baconipyrone $\mathrm{C}(6)^{46-48}$ and the denticulatins (8) ${ }^{49-57}$ (Figure 1.1). To date, a number of iterative methods have been developed that, in principle, can provide access to all possible stereoisomers of polypropionates from common building blocks with good stereocontrol generating (up to) two stereocenters for each cycle. ${ }^{15-25,39,40,58-67}$ However, most syntheses of polypropionate natural products follow a convergent pathway involving stereoselective synthesis and then coupling of chiral fragments to construct the polypropionate skeleton. ${ }^{68-73}$ The union of chiral fragments is complicated by double stereodifferentiation ${ }^{74,75}$ and retrosynthetic planning requires judicious selection of a strategic bond for disconnection. Consequently, such convergent pathways tend to be specific to a very small number of stereoisomers; that is, different stereoisomers generally require different synthetic routes and/or precursors.

A potentially more general approach to polypropionate synthesis involves aldol couplings of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one (12) derivatives followed by desulfurization. ${ }^{76}$ Developing this strategy has been a recent objective in the Ward laboratory. This so-called thiopyran route to polypropionates involves stepwise iterative
aldol homologations of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one (12) with thiopyran aldehyde 13 as conduit to rapidly assemble stereochemically complex polypropionate synthons $\mathbf{1 1}$ (six stereogenic centers) in only a few steps (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{77-87}$


Figure 1.2: The thiopyran route to polypropionates

The use of cyclic sulfides in the synthesis of natural products is a well established synthetic strategy. They offer several advantages such as rapid access to starting materials, versatile chemistry and ease of removal of the sulfur atom from the final product. ${ }^{88,89}$ For example, cyclic sulfides have been successfully used to address the
stereochemical issues of the olefin geometry in the synthesis of juvenile hormone precursor (18) ${ }^{89}$ as shown in Scheme 1.0.


Scheme 1.0: Juvenile hormone precursor approach

In a classical example, Woodward et al. ${ }^{90}$ exploited two cis-fused dithiadecalin scaffolds 21 to construct the C-3-C-8 and C-9-C-13 portions of the erythronolide A seco acid (20) where the rigidity of the dithiadecalin cyclic template permitted stereocontrolled introduction of the substituents (Figure 1.3).

19
macrocyclic polypropionate unit of erythromycin A


Figure 1.3: Erythronolide A seco acid synthetic approach

Ward's strategy begins with an initial retrosynthetic disconnection of the carboxyl moiety of hexapropionate $\mathbf{9}$ that leads to triketone $\mathbf{1 0}$ after appropriate oxidation state adjustments (Figure 1.2). Interestingly, this step dramatically reduces the number of possible diastereomers (512 to 20) and subsequently facilitates their access. In essence, stereoselective reductions and decarboxylation of $\mathbf{1 0}$ will be required to synthesize any desired stereoisomer of $\mathbf{9}$. Cyclic template $\mathbf{1 1}$ which is the surrogate for triketone $\mathbf{1 0}$ can be constructed by simultaneous or stepwise two directional aldol reactions of ketone 12 and aldehyde 13 as shown in Figure 1.2. An additional advantage of the thiopyran route is that all stereoisomers are prepared from the same starting materials $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ and both are robustly prepared from hydrogen sulfide and methyl acrylate. The ability to control the stereoselectivity of the aldol couplings and easy access of starting materials are crucial to the success of this approach.

Thiopyranone 12 and thiopyran aldehyde 13 are both prepared in multi-gram scale from diester 22 which is commercially available or readily prepared from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and methyl acrylate. ${ }^{91}$ The sequence involves a Dieckmann cyclization of diester 22 to $\beta$-keto ester 23 in 98\% yield using NaOMe followed by decarboxylation under acid reflux to give white crystalline ketone 12 in $80 \%$ yield. Alternatively, ketal protection of $\beta$-keto ester 23 to $\mathbf{2 4}$ followed by reduction and oxidation provides access to aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3}$ in excellent overall yield (Scheme 1.1). ${ }^{80}$


Scheme 1.1: Preparation of starting materials 12 and 13

The aldol coupling of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with $\mathbf{1 3}$ can produce four possible diastereomers. The diastereoselectivity of this first aldol is easily modulated by varying the reaction conditions. ${ }^{80}$ The amine free lithium enolate of $\mathbf{1 2}$, generated from enol silyl ether $\mathbf{2 6}$ by addition of MeLi, reacts with $\mathbf{1 3}$ to afford the 1',3-anti-1, $6^{\prime \prime}$-syn Felkin adduct 14as* as the major aldol in $70 \%$ yield (14as:14ss $=9: 1$ ). Isomerization ${ }^{79,81,85}$ of 14as in the presence of silica/Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ provides access to the 1 ',3-syn-1, 6 "-syn Felkin adduct 14ss in $75 \%$ yield (14ss:14as, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=2: 1$ ) after 2 cycles. Alternatively, 14ss can be selectively generated via aldol reaction of $\mathbf{2 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ mediated by $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ in $87 \%$ yield as the major product (14ss:14as $=15: 1$ ). Under chelation control, aldol coupling of 26 and $\mathbf{1 3}$ promoted by $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gives a $3: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of anti-Felkin adducts 14sa and 14aa in $84 \%$ combined yield. Furthermore, isomerization of 14sa in the presence of imidazole provides access to 14aa diastereomer in synthetically useful amounts (14sa:14aa, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=1.8: 1$ ). Appropriate functionalizations (i.e. carboxylation) of the four

[^0]diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 4}$ can produce stereochemically diverse tetrapropionate skeletons after desulfurization (Scheme 1.2).


Scheme 1.2: Preparation of the four diastereomeric aldol adducts of 12 and 13

In Nature, chiral polypropionate natural products are found in enantiopure form. Accordingly, aldol couplings of 12 and enantiopure aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3}$ to provide access to chiral nonracemic tetrapropionate synthons 14 were envisaged. Enantioselective protonation ${ }^{92,93}$ of the $s$-Buli derived lithium enolate of thioester 28 with $N$ isopropylephedrine 29 gives optically enriched (R)-28 in $51 \%$ yield ( $>95 \%$ ee) after recrystallization. Sequential reduction and oxidization of 28 provides enantiomerically enriched $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}\left(95 \%\right.$ ee) (Scheme 1.3). ${ }^{83}$ Under conditions identical to those used to generate racemic diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 4}$ (Scheme 1.2), enantioenriched diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 4}$ can be prepared as required using $(R) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ or its enantiomer.

Although the synthesis of enantiomerically pure 13 (a crucial starting block in the Ward's design) is robust, it nevertheless requires five chemical operations. From a scaleup perspective, developing a shorter and/or a more efficient alternative to prepare enantiopure $\mathbf{1 3}$ is highly desired.


Scheme 1.3: Preparation of enantioenriched aldehyde 13

Unfortunately, attempts to reduce the number of steps required to synthesize enantiomerically enriched $\mathbf{1 3}$ via enantioselective protonation were not rewarding. From a synthetic perspective, the goal of the research is to access enantiomerically pure first aldol adducts (14) and using enantiopure $\mathbf{1 3}$ is only one of the possible options. Consequently, one objective of my thesis research was to design a strategy to generate nonracemic first aldol adducts (14) by developing an enantioselective aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 3}$ (Figure 1.4). Because aldehyde $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ is chiral, its use will present a more
challenging and complicated scenario when undergoing an enantioselective process (under a chiral influence) as the possibility of either kinetic resolution or dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR) will arise and therefore careful analysis of literature precedence to tackle the above mentioned objective was required.


first objective


Figure 1.4: Developing an enantioselective aldol reaction of 12 with ( $\pm$ )-13

### 1.2 Organocatalyzed enantioselective direct aldol reactions of ketone 12 with achiral

 aldehydesIn 2000 , the use of the amino acid proline to catalyze highly enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions was disclosed by List, Lerner and Barbas ${ }^{94}$ almost 30 years after its intramolecular variant known as the Hajos-Parrish-Eder-Sauer-Wiechert reaction ${ }^{95,96}$ was discovered. Subsequently, this disclosure fueled investigations by several other groups towards designing more efficient organocatalysts for the aldol reaction and also elegantly extended this approach to other key carbon-carbon bond forming reactions. ${ }^{97}$ Although the stereoselectivities achieved in various organocatalyzed aldol reactions are remarkable, a major limitation of this process is the rather narrow substrate scope. The vast majority of examples to date involve simple achiral reactants. Inspired by these literature findings, we initially investigated the proline-catalyzed enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions of 12 with various achiral aldehydes. Under optimized conditions, anti adducts (30a) were obtained with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities in moderate to excellent yields (Scheme 1.4). Desulfurization of the aldol adducts provided products (31) equivalent to those (directly or indirectly) from an enantioselective aldol reaction of 3-pentanone. This is significant because 3-pentanone is unreactive in proline-catalyzed aldol reactions. An important finding of this study was the beneficial effect of controlled amounts of water to the reactions. The details of this study are presented in Chapter 2.


Scheme 1.4: Summary of chapter 2

### 1.3 Literature review on dynamic kinetic resolution of $\alpha$-epimerizable aldehydes

The next step was to determine if the conditions developed to effect enantioselective direct aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with simple achiral aldehydes could be applied to racemic 13. It was hoped that the high diastereofacial selectivity previously observed in additions to the aldehyde carbonyl in 13 combined with the high enantioselectivity of the proline-catalyzed reaction would facilitate a kinetic resolution. Although the use of chiral nonracemic aldehydes had been described, ${ }^{98-111}$ only scattered examples using racemic aldehydes in dynamic kinetic resolution ${ }^{112}$ had been published at the time this work was undertaken.

Kinetic resolution (KR) has found widespread industrial applications but suffers in that only $50 \%$ maximum yield can be obtained. Moreover, separation of the desired product from the unreacted enantiomer usually requires chromatography and the enantiopurity of product can be lowered by the extent of conversion. Ideally, these drawbacks can be overcome if the resolution step is combined with an in situ racemization/equilibration step where chirally labile substrate enantiomers are in equilibrium thereby inducing dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR). In this case, a maximum theoretical yield of $100 \%$ of a single stereoisomer can be achieved and realizing this feature was an important goal of this research. The in situ epimerization step can normally be effected either chemically, biocatalytically or even spontaneously. There have been several reviews ${ }^{113-119}$ during the past decades highlighting the importance of DKR in asymmetric synthesis.

Interestingly, there has been a limited appreciation of $\alpha$-epimerizable aldehydes as substrates for dynamic kinetic resolution despite their general tendency towards facile racemization.
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Scheme 1.5: Proline-catalyzed aldol reactions involving DKR

A key step in the Woodward's ${ }^{90}$ erythromycin synthesis involved the prolinecatalyzed intramolecular aldol cyclization of racemic $\alpha$-ketoaldehyde 32 (1:1 mixture) with DKR involving (in part) isomerization by enolization to give 33 as a $1: 1$ mixture in $36 \%$ ee. This rather mediocre enantioselectivity of 33 was overcome by a simple recrystallization of an advanced intermediate of 33 to afford enantiomerically pure
compound that was used to fabricate the C-3 to C-15 backbone of erythromycin, thus making the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction very efficient (Scheme 1.5). Other scattered examples involving proline-catalyzed intermolecular aldol reactions with racemic aldehydes occuring with modest levels of enantiotopic group selectivity have been observed by List ${ }^{120}$ and Barbas III ${ }^{121,122}$ as shown in Scheme 1.5.

Reports of enantioselective synthesis of nonbiaryl atropisomers have been scarce. ${ }^{123}$ Atropisomeric aryl carboxamides are chiral due to the orthogonal orientation of aryl and amide groups and readily undergo racemization through rotation about the arylcarbonyl bond. Walsh et al. ${ }^{124}$ recently disclosed the proline catalyzed aldol reaction of atropoisomeric amides 40 with acetone that occurred via dynamic kinetic resolution. As indicated earlier, proline was shown to catalyze efficiently asymmetric aldol reactions ${ }^{94,}$ ${ }^{125}$ and also has a lower affinity for amide carbonyl groups compared to Lewis acids. Proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of acetone with 2-formylaryl carboxamide (40) afforded good yields of 41 ( $80-90 \%$ ) with reasonable stereoselectivities (dr 2-8:1, 80-100\% ee). The presence of substituents at the 6 -position (i.e. $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ group in $\mathbf{4 0}$ ) were shown to have a pronounced effect in the diastereoselectivity of the reaction (Scheme 1.6 and Table 1.0)


Scheme 1.6: Proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of acetone with atropisomeric aryl carboxamides 40

Table 1.0: Aldol reactions of acetone with racemic atropisomeric aryl carboxamides (40) using proline involving DKR

| entry | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | Yield | dr (41a:41b) | ee (41a) \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | 92 | $3.6: 1$ | 94 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 86 | $7: 1$ | 82 |
| 3 | TMS | 79 | $8: 1$ | 88 |
| 4 | OMe | 80 | $2.2: 1$ | 95 |
| 5 | Ph | 100 | $3: 1$ | 90 |

Rein and Reiser ${ }^{126,127}$ reported the dynamic kinetic resolution $\alpha$-amino aldehydes in the Horner-Wadworth-Emmons olefination with chiral phosphonate ester 45. The biological importance of $\alpha$-amino aldehydes in the synthesis of natural products and their ease for facile racemization make them ideal candidates to be exploited in dynamic kinetic resolution (Scheme 1.7).


Scheme 1.7: Olefination of $\alpha$-amino aldehydes with chiral phosphonate ester 45

The authors demonstrated that reaction of aldehydes 42 with chiral phosphonate 45 required addition of a slight excess of base (KHMDS) to facilitate racemization of 42 in order to allow dynamic kinetic resolution to operate and which also improved the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. The reactions performed well with addition of only 1.3-1.4 equivalents of the aldehyde substrate and this route was amenable to prepare both di and tri-substituted alkenes. Furthermore, the $E / Z$ selectivity of the olefination was easily modulated by the nature of the phosphonate ester (Table 1.1).

Table 1.1: Olefination of racemic aldehydes 42 with chiral phosphonate ester 45

| entry | aldehyde | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | KHMDS <br> (eq) | Yield (\%) <br> (major isomer) | dr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 42a | H | $-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 1.0 | $96(E)$ | $81: 19$ |
| 2 | 42a | H | $\left.-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 1.0 | $94(E)$ | $77: 23$ |
| 3 | 42a | H | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 1.0 | $62(\mathrm{Z})$ | $80: 20$ |
| 4 | 42a | H | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 1.2 | $64(Z)$ | $94: 6$ |
| 5 | 42a | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 1.0 | $69(Z)$ | $95: 5$ |
| 6 | 42b | H | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 1.0 | $86(Z)$ | $52: 48$ |
| 7 | 42b | H | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 1.2 | $81(Z)$ | $52: 48$ |
| 8 | 42c | H | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 1.0 | $69(Z)$ | $90: 10$ |
| 9 | 42c | H | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 1.2 | $77(Z)$ | $95: 5$ |

In a collaborative work, Kosmrlj and coworkers ${ }^{128}$ recently demonstrated a remarkably simple and efficient process of deracemizing $\alpha$-substituted ketones and aldehydes via crystallization-induced dynamic resolution (CIDR) of imines. The process involves formation of a crystalline imine derived from reactions of epimerizable ketones/aldehydes with a chiral nonracemic amine. Selective crystallization of one diastereomer of the imine (CIDR) and subsequent hydrolysis of the crystalline diastereomer provided enantioenriched ketone or aldehyde (Scheme 1.8). The
diastereoselectivity of the imines ( $E / Z$ mixture) based on the epimerizable stereogenic center observed for the aldimines ( $>95 \%$ E selectivity) were higher than the ketimines (1:1). The reaction performed better in protic solvents (methanol and ethanol) than aprotic solvents. Equilibration of the imines from 46a in methanol afforded enantioenriched ketone $(R)$ or (S)-46a in $94-97 \%$ yield $(90-92 \%$ ee) after biphasic hydrolysis using hexane/acetic acid-sodium acetate buffer. Deracemization of aldehyde 46b via CIDR of its aldimines gave enantiomerically enriched aldehyde ent-46b in $98 \%$ ee and $94 \%$ yield after hydrolysis with $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$.



Scheme 1.8: CIDR of racemic substrates

The enantioselective reduction or hydrogenation of $\alpha$-branched chiral aldehydes or their derived imines present a major challenge to synthetic chemists because, in contrast to ketones, a new stereogenic center is not generated. Recently, List et al. ${ }^{129}$ reported the catalytic asymmetric reductive amination of $\alpha$-epimerizable aldehydes via dynamic kinetic resolution. The use of Hantzsch ester ${ }^{130} 50$ acting as the hydride source with the chiral Bronsted acid catalyst 3,3'-bis (2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)-1,1'-binaphthyl-2,2'-diyl hydrogen phosphate 49 (TRIP) ${ }^{131,132}$ has been previously applied in the organocatalytic enantioselective reduction of ketimines ${ }^{133,134}$ and subsequently was extended to aldimines (Scheme 1.9).


Scheme 1.9: Reductive amination of $\alpha$-substituted aldehydes via DKR

The $\alpha$-substituted aldehydes undergo facile racemization via an imine/enamine tautomerization in the presence of an amine and the acid catalyst and reduction of one of the imine enantiomers is faster than the other under the chiral influence of $49(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, resulting in enantioenriched amines. In general, this approach was amenable to a wide range of $\alpha$-substituted aldehydes producing high yields of amines with excellent enantioselectivities. Electron rich and deficient aromatic aldehydes performed extremely well and gave high yields ( $80-96 \%$ ) and high ees ( $>90 \%$ ) but the results from aliphatic aldehydes were more modest (Table 1.2).

Table 1.2: Scope of the catalytic asymmetric reductive amination of $\mathbf{4 8}$ with 50

| entry | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | Yield (51) | ee (\%) (51) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Ph | Me | PMP | 87 | 96 |
| 2 | Ph | Me | Ph | 78 | 94 |
| 3 | Ph | Me | 4-CF $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 54 | 90 |
| 4 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | PMP | 86 | 94 |
| 5 | $2-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | PMP | 84 | 94 |
| 6 | $2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | PMP | 92 | 94 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | Me | PMP | 40 | 80 |
| 8 | $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | Me | PMP | 39 | 40 |

The preparation of optically active primary alcohols by Ru-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of racemic $\alpha$-substituted aldehydes via dynamic kinetic resolution was recently reported by Zhou and coworkers. ${ }^{135}$ The asymmetric hydrogenation of a variety of racemic $\alpha$-arylaldehydes with a catalyst derived from $\mathrm{RuCl}, 54$ and 55 (0.2-0.02 mol\%) were successfully achieved ( $100 \%$ conversion obtained in all cases studied) with little influence of the electronic properties exerted by the substituents of aromatic ring observed. The presence of bulky alkyl groups at the $\alpha$-position of the aldehydes was
found to be crucial to obtain high enantioselectivity in the hydrogenation process (Table 1.3).



Scheme 1.10: Asymmetric hydrogenation of $\alpha$-substituted aldehydes via DKR

Table 1.3: Asymmetric hydrogenation of arylaldehydes 52 with $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(55)(54 \mathrm{c})\right]$

| entry | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | R | ee (\%) (53) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Ph | Me | 78 |
| 2 | Ph | Et | 86 |
| 3 | Ph | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 96 |
| 4 | Ph | $c$-Pent | 92 |
| 5 | Ph | $c$-Hex | 92 |
| 6 | $2-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 95 |
| 7 | $4-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 84 |
| 8 | $4-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 90 |

### 1.4 Organocatalyzed enantioselective aldol reaction of 12 with chiral aldehydes via DKR

Gratifyingly, the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with ( $\pm$ )-13 was also highly diastereo- and enantioselective and proceeded via DKR providing access to enantioenriched 14as ( $>98 \%$ ee) without the requirement of enantiomerically pure $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Scheme 1.11). As in the previous study, the presence of water is highly beneficial. The reaction works even better using the proline-derived catalyst 5-[(2S)-pyrrolidine-2-yl]-1H-tetrazole (60) (Scheme 1.12). Moreover, because 14as is readily isomerized to 14ss, this diastereomer is also available via the process. A detailed description of this research is presented in Chapter 3 (Scheme 1.11).


Scheme 1.11: Summary of chapter 3
The scope and limitations of this enantioselective direct aldol reaction with other cyclic and acyclic chiral aldehydes were investigated (Scheme 1.12). These results are described in Chapter 4 (Scheme 1.12).


Scheme 1.12: Summary of chapter 4

With a robust protocol available to generate enantiopure tetrapropionate synthons 14as and 14ss, my second objective was to demonstrate their synthetic utility in natural product synthesis. Serricornin (61) was the initial synthetic target (Figure 1.5).



Figure 1.5: Synthesis of serricornin (61)

### 1.5 Literature review on serricornin chemistry

### 1.5.1 Isolation and structure determination

Serricornin (61) is the sex pheromone of the female cigarette beetle (Lasioderma serricorne F.), a serious pest of cured tobacco leaves and various dried foodstuffs. Pheromones are naturally occurring substances secreted by living organisms to convey specific signals to other individuals of the same species and are referred to as semiochemicals. ${ }^{136,137}$ Pheromones are generally species specific and are attracting commercial interest nowadays because they offer an environmentally friendly alternative to pesticides which are toxic. They are often isolated as volatile oils in minute quantities and determination of their absolute stereochemistries by conventional spectral analysis can be tedious. Consequently, enantioselective syntheses are often required for structure confirmation.

During the course of chemical studies, Chuman and coworkers ${ }^{138}$ isolated the sex pheromone (-)-serricornin (61) produced by the female cigarette beetle. ${ }^{139}$ The two dimensional structure of (-)-serricornin (61) was successfully assigned by the original authors to be 7-hydroxy-4,6-dimethylnonanone based on NMR spectral evidence. ${ }^{138}$ The relative and absolute configurations were later established from a series of synthetic studies that produced all eight of the possible stereoisomers of $\mathbf{6 1}$ and serricornin was consequently determined to be the $(4 S, 6 S, 7 S)$-isomer by comparison to the original data. ${ }^{140-142}$ Pheromone $\mathbf{6 1}$ exists as an equilibrium mixture of the ketol and cyclic hemiacetal forms, ${ }^{143}$ it is often characterized as the corresponding acetate 63 (Figure 1.6).

Stereochemistry has a profound effect in pheromone recognition and biological activities. Usually, only one enantiomer of the pheromone is bioactive and the other
enantiomer is not or inhibits the action of the pheromone. Sex pheromone 61 elicits mating behaviors and is commercially available as cigarette beetle traps. The attractant activity of $\mathbf{6 1}$ is at least $10^{3}$ greater than any of the other stereoisomers, and the $(4 S, 6 S, 7 R)$-diastereomer inhibits the activity of $\mathbf{6 1}$ while the $(4 R, 6 R, 7 R)$-enantiomer is not bioactive. ${ }^{144,145}$


Figure 1.6: Acyclic and cyclic forms of (-)-serricornin
The potential commercial value of $\mathbf{6 1}$ has attracted lots of synthetic considerations from the scientific community and to date numerous syntheses of $\mathbf{6 1}$ have been reported in the literature. The most common strategies employed involve either Grignard addition of EtMgBr to the lactone 67 or alkylation of 3-pentanone (65) with a suitable derivatives of 64 (Scheme 1.13). Selected approaches are documented in the following sections.


64
LG = leaving group
$\mathrm{P}=$ protecting group





67

Scheme 1.13: Common strategies employed towards 61

### 1.5.2 Synthesis of serricornin (61) via Grignard addition to lactone 67

The majority of the syntheses of $\mathbf{6 1}$ involved stereoselective alkylation of lactone 66 followed by EtMgBr addition. Lactone 66 was synthesized using various methodologies from either racemic or nonracemic starting materials as shown in Scheme 1.14. This section summarizes selected syntheses of $\mathbf{6 6}$ or $\mathbf{6 7}$ that were subsequently converted to $\mathbf{6 1}$ or reported as formal synthesis of $\mathbf{6 1}$.

Pilli et al. ${ }^{146}$ reported the synthesis of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{6 1}$ based on stereoselective addition of the preformed lithium enolate of ketone 68 to propanal followed by acetylation of the resulting $\beta$-hydroxy ketone to obtain 69 in high yield and excellent diastereoselectivity ( $>98 \% \mathrm{ds}$ ). After desilylation of $\mathbf{6 9}$ under acidic conditions, the carbonyl group was reduced to the corresponding diol that was uneventfully cleaved to $\beta$-acetoxy aldehyde 70 on treatment with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$. Horner-Wittig homologation of aldehyde 70 to 71 or 72 was unselective ( $E: Z=1: 1$ ). Nevertheless, 71 and 72 were converted to lactones $\mathbf{6 6}$ and $\mathbf{6 7}$ respectively after a sequence of hydrogenation of the olefin moiety, hydrolysis of the esters and lactonization promoted by $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$. Lactone 67 was eventually converted to ( $\pm$ )-61 by EtMgBr addition ( 9 steps in $21 \%$ overall yield) (Scheme 1.14).

Pilli ${ }^{147}$ also reported the formal synthesis of (-)-61 utilizing Evan's chiral auxillary. Aldol reaction of the boron enolate of $N$-propionyl oxazolidinone 73 with propanal afforded adduct 74 as the only diastereomer in $80 \%$ yield. The remaining steps involved basic hydrolysis of the chiral auxillary, protecting group manipulations, and intramolecular cyclization via nucleophillic attack of the preformed enolate of the acetyl group to secure lactone $\mathbf{6 6}$ in 22\% overall yield over 6 steps from 73.


Scheme 1.14: Synthesis of lactones 66 and/or 67

Ferreira's synthesis ${ }^{148}$ started with the alkylation of the LDA-derived sulfoxide anion of optically pure 77 with ethyl iodide to give $E$-disubstituted vinyl sulfoxide 78 in moderate yield. Treatment of $\mathbf{7 8}$ with a large excess of dichloroketene afforded a single diastereomer of adduct 79. Reductive removal of chlorine atoms in 79 was achieved using aluminum amalgam and subsequent Raney nickel desulfurization gave $\mathbf{8 0}$ with retention of configuration. Lactone $\mathbf{8 0}$ was reduced to diol $\mathbf{8 1}$ and the primary alcohol was selectively tosylated and then displaced with sodium cyanide. Hydrolysis of the resulting cyanide and subsequent lactonization gave desired lactone 66 (Scheme 1.14).

The formal synthesis of $\mathbf{6 1}$ by H -Joon $\mathrm{Ha}^{149}$ is based on a lipase mediated hydrolysis of racemic lactone 82 to provide enantiomerically pure acetate 83. Deacetylation of $\mathbf{8 3}$ was followed by tosylation to furnish $\mathbf{8 4}$ which upon treatment with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in methanol gave epoxide $\mathbf{8 5}$ in $85 \%$ yield. Regioselective methylation of $\mathbf{8 5}$ was performed with MeMgBr in the presence of catalytic amount of CuI that afforded lactone 80 in $75 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.14).

Veselovsky's synthesis ${ }^{150}$ of serricornin (61) involved hydrolysis of enantiomerically pure nitrile $\mathbf{8 6}$ to the corresponding heptenoic acid 87. Unfortunately, iodolactonization of carboxylic acid 87 with either $\mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{KI}$ or NIS was not selective providing chromatographically separable lactones $\mathbf{8 8}$ and $\mathbf{8 9}$ in nearly the same amounts. Finally, removal of iodide in $\mathbf{8 9}$ by treatment with $n \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ furnished $\mathbf{6 6}$ in $97 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.14).

Asymmetric acetylation of racemic $C_{2}$ symmetric diol 90 was resolved to diacetate 91 with vinyl acetate in the presence of lipase AK to give 91 with high ee. Compound 91 was treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in methanol followed by monosilylation of the resulting diol to afford 92 in $96 \%$ yield over 2 steps. TPAP oxidation of the primary alcohol in 92 furnished the corresponding aldehyde which was subjected without purification to EtMgBr addition resulting in a $2: 1$ mixture of $(2 S, 4 S, 5 S)-93$ and its $(2 S, 4 S, 5 R)$-isomer. Interestingly, the desired $(2 S, 4 S, 5 S)$ diastereomer 93 was separated from the $2: 1$ mixture by treatment with vinyl acetate and lipase PS-D and was subjected sequentially to acetylation, silyl deprotection and PDC oxidation to give the acetoxy acid 94. Acetate hydrolysis and acid promoted lactonization afforded 67, a known precursor of serricornin (61) (Scheme 1.15).


Scheme 1.15: Mori's synthesis of lactone 67

### 1.5.3 Synthesis of serricornin (61) via alkylation of 3-pentanone with suitable electrophiles (64)

An important number of syntheses of serricornin (61) were achieved by alkylation of 3-pentanone with suitable electrophiles. Precursors such as $\mathbf{9 8}$ and 99 were synthesized by exploitation of different methodologies by various research groups where the total or formal synthesis of $\mathbf{6 1}$ was reported as shown in Scheme 1.16.

Baker's ${ }^{151}$ approach began with a diastereoselective aldol reaction of chiral boron enolate 95 with propanal to afford syn $\beta$-hydroxy-carboxylic acid 96 as the only diastereomer in $70 \%$ yield after TBAF removal of the silyl group and oxidative cleavage of the chiral auxillary. Esterification of 96 was followed by TBDMS protection of the alcohol and DIBAL-H reduction to provide alcohol 97 that was tosylated and converted to iodide 99 in $50 \%$ overall yield starting from 95. Finally, (-)-serricornin acetate (63) was obtained in $30 \%$ via alkylation of the Li-enolate of 3-pentanone (65) with 99 (not stereoselective) followed by desilylation and acetylation (Scheme 1.16).

Chong's ${ }^{152}$ synthesis employed aldol coupling of the boron enolate of oxazolidinone $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ with propanal to provide crystalline aldol adduct 101 in $80 \%$ yield and excellent diastereoselectivity. Sequential hydrolysis, reduction and functional group manipulations gave iodide 98 which was used to alkylate either 65 or its derived hydrazone 108 (not stereoselective) to furnish 63 in $33 \%$ yield over 8 steps from oxazolidinone $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ after TBS deprotection and acetylation. Similarly, Oppolzer's ${ }^{153}$ strategy was based on aldol reaction of chiral $N$-acylsultam 102 with propanal to give the syn adduct $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ (sole diastereomer) in crystalline form after TBDMS protection. DIBAL-

H reduction of $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ afforded the known alcohol 104 that was previously utilized ${ }^{154}$ to synthesize 61 (Scheme 1.16).


Scheme 1.16: Alkylating precursors for the synthesis of 61

Enders ${ }^{155}$ utilized the chiral SAMP-hydrazone 105 which was prepared from 65 in quantitative yield. Reaction of hydrazone 105 with $\mathrm{LDA} / \mathrm{BOMCl}$ and ozonolysis of the resulting substituted hydrazone provided anticipated ketone 106. Selective reduction of 106 using L-Selectride was followed by a sequence of MOM protection, debenzylation, tosylation, and iodination to give iodide 107 in excellent yield. Finally, $\mathbf{6 1}$ was secured by a stereoselective alkylation of hydrazone 105 with 108 using LDA followed by ozonolytic cleavage and MOM protecting group.

## Fujusawa 1984


Szurdoki 1992

Mori 1985


Scheme 1.17: Precursors for the synthesis of 61

Other approaches towards synthesizing convenient derivatives of $\mathbf{6 4}$ for use in alkylation of 65 or its hydrazone derivatives to access serricornin (61) include as pivotal steps: a) Claisen rearrangement of (1R)-methyl-(2E)-butenyl hydroxyacetate (Fujisawa 1984) $)^{156}$ b) microbial oxidation of pentanoic acid followed by methylation (Mori 1985) ${ }^{157}$ and, c) Sharpless epoxidation of (Z)-2-pentenol (113) followed by dimethyl cuprate regioselective epoxide ring opening (Szurdoki 1992) ${ }^{158}$ are outlined in Scheme 1.17.

### 1.5.4 Other syntheses of serricornin (61)

Baker's yeast reduction ${ }^{159-164}$ of $\beta$-keto esters and aldehydes is an attractive, environmentally benign and inexpensive method for the efficient preparation of enantiomerically pure alcohols. Shimizu et al. ${ }^{165}$ successfully demonstrated high stereoselectivities in baker's yeast reductions of a series of $\beta$-keto-aldehydes by using sulfur compounds as additives. Enantioselective reduction of $\beta$-keto-aldehyde $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ gave diols 119 and 120 (that were separable as their acetonides) with good diastereoselectivity and excellent ee ( $>99 \%$ ). Selective tosylation of the primary alcohol 121 and subsequent TBDMS protection of the secondary alcohol provided $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ that was in turn converted to iodide 124 in 98\% yield over 2 steps.


Scheme 1.18: Shimizu's synthesis of 61

Diastereomers 126 and 127 (chromatographically separable) were obtained via alkylation of hydrazone 125 with 124 followed by TBDMS deprotection of the corresponding adduct. Finally, desulfurization and acetylation of the desired compound 127 furnished 63 (Scheme 1.18).

Matteson's ${ }^{166}$ synthetic approach to serricornin (61) involved reaction of boron reagent 128 with 1-ethylethenylmagnesium bromide 129 to provide 130 in $95 \%$ yield which was subsequently converted to boronate 131 by reaction with $\mathrm{LiCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$.


Scheme 1.19: Matteson's synthesis of 61

Homologation of 131 and 132 were both achieved in a similar fashion by sequential treatment of the corresponding boronate with $\mathrm{LiCHCl}_{2}$ followed by introduction of an alkyl group using the Grignard protocol. This sequential chain extension elegantly installed the desired three dimensional skeleton of $\mathbf{6 1}$ in excellent yields. Oxidative
removal of the boron in 133 by treatment with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was followed by conventional cleavage of the olefin in product 134 using $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to secure serricornin (61) in 33-39\% overall yield over 9 steps starting from Grignard reagent 128 (Scheme 1.19).

An efficient synthesis of $\mathbf{6 1}$ from 14as via the thiopyran route to polypropionates is documented in Chapter 5 (Scheme 1.20). In principle, this route can be adapted to provide all possible isomers of $\mathbf{6 1}$.


Scheme 1.20: Summary of chapter 5

Having successfully demonstrated the synthetic utility of 14as as a tetrapropionate synthon towards the synthesis of serricornin (61) (Scheme 1.20), the next objective was to apply synthetically useful hexapropionate synthons 11 (Figure 1.2) obtainable via aldol reactions of first aldol adducts $\mathbf{1 4}$ with aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3}$ towards hexapropionate based natural products.

### 1.6 The thiopyran route to polypropionates: hexapropionate synthons ${ }^{78,87}$

The aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ can generate up to 20 diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 1}$, four of which are meso and 16 are chiral. Aldol coupling of any diastereomer of ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{1 4}$ (or derivatives) with ( $\pm$ )-13 can generate up to eight possible diastereomeric adducts of 11, four each from like and unlike ${ }^{\dagger}$ combinations of the reactant enantiomers. Union of racemic fragments is complicated by double stereodifferentiation (DS $)^{74,167}$ but careful analysis of the distribution of the products interestingly reveals the complete stereoselectivity profile of such reactions. That is, the diastereoselectivities (i.e. double stereodifferentiation, DS) and relative rates (i.e. mutual kinetic enantioselection, MKE) ${ }^{168,169}$ of the like and unlike combinations of the reactants (i.e. matched or mismatched) are simultaneously determined and can reveal strategies for the exploitation of DS and MKE from a synthetic perspective.

Aldol reaction of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 4}$ as with $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ via the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolate prepared by reaction with $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}{ }^{170-172}\left(\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}\right.$ gave mediocre yields and stereoselectivities) and ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}$ as base gave meso bisaldol adduct 11a in $85 \%$ yield as the only isolable diastereomer. Bisaldol 11a is an unlike adduct that results from a combination of reactants where the absolute configurations at C-6' of 14as and C-6" of $\mathbf{1 3}$ are opposite. The unlike reaction can produce up to four diastereomers; however, because only 11a is isolated, this reaction must be highly diastereoselective. Products from the like reaction were not detected implying that the unlike reaction must be much more facile (i.e. high MKE) (Scheme 1.21).
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Scheme 1.21: Aldol reactions of $( \pm)-14$ with $( \pm)-13$

Under similar conditions, reactions of $( \pm)$-13 with $( \pm)$-14ss, $( \pm)$-14sa or $( \pm)$-14aa via their $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolates also gave primarily single aldol adducts 11b, 11c and 11d respectively (Scheme 1.21). As with the reaction with 14as, these reactions proceed with high MKE and are highly diastereoselective. In contrast to other diastereomers of 14, aldol reaction of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ with the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolate of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 4 s s}$, prepared by reaction with excess $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ (3 equiv) and $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i} P r}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (1 equiv) followed by ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}$, gave a 10:3:1 mixture of three compounds $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 1 e},( \pm)$-11f and $\mathbf{1 1 g}$, respectively ( $80 \%$ combined yield) as shown in

Scheme 1.22. Surprisingly, the product distribution is completely different when aldol reaction of $( \pm)$-14ss with $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ was performed with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ alone. Adducts $\mathbf{1 1 e}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 g}$ are derived from an unlike combination of reactants whereas $\mathbf{1 1 f}$ results from a like combination. Both like and unlike reactions show good diastereoselectivity (unlike, two of four possible adducts in a 10:1 ratio; like only one of the four possible adducts detected) and proceed with modest MKE (11:3 in favor of the unlike reaction).


Scheme 1.22: Aldol reaction of $( \pm)$-14ss with $( \pm)-13$ using $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$

Interestingly, when ( $\pm$ )-14as was protected as the MOM ether 135as and then coupled with $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ via the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolate, bisaldol adducts $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 3 6 a}$ (like adduct; the absolute configurations at C-6' of 135as and C-6" of $\mathbf{1 3}$ are the same) and ( $\pm$ )-136b (unlike adduct; the absolute configurations at C-6' of 135as and C-6" of $\mathbf{1 3}$ are opposite) were produced in a nearly 1:1 ratio. Analysis of the product distribution indicated that the
reaction of $( \pm)$-135as and $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 3}$ proceeds with a low level of MKE (like and unlike combinations react with near equal facility). Interestingly, both the like and unlike reactions are highly diastereoselective and each gives only one of the four possible adducts. Thus, double stereodifferentiation has been avoided. Under similar conditions, reactions of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ with $( \pm)$-135ss, $( \pm)$-135sa or $( \pm)$-135aa via their $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolates also gave predominantly two aldol adducts in nearly equal amounts as shown in Scheme 1.23. As with the reaction with 135as, these reactions proceed with low level of MKE and are highly diastereoselective.









Scheme 1.23: Aldol reactions of ( $\pm$ )-135 with ( $\pm$ )-13

In a synthetic direction, enantiopure bisaldol adducts 11 can be produced via aldol reactions of enantioenriched 14 with enantioenriched 13 , however the meso diastereomers require enantioselective desymmetrization ${ }^{173}$ to produce enantiomerically enriched hexapropionate fragments 9 (Figure 1.2). Desymmetrization of meso diketone 138 to generate nonracemic hexapropionate synthons by sequential enantiotopic group selective enolization has been successfully demonstrated in the Ward group ${ }^{86}$ (Scheme 1.24). As indicated earlier, meso compound 11a was prepared in $85 \%$ yield from stereoselective aldol coupling of two chiral racemic reactants $\mathbf{1 4 a s}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ mediated by $\mathrm{Ti}^{i} \mathrm{OPrCl}_{3}{ }^{87}$ while meso $144^{86}$ was obtained via a two-directional boron-mediated aldol reaction of cis dialdehyde 143 and 12. Meso adducts 11 a and 143 were easily converted to 1,9-diketones 138, 140 and 145 as depicted in Scheme 1.24. Enantioselective enolizations of the meso diketones 138, 140 and 145 by deprotonation using the chiral lithium amide base 137 to give the respective mono-TMS enol ethers 139,141 and 146 were successfully achieved with high enantiopurities and excellent overall yields. The synthetic usefulness of this approach was illustrated by desulfurization of nonracemic hexapropionate synthon 141 that occurred without loss of stereochemical integrity. Applications of 139,141 and 146 to the synthesis of polypropionates such as denticulatins, ${ }^{49-57}$ erythronolide $\mathrm{B}^{10,39,40,42,43,174,175}$ and enteridic acid ${ }^{176}$ respectively are currently underway in the Ward group (Scheme 1.24).
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Scheme 1.24: Desymmetrization of meso hexapropionate synthons
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetrical bisaldols such as 11a can be readily desymmetrized to obtain enantioenriched hexapropionate synthons while other diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 1}$ can be generated via aldol reaction of enantioenriched 14 and 13. A detailed study on the aldol reactions of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 4}$ with $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ was elaborated earlier and one can easily conclude that access to other enantiomerically pure bisaldol adducts $\mathbf{1 1}$ in a synthetic direction simply requires appropriate coupling of nonracemic reactants 14 and 13. For instance, using the previously developed proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ gives ready access to enantiopure 14as (Scheme 1.11). Isomerization of enantiopure 14as gives enantiopure 14ss as shown in scheme 1.2. As described ealier, the aldol reaction of $( \pm)$-14ss with $( \pm)$ 17 was performed with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ alone gave predominatly 11b in $53 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.21). The reaction is highly diastereoselective and proceeds with high MKE in favor of the like reaction. This result suggests that useful levels of kinetic resolution will be observed in a similar reaction of an enantioenriched reactant with a racemic reactant to provide access to enantioenriched bisaldol adduct 11b (Scheme 1.25).

Alternatively, aldol reaction of MOM ether ( $\pm$ )-135as with ( $\pm$ )-13 via the Ti(IV) enolate gives bisaldol adducts $( \pm)-136 \mathbf{a}$ (like adduct) and $( \pm)$-136b (unlike adduct) in a nearly $1: 1$ ratio. The reaction proceeds with low level of MKE and both the like and unlike reactions are highly diastereoselective. This result suggests that kinetic resolution will not be observed in a similar reaction of an enantioenriched reactant with a racemic reactant. From a synthetic perspective, access of enantiomerically pure bisaldol adducts 136a or 136b simply requires appropriate aldol coupling of nonracemic reactants 14 and 13 (Scheme 1.25).




Scheme 1.25: Accessing enantioenriched 11 vial aldol reactions

In summary, robust procedures are available within the thiopyran protocol to construct eleven stereochemically complex (6 stereocenters) hexapropionate synthons $\mathbf{1 1}$ via 2 or 3 steps from 13 and 14. Enantioselective desymmetrization of meso diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 1}$ via deprotonation of a derived 1,9-diketones has been demonstrated. Enantioenriched chiral diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 1}$ can be prepared from aldol couplings of nonracemic diastereomers of 14 and 13. My third objective was to demonstrate the synthetic utility of 11 by application to natural products synthesis. I had previously developed an enantioselective aldol reaction of 12 with $( \pm)-13$ to afford 14as in good yield and with excellent enantiopurity. Isomerization of 14as provides an efficient route to 14ss. As described
above, enantioenriched 11b, 11e, 11f, 136a, 136b, 136c, and 136d can be prepared from enantioenriched 14as or 14ss. With this in mind, membrenone $B$ was selected as the synthetic target. The enantioselective total synthesis of membrenone $B$ (148) and a formal synthesis of membrenone A (147) are described in Chapter 6 (Scheme 1.26).


Scheme 1.26: Summary of chapter 6

### 1.7 The membrenones

### 1.7.1 Isolation and structure determination

Opisthobranchs, commonly known as sea slugs are soft-bodied and cryptic colored marine molluscs usually deprived of a protective shell. ${ }^{177-181}$ Without a protective shell, their defense mechanism against potential predators relies on a number of different strategies such as camouflage, warning coloration, behavioural modifications (e.g. being active at night when predators are asleep), and secretion of chemicals rendering them posionous and/or unpalatable. ${ }^{182}$ They are a relatively small group of marine organisms with approximately 6,000 living species studied and only few hundreds of them have been chemically analyzed for their natural products secretion that are mainly derived from three basic secondary metabolic pathways namely acetate, propionate and mevalonate. ${ }^{178,180}$ Opisthobranch metabolites have attracted considerable interest by scientists over the years with potential biomedical properties such as antibacterial, antifungal, cytotoxic, antitumor, antineoplastic, etc. In 1993, Ciavatta and coworkers ${ }^{183}$ isolated three new structurally similar polypropionates, membrenones A-C (149A-C) (Figure 1.7) from the skin of a Mediterranean pleurobranchoidean mollusc species, the notaspidean Pleurobranchus membrenaceus.


149A Membrenone A


149B Membrenone $B$


149C Membrenone C

Figure 1.7: Structures of membrenones A-C

The low abundance of $149 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$ isolated as well as the rarity of the Mediterranean pleurobranchoidean mollusc species in the Gulf of Naples where they were initially discovered consequently impeded the disclosure of their biological properties. After extensive NMR spectral analysis, the membrenones were successfully shown to consist of a polypropionate skeleton of 6 propionate units with an unusual $\gamma$-dihydropyrone system. The relative configurations at C-6 and C-7 of 149A-C were assigned to be trans based on the large coupling constant observed between H-6 and H-7 (13.8 Hz). The relative configurations at $\mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{C}-9$ and $\mathrm{C}-10$ in 149A and 149B were not determined. Diagnostic small coupling between H-9 and H-10 $\left(\mathrm{J}_{9-10}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ indicated a cis relationship between the substituents at $\mathrm{C}-9$ and $\mathrm{C}-10$ in 149C. The absolute configuration of the acyl residue in 149A was determined to be $(R)$ by literature comparison of the derived Mosher's ester of 2(R)-methylbutanol obtained via $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of natural membrenone A . The two dimensional structures of 149A-C were therefore successfully elucidated while the relative configurations at C-8, C-9 and C-10 and the absolute configurations remained uncertain. In the absence of natural samples, synthesis of all possible diastereomers of 149A-C and direct comparison to the original data ${ }^{183}$ was the only alternative approach available to confirm the three dimensional structures of the natural membrenones.

### 1.7.2 Perkins's synthesis ${ }^{184-186}$ and structural assignment of 149C

Considering the anti relative configuration at C-6 and C-7 and syn configuration at C-9 and C-10 with an unknown configuration at C-8 suggested four possible diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 4 9 C}$ as shown in Figure 1.8. Ideally each diastereomer can be synthesized and the structure of 149C can be subsequently established by comparison with the original data.





Figure 1.8: Four possible diastereomeric structures of 149C
Retrosynthetically, unraveling 149C led to tetraketone 154, which was in turn anticipated from deprotection and oxidation state adjustment of the hydroxyl groups from diketone 155. Perkins adopted a double aldol type disconnection to assemble the C-4-C-5 and C-11-C-12 bonds of diketone 155 from dialdehyde 156 and 3-pentanone (65). The five stereogenic centers in 156 linking C-6 to C-10 were mapped onto Paterson's protocol ${ }^{17,187-190}$ for synthesis of structurally diverse stereopentad units 157 (Scheme 1.27).
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Scheme 1.27: Retrosynthetic analysis of 149C

The synthesis of ent-152C commenced with a $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ mediated stereoselective aldol coupling of chiral nonracemic ketone 159 and enantiopure aldehyde 158 that afforded the syn-syn aldol adduct $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ in $70 \%$ yield and with excellent diastereoselectivity ( $>95 \%$ ). Borinate complexation of $\beta$-hydroxyl ketone 160 followed by in situ reduction using $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ furnished 1,3 syn diol 161 in $88 \%$ yield ( $>95 \%$ ds) that was subsequently protected as the di-tert-butylsilylene acetal (162). This sequence of reactions elegantly established the five contiguous stereogenic centers of interest in ent-152C in 44\% overall yield starting from $(R) \mathbf{- 1 5 9}$ and $(R) \mathbf{- 1 5 8}$ over 3 steps. Removal of the benzyl group was followed by oxidation to give dialdehyde 163. Chain elongation was achieved via a two directional double aldol reaction of 3-pentanone (65) with dialdehyde 163 promoted by $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ led to diketone 164 in $90 \%$ yield ( $>95 \% \mathrm{ds}$ ). The remaining steps of the synthesis
involved a double Swern oxidation of 164 ( $100 \%$ yield) followed by deprotection of the silyl group using HF in pyridine to give a mixture of diols and hemiacetals that upon treatment with trifluoroacetic acid underwent rapid cyclization and dehydration to give ent-152C in $52 \%$ over 3 steps (Scheme 1.28).


Scheme 1.28: Synthesis of ent-152C
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Scheme 1.29: Synthesis of 151C and 153C
Similar approaches were adopted to synthesize diastereomers 151C and 153C.
The syn and anti diastereoselection of aldol reactions involving chiral nonracemic ketone 159 and aldehyde 158 were controlled by generating the Ti (IV) and boron enolates respectively to produce the desired stereopentad units 165 and 168 . Entities 165 and 168
were stereoselectively reduced by $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{NBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ to give anti diols 166 and $\mathbf{1 6 9}$, respectively, that were both advanced to isomers 151C and 153C over 6 steps as outlined in Scheme 1.29. Diastereomer 150C was synthesized via a boron mediated aldol coupling of methacrolein 171 and chiral ketone (S)-159 to predominantly give the expected anti aldol adduct 172 that was reduced in situ to 1,3 syn diol 173 using $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$. Compound 173 was then protected as the di-tert-butylsilylene followed by selective hydroboration of the olefin group using $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ afforded 175 after oxidative work up that was subsequently converted to $\mathbf{1 5 0 C}$ over 5 steps in $29 \%$ overall yield as shown in Scheme 1.30 .


Scheme 1.30: Synthesis of 150C

With all 4 diastereomers in hand, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of ent-152C clearly matched the original data for membrenone C thereby establishing the relative configuration of the natural membrenone C. Diastereomers 150C, 151C and 153C showed significant differences in both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants compared to those reported for natural membrenone C . The signs of the optical rotation reported for the natural sample $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-58, c 0.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and that for synthetic ent-152C $\left([\alpha]_{D}=-28, c 0.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ were the same although the value for the synthetic sample was somewhat lower in magnitude. However at this point, the same sign of rotation seemed to unambiguously establish the absolute stereochemistry of natural (-)membrenone C to be ent-152C. ${ }^{\ddagger}$ In summary, Perkins elegantly achieved the total synthesis of ent-152C in 8 steps in $10.7 \%$ overall yield starting from acyclic nonracemic precursors ( $R$ )-158 and (R)-159. The key steps of the synthesis involved a stereoselective aldol coupling followed by reduction to install the C-7 to C-9 stereogenic centers in the stereopentad diol 161, a two directional chain extension via double aldol coupling mediated by $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$, and a critical sequential cyclization and dehydration promoted by trifluoroacetic acid to form the two $\gamma$-dihydropyrone rings to give (-)-membrenone C (ent-152C).

[^2]
### 1.7.3 Synthesis of (-)-membenone $A$ and $B^{191}$

Membrenones A-C are secondary metabolites that were isolated from the same species of marine mollusc. Therefore, assuming a common biosynthesis of the membrenones, membrenones $A(147)$ and $B(148)$ were proposed to have the same absolute configuration as assigned in (-)-membrenone C (ent-152C). From a retrosynthetic perspective, deprotection of the acyl substituents in both 147 and 148 lead to $\beta$-hydroxyl ketone $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ as the common intermediate. Dihydropyrone 176 was anticipated from deprotection and cyclization of triketone 177 which in turn upon disconnection between C-11-C-12 was envisaged via Grignard addition to aldehyde 178. Aldol disconnection between C-4-C-5 in 178 lead to aldehyde 179 which was visualized from the protected stereopentad diol $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ that in turn can be constructed from $(R) \mathbf{- 1 5 8}$ and (R)-159 (Scheme 1.31).


Scheme 1.31: Retrosynthetic analysis of 147 and 148

The synthesis of 147 and 148 commenced with the $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ mediated aldol reaction of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ and chiral aldehyde $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ that gave syn-syn aldol adduct 181 in $81 \%$ yield and with high diastereoselectivity ( $>95 \%$ ). DIBAL-H reduction of 181 was followed by DDQ deprotection of the PMB group and oxidation of the corresponding alcohol to furnish aldehyde $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ in $42 \%$ yield over 3 steps. The chain extension process was successfully achieved via a second aldol coupling of aldehyde 182 with 3-pentanone (65) via the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolate that afforded adduct 183 in $89 \%$ yield and $>95 \%$ ds. The new stereogenic centers at C-4 and C-5 were produced stereoselectively in the preceding step but were unimportant as they were not present in the final product. Compound 183 was subjected sequentially to debenzylation, oxidation, chemoselective addition of EtMgBr to the resulting $\beta$-diketo-aldehyde (1:1 epimeric mixture at $\mathrm{C}-4$ ), and oxidation to give triketone 184. Nucleophillic addition was observed only to the aldehyde and not to the $\beta$ diketone moiety even when excess Grignard reagent was introduced to the reaction mixture, presumably due to the formation of the $\beta$-diketone enolate by $\alpha-\mathrm{H}$ proton abstraction by the Grignard reagent. Deprotection of the silyl group in the presence of HF-py gave a mixture of compounds that when subjected to $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ afforded a $1: 1$ mixture of 176 and the corresponding enone resulting from elimination of the C-9 hydroxyl group. The formation of $\gamma$-dihydropyrone was less efficient than witnessed earlier in the case of ent-152C (Scheme 1.28) but nevertheless the anticipated $\beta$ hydroxyketone $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ was obtained in $35 \%$ yield. Appropriate acylation of $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ gave 147 $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-24, c 0.51, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and $148\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-44, c 0.68, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ in $3 \%$ and $2 \%$ overall
yields, respectively (Scheme 1.32). The spectral data of synthetic 147 and 148 were in accord with the original published data. ${ }^{\S}$
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Scheme 1.32: Synthesis of 147 and 148

[^3]
### 1.7.4 Controversy and structural re-assignment of the membrenones

The optical rotation and the CD curve obtained for synthetic (-)-membrenone A (147) (Scheme 1.32) is of opposite sign but the same magnitude as reported for the natural sample. ${ }^{183}$ This suggests that $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ is the enantiomer of the natural product which is consequently (+)-membrenone A (i.e. ent-147). Interestingly, the sign of rotation for (-)membrenone $B(148)$ is the same as in the original publication but with an opposite $C D$ curve. The CD curves for 147 and 148 , which have the same configurations from C-6 to $\mathrm{C}-10$, are identical indicating that the sign of optical rotation of $(-)$-membrenone B in the original report was misreported and must consequently be $(+)$-membrenone B (i.e. ent147, Scheme 1.32).

Table 1.4: $[\alpha]_{D}$ and CD curve analysis for synthetic and natural membrenones A-C.

|  | Synthetic sample |  | Natural sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147 | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-24,} \\ & c 0.51, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | CD curve: <br> $[\theta]_{300}+5661($ max $)$ <br> $[\theta]_{260}-10654$ (max) | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+25,} \\ & c 0.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | CD curve: <br> $[\theta]_{300}-2278$ (max) <br> $[\theta]_{270}+6126$ (max) |
| 148 | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-44,} \\ & c 0.68, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | CD curve: <br> $[\theta]_{300}+6613$ (max) <br> $[\theta]_{267}-15438$ (max) | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-25,} \\ & c 0.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | CD curve: <br> $[\theta]_{302}-2354$ (max) <br> $[\theta]_{269}+6230$ (max) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ent- } \\ & \text { 152C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-28,} \\ & c 0.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | CD curve: <br> $[\theta]_{300}+5550$ (max) <br> $[\theta]_{263}-16232$ (max) | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-58,} \\ & c 0.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | CD curve: <br> $[\theta]_{308}-166$ (max) <br> $[\theta]_{270}+2023$ (max) |

Similarly, assuming that the absolute configuration of membrenones A-C are identical, these results suggest that the sign of rotation of (-)-membrenone C (ent-152C) is also incorrect as reported and should be $(+)$-membrenone $C$ (i.e. 152C) (Table 1.5). Therefore, relative and absolute configurations of the natural membrenones have been
established by Perkins to be ent-147, ent-148 and 152C. However, in the absence of natural samples, the absolute stereochemical assignments and signs of rotation remain unsubstantiated.

Recently, Marshall et al. ${ }^{192}$ reported the synthesis of (+) and (-)-membrenone C (152C) and the key steps in their synthesis involved addition of chiral allenyl reagents to effect chain extension of the polypropionate chain followed by intramolecular hydrosilation and oxidation to install the five contiguous stereocenters of 152C (C-6 to C-10) in few steps. Yadav and coworkers ${ }^{193}$ also reported the synthesis of 152C via desymmetrization of a bicyclic precursor to introduce the five contiguous centers of 152C as the highlight of their approach (Scheme 1.33).


Scheme 1.33: Marshall's and Yadav's synthesis of (+) and (-)-152C

### 1.8 Summary of objectives

The preparation of enantiopure hexapropionate synthons $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Figure 1.2) requires coupling of nonracemic chiral fragments of 14 and 13. In turn, the preparation of enantiopure monoaldols 14 were previously possible only via aldol reactions of 12 and enantioenriched aldehyde 13. Although the synthesis of enantiomerically pure $\mathbf{1 3}$ is robust, it requires 5 synthetic operations. My research objectives were to develop an enantioselective aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and racemic $\mathbf{1 3}$ using organocatalysis as the tool to obtain enantiopure adducts $\mathbf{1 4}$ for application towards the synthesis of polypropionate natural products serricornin (61) and membrenones $A$ (147) and $B$ (148).
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### 2.1 Preface

Asymmetric organocatalysis is attracting utmost interest nowadays. The use of proline and/or its derivatives to promote direct asymmetric aldol reactions are well documented in recent literature. Organocatalysts are very attractive from an industrial perspective because of their operational simplicity, cheap and environmentally friendly approach. Although impressive, a general limitation is the scope of the substrate, especially unsuccessful applications of 3-pentanone which is an important building block in polypropionate synthesis. The proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of terahydro- 4 H -thiopyran-4-one with different achiral aldehydes followed by desulfurization (as alternative to 3-pentanone) is described in this manuscript.
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#### Abstract

Proline-catalyzed enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one with various aldehydes give anti adducts with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities in moderate to excellent yields. With the aromatic aldehydes best results were obtained in wet DMF whereas dry DMSO generally was superior with the aliphatic aldehydes. Desulfurization of the adducts with Raney Ni provides products equivalent to aldols from 3-pentanone with potential applications in polypropionate synthesis.


The 'directed' aldol reaction ${ }^{1}$ of preformed enol(ate) derivatives with aldehydes is among the most powerful methods for stereocontrolled carbon-carbon bond formation ${ }^{2}$ as evidenced by numerous applications ${ }^{3}$ in natural product syntheses. The development of methods to achieve stereoselective 'direct' aldol reactions of unmodified ketones and(or) aldehydes is an important objective in the evolution of modern aldol chemistry. ${ }^{4}$ A

[^4]number of strategies have been investigated and methods based on enzyme-, antibody-, organometallic-, and organo-catalysis have been reported recently. ${ }^{4}$ In this regard, the use of proline and its derivatives to catalyze enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions has attracted considerable attention since the initial report ${ }^{5}$ by List, Lerner, and Barbas. ${ }^{6,7}$ Although the results achieved to date are impressive, one of the major limitations of the proline-catalyzed direct aldol reaction is the rather narrow substrate scope. ${ }^{6}$ For example, good to excellent stereoselectivity has been realized in certain cross-aldol reactions and generally in reactions of acetone and hydroxyacetone with various aryl and alkyl aldehydes. ${ }^{6,7}$ However, reactions of cyclic ketones often proceed with modest diastereoselectivity and other simple ketones such as acetophenone and pentanone are unreactive. ${ }^{6}$ In this paper we report that aldol reactions of tetrahydro- 4 H thiopyranone (1) with various aldehydes are effectively catalyzed by proline in wet DMF or DMSO to give the anti adducts in good yield with good to excellent enantioselectivity. Desulfurization of these adducts gives products with applications in polypropionate synthesis and equivalent to those that would be derived from the unreactive 3-pentanone.

We have been investigating sequential two-directional aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}^{8}$ in the context of a thiopyran-based synthetic route to polypropionates. ${ }^{9}$ In the course of these studies we noted higher reactivity ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~d}}$ and diastereoselectivity ${ }^{9 \mathrm{ac}, \mathrm{f}}$ in aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ compared to those of cyclohexanone. Thus, despite the relatively mediocre results reported for cyclohexanone in proline-catalyzed enantioselective direct aldol reactions, ${ }^{6}$ we were prompted to study the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with benzaldehyde (2a) in the presence of proline (Scheme 1, Table 2.1). ${ }^{10}$

Adapting the conditions reported for the reaction of 2a with cyclohexanone, ${ }^{11}$ reaction of $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( 0.15 M in DMSO) with $\mathbf{1}$ (3 equiv) in the presence of proline ( 0.5 equiv) at room temperature for an arbitrary reaction time of 3 days furnished a 2:1 mixture of aldols 3a (anti) and 4a (syn), ${ }^{\text {9a,d }}$ respectively, in low yield (entry 1). Several solvents were screened but only DMF was promising (entry 4). ${ }^{12}$ Optimization of these conditions clearly showed increased yields at higher concentrations and superior stereoselectivity in DMF. Conversions were not improved with additional proline (cf. entries 5 and 6 ) ${ }^{13}$ or with prolonged reaction times (cf. entries 8 and 9). Both the ratio of 3a:4a and the ee of 3a decreased with increased reaction times presumably due



5


6



## Scheme 2.1

Table 2.1. Proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with 2a. ${ }^{a}$

| entry | $[2 a]$ <br> $(M)$ | solvent | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ <br> (equiv) | time <br> (d) | \%yield $^{b}$ | $\mathrm{dr}^{c}$ | $\%^{\text {eee }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions at room temperature with 2a (ca. 0.6 mmol ), $\mathbf{1}$ (3 equiv), L-proline ( 0.5 equiv).
${ }^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ Isolated yield of 3a after chromatography; see the Supplementary Material for spectroscopic data.
${ }^{c}$ Ratio of 3a:4a by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture
${ }^{d}$ Ee of 3a determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in the presence of ( $R$ )-(-)-2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(9anthryl)ethanol (TFAE) as a chiral solvating agent. The absolute configuration of the major enantiomer is as shown.
${ }^{e}$ With 1 equiv of L-proline
to the reversibility of the reaction (retroaldol) and/or isomerization of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}$ via enolization. Although in many examples of proline-catalyzed direct aldol reactions the adducts were shown or assumed to be stable to the conditions, ${ }^{6}$ both retroaldol ${ }^{14}$ and isomerization ${ }^{15}$ by enolization have been observed. We have previously shown the aldols derived from 1 are particularly susceptible to syn-anti isomerization via enolization. ${ }^{9 d}$ The reaction was substantially improved in the presence of water (entries 10-15) giving much higher yields while maintaining excellent stereoselectivity. Lower stereoselectivity was observed with greater water content (entries 10-13) and with longer reaction times (cf. entries 10 and 14); however, excellent results were obtained under optimized conditions (entry 15). Several authors have reported on the effects of water in prolinecatalyzed direct aldol reactions. Although reactions typically proceed with low enantioselectivity in aqueous media, ${ }^{16}$ small amounts of water are often tolerated ${ }^{11 b, 17}$ and are sometimes beneficial. ${ }^{18}$ In the present case, the origins of the positive effects from added water are uncertain but presumably relate to improved catalyst turnover and suppression of parasitic equilibria. ${ }^{19}$

To ascertain the scope of the process we investigated reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with aldehydes $\mathbf{2 b} \mathbf{- 2 g}$ (Table 2.2). Using the conditions optimized for $\mathbf{2 a}$, reaction of $\mathbf{2 b}$ gave 3b in good yield and with excellent stereoselectivity. Similar reaction with the more reactive 2c gave 3c with poor diastereoselectivity; however, selectivity commensurate with that observed for $\mathbf{3 b}$ was obtained simply by reducing the reaction time to 12 h . In contrast to the aromatic aldehydes $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{- 2 c}$, reactions of the aliphatic aldehydes $\mathbf{2 d} \mathbf{- 2 g}$ did not benefit from the presence of water and most gave superior results in DMSO compared to DMF. Nonetheless, with minor adjustments in conditions, the anti aldols 3d-

3g were generally obtained with high stereoselectivity. In keeping with previous reports, ${ }^{20}$ reactions with the $\alpha$-unsubstituted aldehydes $2 f$ and $2 g$ gave lower stereoselectivities and yields than reactions with the $\alpha$-branched aldehydes $\mathbf{2 d}$ and $\mathbf{2 e}$. The stereoselectivities (particularly the diastereoselectivities) and yields obtained in proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ are generally higher than those reported for similar reactions of cyclohexanone. ${ }^{11,16 a-c, 20,21}$

The anti relative configurations for aldols $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{3 g}$ was suggested by the characteristic ${ }^{22}$ large ${ }^{3}{ }^{\mathrm{JHH}}$ observed for $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CCHCHOH}(7-10 \mathrm{~Hz})$ previously shown to be diagnostic for anti aldols of $\mathbf{1} .{ }^{9 c, d}$ This assignment was confirmed for 3a, 3d, and $\mathbf{3 e}$ by diastereoselective reductions to the corresponding diols $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 d}$, and $\mathbf{7 e}$, respectively (Scheme 2.1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis (as detailed earlier) ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ of the derived acetonides $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{8 d}$, and $\mathbf{8 e}$ fully corroborated the illustrated relative configurations. The absolute configuration for $\mathbf{3 b}$ was established by X-ray crystallographic analysis ${ }^{\dagger}$ and is consistent with that expected from previous studies ${ }^{6}$ and from the proposed mechanistic model for proline-catalyzed aldol reactions. ${ }^{23}$ The absolute configurations for 3a and 3c-3-g are assigned by analogy.

Desulfurizations of the enantioenriched aldols 3a, 3b, 3d, and 3e were achieved using Raney $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{W}-2)$ in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{THF}$ in the presence of acetate buffer ( $\mathrm{pH}=5.2$ ) and sodium hypophosphite (10 equiv) ${ }^{24}$ to give $\mathbf{5 a},{ }^{25} \mathbf{5 b},{ }^{26} \mathbf{5 d},{ }^{27}$ and $\mathbf{5 e},{ }^{28}$ respectively, in good yields (Scheme 2.1, Table 2.3). Despite the mildness of the conditions, the products 5

[^5]were contaminated with up to $10 \%$ of the syn diastereomer presumably originating from syn-anti isomerization of $\mathbf{3}$ via enolization. ${ }^{\text {9d }}$

Table 2.2. Proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathbf{2 b}-\mathbf{2 g} .{ }^{a}$

| entry | RCHO | solvent ${ }^{b}$ | time (d) | product | \%yield ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{dr}^{\text {d }}$ | $\% \mathrm{ee}{ }^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2b | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | 3b | 80 | $>20$ | $>98{ }^{f}$ |
| 2 | 2c | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | $3 \mathrm{c}+4 \mathrm{c}$ | 72 | 2 | $96(82){ }^{f}$ |
| 3 |  | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.5 | 3c | 97 | $>20$ | $95^{f}$ |
| 4 | 2d | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | 3d | 39 |  |  |
| 5 |  | $\mathrm{DMF}^{g}$ | 3 |  | 62 | 9 |  |
| 6 |  | DMSO/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 |  | 53 | 11 |  |
| 7 |  | $\mathrm{DMSO}^{g}$ | 3 |  | 96 | $>20$ | $>98{ }^{h}$ |
| 8 | 2 e | DMSO/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | $3 \mathrm{e}+4 \mathbf{e}$ | 93 | 10 | $76^{i}$ |
| 9 |  | DMSO | 4 |  | 68 | > 20 | $92^{i}$ |
| 10 | 2 f | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | 3f | 20 | 5 |  |
| 11 |  | DMF ${ }^{g}$ | 3 |  | 47 | 16 | $80^{i}$ |
| 12 |  | $\mathrm{DMSO}^{g}$ | 3 |  | $<5$ |  |  |
| 13 | 2g | DMSO/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | 3 g | 38 | $>20$ | $90^{j}$ |
| 14 |  | DMSO | 4 |  | 28 | 14 | $93{ }^{j}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions at room temperature: ${ }^{194}=\mathbf{2 a}$ (ca. 0.6 mmol ), $\mathbf{1}$ (3 equiv), L-proline ( 0.5 equiv).
${ }^{b}$ Containing 1 equiv of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ where indicated
${ }^{c}$ Isolated yield of indicated product after chromatography; see the Supplementary Material for spectroscopic data.
${ }^{d}$ Ratio of $3: 4$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture
${ }^{e}$ ee of $\mathbf{3}$ (ee of $\mathbf{4}$ in parenthesis)
${ }^{f}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in the presence of $(R)$-(-)-2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(9-anthryl)ethanol (TFAE).
$g 194=1 \mathrm{M}$
${ }^{h}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the bis Mosher's ester of the derived diol 7e.
${ }^{i}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the derived Mosher's ester.
${ }^{j}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in the presence of $(+)-\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$.

Table 2.3. Desulfurizations of 3 and 7. ${ }^{a}$

| entry | substrate | temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | time <br> (h) | product | \%yield ${ }^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3a | 25 | 1 | $\mathbf{5 a}^{c}$ | 94 |
| 2 | 3b | 25 | 9 | $\mathbf{5 b}^{c}$ | 76 |
| 3 | 3d | 25 | 9 | $\mathbf{5 d ~}^{c}$ | 70 |
| 4 | 3e | 75 | 1.5 | $\mathbf{5 e}^{c}$ | 94 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{7 a}$ | 25 | 1.5 | $\mathbf{6 a}$ | 93 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{7 d}$ | 75 | 3 | $\mathbf{6 d}$ | 70 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{7 e}$ | 75 | 1.5 | $\mathbf{6 e}$ | 80 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{Ra}-\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{W}-2)$ in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{THF}$ with acetate buffer $(\mathrm{pH}=5.2)$ and $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$ (10 equiv)
${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield of indicated product after chromatography; see the Supplementary Material for spectroscopic data.
${ }^{c}$ A 10-15:1 mixture of 5 and the corresponding syn diastereomer

Isomerization could be completely avoided by desulfurization of the diols $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 d}$, and $\mathbf{7 e}$ to give $\mathbf{6 a},{ }^{29} \mathbf{6 d},{ }^{30}$ and $\mathbf{6 e}$, respectively (Table 2.3).

In summary, enantioselective direct aldol reactions of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4one with aldehydes is effectively catalyzed by proline. Desulfurization of the aldol adducts or the derived diols gives products equivalent to those that would be obtained from 3-pentanone, a ketone that is unreactive in these reactions. The aldols and their derivatives are useful in polypropionate synthesis and the details of our applications in this context will be communicated in due course. ${ }^{31}$
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### 2.3 Supplementary Information (Experimental section)

General procedure for aldol reaction: A suspension of $\mathbf{1}$ (ca. $210 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Lproline ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF or DMSO ( 0.3 or 0.6 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.011 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h and then the aldehyde $2(0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After stirring for the indicated time, the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (x 3). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by flash column chromatography (FCC) (EtOAc in hexane) to afford the aldol adducts 3.

General procedure for aldol reduction: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ ( 1.0 M in THF ; 1.4 equiv) was added to a stirred solution of aldol ( $0.18-0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The mixture was temporarily removed from the cooling bath to allow the $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ to dissolve and then was re-cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After $30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (2.5 equiv) was added. After for 4-6 h (depending on the substrate; TLC monitoring), the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched by slow addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.1 \mathrm{M} ; 2$ equiv). The organic layer was washed with water ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC ( $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to give the desired diols 7 in $70-85 \%$ yield.

General procedure for acetonide formation: A solution of the diol (ca. 5 mg ), ptoluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (ca. 1 mg ), and 2,2-dimethoxypropane ( 0.1 mL , excess) in dichloromethane (ca. 0.01 M in diol) was stirred at ambient temperature. After 1-3 h (reaction complete by TLC), the mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, washed
with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC (gradient elution, $0-20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to give the corresponding acetonides $\mathbf{8}$ in $>90 \%$ yield.

General procedure for desulfurization: Freshly prepared Raney Ni (W-2) (1-2 mL settled volume; added as a suspension in ethanol, 2 mL ) and sodium hypophosphite monohydrate ( 1 M in water; 1 mL ) were sequentially added to a well stirred solution of aldol 3 or diol 7 (ca. 0.1 mmol ) in ethanol ( 1 mL ), THF ( 1 mL ), and acetate buffer ( pH 5.2 ; 0.5 mL ). For some examples, the resultant mixture was heated under reflux. The reaction was monitored by TLC and, if necessary, another batch of Ra-Ni was added after 1 h . When the reaction was complete ( $1-9 \mathrm{~h}$ ), the supernatant was filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the residue was suspended in ethanol ( 5 mL ). After stirring for several minutes, the supernatant was filtered (this process was repeated 1-3 times). The combined filtrates were concentrated and the residue taken up in dichloromethane. This solution was washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC (10$50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to give the aldols 5 or diols 6 .

mp 112-114 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+17$, c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(97 \%$ ee);
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.40-7.31(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HO}), 3.05-2.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-3, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-6\right), 2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,5$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5,10.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10,14 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HC-2), 2.50 ( 1 H , ddd, $J=2,5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2$ );
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 212.1$ (s, C-4), 140.3 (s, Ph), 128.9 (d x 2, Ph), 128.6 (d, Ph), 127.1 ( $\mathrm{d} \times 2, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 74.1 (d, C-1'), 59.9 (d, C-3), 44.7 (t, C-5), 33.1 (t, C-2), 31.1 (t, C6);

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 222 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 38), 204 (91), 194 (14), 175 (100), 147 (43), 133 (81). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C12H14O2S 222.0715, found 222.0713.

mp 165-166 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+23$, c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(>98 \%$ ee);
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.87-7.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.53-7.49$ (3H, m, ArH), 5.16 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), 3.48 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HO}), 3.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5,9,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 3.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,10.5$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2,5,5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,5,13.5$
$\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5,10.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-$ 2), $2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2)$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 212.1$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4$ ), 137.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 133.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 133.3 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 128.9 (d, Ar), 128.2 (d, Ar), 127.9 (d, Ar), 126.6 (d x 2, Ar), 126.4 (d, Ar), 124.4 (d, Ar), 74.3 (d, C-1'), 59.8 (d, C-3), 44.8 (t, C-5), 33.2 (t, C-2), 31.1 (t, C-6);

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 272 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 24), 225 (5), 158 (26), 157 (75), 155 (66), 129 (72), 128 (46), 127 (76), 116 (100). HRMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for C 16 H 16 O 2 S 272.0871, found 272.0868.

mp $120-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+13$, c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(95 \%$ ee $) ;$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HO}), 3.04-2.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-3$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-6\right), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,5.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5.5,11,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HC-5), $2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 212.4$ (s, C-4), 148.0 (s, Ar), 147.8 (s, Ar), 128.0 (d x 2, Ar), 124.0 ( d x 2, Ar), 73.4 (d, C-1'), 59.7 (d, C-3), 45.0 (t, C-5), 33.0 (t, C-2), 31.0 (t, C6);

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 267 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 14), 249 (5), 220 (13), 151 (25), 116 (100), 77 (18). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C10H13NO4S 267.0565, found 267.0562.

$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-23$, c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(>98 \%$ ee $) ;$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{D} 6\right) \delta: 3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $3.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, HO), 2.70 ( 1 H , ddd, $J=4.5,7,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3$ ), 2.55 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,4.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5$ ), 2.51-2.40 (3H, m, H2C-2, HC-6), $2.36(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2.5,5,5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.28(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=4.5,10.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 1.70-1.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dqq}, J=4.5,7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2 '), 1.03$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 211.7$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4$ ), 76.2 (d, C-1'), 56.4 (d, C-3), 45.1 (t, C-5), 33.5 (t, C-2), 30.6 (t, C-6), 30.3 (d, C-2'), $20.6\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.2\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$;

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 57 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 55$ ), 73 (14), 83 (33), 89 (100), 116 (87), 145 (38), 170 (20), 188 (17). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C9H16O2S 188.0871, found 188.0871.

mp $55-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(92 \%$ ee $)$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $3.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{HO}), 2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=6,9,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.56-2.53\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-2\right), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $5,11,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5,9.5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2,5$, $9.5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5,11,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 1.86-1.75$ (3H, m, ChX), 1.72$1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ChX}), 1.58-1.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ChX}), 1.48-1.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Chx}), 1.36-1.12(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Chx);
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 211.8$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4$ ), 76.3 (d, C-1'), 55.6 (d, C-3), 45.4 (t, C-5), 40.7 (d, Chx), 33.8 (t, C-2), 30.9 (t x 2, C-6, Chx), 27.2 (t, Chx), 27.2 (t, Chx), 27.1 (t, Chx), 26.9 (t, Chx) ;

LRMS (EI), $m / z$ (relative intensity): 55 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 21$ ), 57 (35), 67 (12), 83 (32), 88 (16), 89 (53), 95 (22), 116 (100). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C12H20O2S 228.1184, found 228.1173.


A 16:1 mixture of anti:syn diastereomers ( $80 \%$ ee for the anti isomer).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,6.5,8,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1 '), 3.08-2.93(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.80-2.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.68-1.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, J=$ $4,14.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2 '), 1.59-1.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, J=7.5,14.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2$ '), $1.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.5 Hz, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 212.5$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4\right), 73.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-1$ '), 57.9 (d, C-3), 45.3 (t, C-5), 33.6 (t, C-2), 31.1 (t, C-6), 27.1 (t, C-2'), 9.9 (q, C-3');

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 53 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 13$ ), 55 (58), 57 (100), 59 (30), 67 (15), 73 (11), 127 (11), 156 (27). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C8H14O2S 174.0715, found 174.0722.


A $10: 1$ mixture of anti:syn diastereomers ( $90 \%$ ee for anti).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HO}), 2.60-$ $2.18\left(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{HC}-3, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-6\right), 1.59-1.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-2$ '), 1.51-1.26(7H, m, HC-2', $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}-5$ '), $1.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 211.2(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-4), 72.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-1$ '), $58.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-3), 45.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5)$, 34.8 (t, C-2'), 33.4 (t, C-2), 32.5 (t, C-4'), 30.8 (t, C-6), 25.9 ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-\mathbf{3}^{\prime}\right), 23.4$ (t, C-5'), 14.6 (q, C-6').


A 11:1 mixture of anti:syn diastereomers;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.39-7.27(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.95$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=8,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=18,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=18,7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 1.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1\right), 0.95\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-4\right)$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 216.2$ ( s ), 142.4 ( s ), 128.7 (d x2), 128.1 (d), 126.7 (d x2), 76.9 (d), 52.8 (d), 36.7 ( t$), 14.7$ (q), 7.6 (q);

LRMS (CI, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), m/z (relative intensity): 210 ([M+18] ${ }^{+}, 47$ ), 192 (100), 175 (16), 106 (13), 74 (14). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C} 12 \mathrm{H} 16 \mathrm{O} 2210.1494\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)$, found 210.1487 (CI).


A 15:1 mixture of anti:syn diastereomers;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.87-7.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.77-7.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.52-7.46$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=8.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 3.02$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HO}), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7,18 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7,18 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4)$, $1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 216.2$ (s), 139.8 (s), 133.4 (s), 133.3 (s), 128.6 (d), 128.2 (d), 127.9 (d), 126.5 (d), 126.2 (d), 125.9 (d), 124.4 (d), 77.1 (d), 52.7 (d), 36.7 (t), 14.7 (q), 7.6 (q);

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 242 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 31$ ), 157 (100), 156 (64), 155 (48), 128 (37), 127 (60), 86 (65), 57 (46). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C16 H18 O2 242.1307, found 242.1304 .


A 12:1 mixture of anti:syn diastereomers;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=6.5$, $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=18,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=18,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 1.74$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dqq}, J=5,7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 1.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\right.$ 1), $0.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 217.3$ ( s$), 78.7$ (d), 48.3 (d), 36.3 (d), 30.8 (t), 20.2 (q), 16.2 (q), 14.8 (q), 7.7 (q);

LRMS (CI, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity): $176\left([\mathrm{M}+18]^{+}, 35\right), 159\left([\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 100\right), 141$ (12). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{H} 18 \mathrm{O} 2159.1385(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, found $159.1380(\mathrm{CI})$.


A 10:1 mixture of anti:syn diastereomers;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=6.5$, $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=18,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=18,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 1.82-$ $1.74(3 H, m, C h x), 1.69-1.63(1 H, m, C h x), 1.59-1.53(1 H, m, C h x), 1.42-1.32(1 H, m$, Chx), 1.30-1.14 (5H, m, Chx), $1.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-3\right), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-6$ );
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 217.5$ (s), 78.4 (d), 47.6 (d), 41.1 (d), 36.3 (t), 30.5 (t), $27.0(\mathrm{t}), 26.6(\mathrm{t} x 2), 26.3(\mathrm{t}), 14.9(\mathrm{q}), 7.7(\mathrm{q})$.

$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+37$, c $1.1(\mathrm{CHCl} 3) ;$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.39-7.28(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1), 3.71$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HO}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, J=8,9,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-$ 2), 1.76-1.64 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, J=3,14,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 1.53-1.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, J=8,14,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HC-4), $1.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5\right), 0.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 143.6$ (s), 128.7 (d x2), 128.1 (d), 127.4 (d x2), 81.1 (d), 78.1 (d), 44.6 (d), 27.8 (t), 13.7 (q), 9.2 (q);

LRMS, $m / z$ (relative intensity): $212\left([\mathrm{M}+18]^{+}, 17\right), 194$ (40), 177 (11), 136 (100), 119 (26).

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 3.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $2.5,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HO}), 1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dqq}, J=2.5,7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 1.68(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddq, $J=3,14,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 1.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, J=8,9,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, J=8$, $14,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 1.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.79\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta: 81.5$ (d), 78.1 (d), 41.0 (d), 30.1 (d), 27.7 (t), 20.4 (q), 14.1 (q), 13.3 (q), 9.4 (q);

LRMS (CI, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity): 178 ( $\left.[\mathrm{M}+18]^{+}, 25\right), 161\left([\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 100\right), 143$ (23), 125 (15). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{H} 20 \mathrm{O} 2161.1542(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, found 161.1539 (CI).

$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-7, \mathrm{c} 0.9\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.59(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3,8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ 2.5, $9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ ), 2.83 (2H, br s, HO), 1.84-1.75 (2H, m), 1.74-1.60 (4H, m), 1.57-1.48 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.48-1.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.38-1.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.21-1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5\right), 0.78\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2\right)$;
${ }^{3}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 81.4$ (d), 78.1 (d), 40.7 (d), 40.2 (d), 30.8 (t), 27.7 (t), $27.0(\mathrm{t}), 26.8(\mathrm{t}), 26.5(\mathrm{t}), 24.8(\mathrm{t}), 13.5(\mathrm{t}), 9.4(\mathrm{t})$;

LRMS (CI, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity): 218 ([M+18] ${ }^{+}$26), $201\left([\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 100\right), 183$ (85), 165 (17), 158 (17), 58 (11). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C 12 H 24 O 2201.1855 (M+H), found 201.1848 (CI).

$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-15$, c $0.8(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.41-7.31(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,9,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 2.85-2.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{HO}), 2.70-2.57\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-6\right)$, $2.32(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=3,3.5,4,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.10-$ 2.02 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-3, \mathrm{HC}-2$ ), 1.82 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-5$ );
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta: 141.9(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ph}), 129.0(\mathrm{~d} x 2, \mathrm{Ph}), 128.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ph}), 127.3$ (d x2, Ph), 80.3 (d, C-1'), 75.2 (d, C-4), 50.9 (d, C-3), 37.0 (t, C-5), 29.4 (t, C-2), 27.6 (t, C6);

LRMS (EI), $m / z$ (relative intensity): 77 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 22$ ), 79 (26), 85 (14), 87 (27), 100 (100), 105 (22), 117 (54), 133 (4.5). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C12H16O2S 224.0871, found 224.0867.

$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-20$, c $0.8\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta: 3.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=4,9,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 3.53(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $2.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3,13.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{dddd}, J=$ $2,4,4,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,4,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=3,4,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dqq}, J=3,7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HC-2'), 1.84-1.74 (2H, m, HC-3, HC-5), $1.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ );
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 81.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-1$ '), 74.9 (d, C-4), 47.5 (d, C-3), 36.9 (t, C-5), 30.0 (d, C-2'), 29.5 (t, C-2), 27.5 (t, C-6), 20.0 (q, C-3'), 14.3 (q, C-3');

LRMS (EI), $m / z$ (relative intensity): 57 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 33$ ), 67 (39), 71 (51), 82 (55), 85 (33), 87 (24), 100 (100), 101 (43). HRMS m/z calcd for C9H18O2S 190.1028, found 190.1026.

$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-16$, c $1.3\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,9,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $2.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $3.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{HO}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,14,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.63(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2,4,4,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,3.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=11,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=3,4,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 1.89-1.74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-3$, HC-5, Chx x2), 1.74-1.66 (2H, m, Chx), 1.59-1.48 (2H, m, Chx), 1.38-1.13 (5H, m, Chx); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 81.1$ (d, C-1'), 74.9 (d, C-4), 46.9 (d, C-3), 40.5 (d, Chx), 36.9 (t, C-5), 30.4 (t, Chx), 29.6 (t, C-2), 27.5 (t, C-6), 26.8 (t, Chx), 26.6 (t, Chx), 26.3 (t, Chx), 25.0 (t, Chx) ;

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 55 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 29), 57 (24), 67 (21), 83 (41), 85 (22), 95 (25), 100 (100), 111 (21). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C12H22O2S 230.1341, found 230.1336.

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.38-7.28(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4)$, $3.71(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3.5,10,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-8 \mathrm{a}), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,13,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7)$, $2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2.5,3.5,3.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7), 2.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5)$, $2.16(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2.5,3,3.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,3.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-$ 8), $1.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=3,10,10,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4 \mathrm{a}), 1.82(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=3.5,10,13,13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-8), 1.60\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta: 139.3(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ph}), 128.8(\mathrm{~d} x 2, \mathrm{Ph}), 128.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ph}), 127.7(\mathrm{~d}$ x2, Ph), 99.4 (s, C-2), 76.6 (d, C-4), 73.3 (d, C-8a), 48.8 (d, C-4a), 34.2 (t, C-8), 30.4 (q, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5), 27.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-7), 20.0\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$;

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 72 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 4$ ), 85 (19), 91 (10), 100 (100), 102 (4), 115 (12), 117 (27), 149 (17). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C15H20O2S 264.1184, found 264.1196.

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=4,10,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-8 \mathrm{a}), 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=2,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=13,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7), 2.63(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2,4,4$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,3,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HC-5), $2.10(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2,4,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-8), 1.85-1.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ ', HC-4a, HC8), $1.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2\right), 1.37\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2\right), 0.95\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-1\right.$ '), 0.89 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-1\right.$ ');
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 98.5$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 75.9 (d, C-4), 73.0 (d, C-8a), 44.4 (d, C$4 \mathrm{a}), 34.2$ (t, C-8), 30.2 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 27.9 (t, C-5), 27.8 (t, C-7), 27.7 (d, C-1'), 20.0 ( q , $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1$ '), 19.8 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 14.6 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ ) ;

LRMS (EI), $m / z$ (relative intensity): 55 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 31$ ), 57 (13), 59 (11), 73 (18), 99 (43), 100 (100), 101 (53), 116 (15). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C12H22O2S 230.3141, found 230.1341.

${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.5,10,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-8 \mathrm{a}), 3.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=2,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,13,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7), 2.62(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J$ $=2,4,4,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7), 2.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,3,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2.5,3.5,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-8), 1.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=3$, $10.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4 \mathrm{a}), 1.79-1.71$ (3H, m, HC-8, Chx x2), 1.68-1.59 (2H, m, Chx), 1.49$1.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Chx}), 1.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.37\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33-1.10(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Chx})$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 98.5$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 76.1 (d, C-4), 73.1 (d, C-8a), 43.5 (d, C4a), 38.1 (d, Chx), 34.2 (t, C-8), 30.3 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 30.2 (t, Chx), 27.8 (t, C-5), 27.7 (t, C-7), 27.0 (t, Chx), 26.7 (t, Chx), 26.6 (t, Chx), 25.0 (t, Chx), 19.8 (q, CH3);

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 55 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 25$ ), 67 (33), 81 (21), 85 (22), 95 (16), 100 (100), 109 (19), 195 (58). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for C15H26O2S 270.1654, found 270.1651.
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### 3.1 Preface

Proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of tetrahydro- $4 H$-thiopyran-4-one with different achiral aldehydes gave anti adducts with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities in moderate to excellent yields and was described in chapter 2 . Inspired by these results, we were anxious to investigate the proline-catalyzed asymmetric aldol reactions of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyranone with racemic 1,4-dioxa-8-thia-spiro[4.5]decane-6carboxaldehyde and with meso/dl 1,4-dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6,10dicarboxaldehyde as a strategy to rapidly assemble tetrapropionate synthons with potential applications to polypropionate natural products synthesis.
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Abstract: Proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyranone with racemic 1,4-dioxa-8-thia-spiro[4.5]decane-6-carboxaldehyde and with meso/dl 1,4-dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6,10-dicarboxaldehyde proceed with dynamic kinetic resolution and give single adducts in good yields with excellent ee's. The high enantiotopic group selectivity results from the high intrinsic diastereoface selectivity of the aldehydes. These reactions significantly extend the scope of the enantioselective direct aldol reaction and constitute simple and efficient syntheses of useful tetrapropionate synthons.

In a landmark paper in 2000, List, Lerner, and Barbas described the first examples of proline-catalyzed enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions. ${ }^{1}$ This report prompted an extensive investigation by several groups of the use of proline and its derivatives to catalyze aldol (and other) reactions. ${ }^{2}$ Although very high stereoselectivity has been observed in several examples, a major limitation of this process has been the rather narrow substrate scope. The vast majority of examples to date have involved simple achiral reactants. In this contribution, we report that proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with the racemic aldehydes $( \pm)-9$ or $( \pm)-19$ and/or meso-19 proceed with a combination of enantiotopic group selectivity ${ }^{3}$ and dynamic kinetic resolution ${ }^{4}$ to give adducts in good yields with excellent stereoselectivities. Conceptually, these reactions significantly extend the scope of the direct aldol reaction and constitute exceedingly simple and efficient syntheses of useful tetrapropionate synthons.


Scheme 3.1

Proline-catalyzed enantioselective aldol reactions were first reported in the 1970 's in the context of the Hajos-Parrish-Eder-Sauer-Wiechert reaction (i.e. $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{2}$; Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{5}$ Recent mechanistic studies have concluded that the reaction proceeds by intramolecular carboxylic acid catalyzed addition of a proline enamine to the carbonyl group. ${ }^{6}$.The transition state structures 3 and 4 for additions to the two cyclic carbonyl groups differ by an anti vs. syn orientation of the enamine and the enantiotopic group selectivity derives from the greater stability of the anti orientation combined with the inherent preference for addition trans to the quaternary methyl group. ${ }^{7}$ The reaction has been applied to acyclic $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$ symmetric diketones ${ }^{8}$ and dialdehydes ${ }^{9}$ but the stereoselectivity is lower in these cases presumably because of poor enantiotopic group selectivity in the enamine-forming step ${ }^{10}$ and/or similar reactivity among the diastereomeric enamines. ${ }^{7}$

The transition state structures proposed for the proline-catalyzed intermolecular aldol reaction (e.g. $\mathbf{5 \rightarrow \mathbf { 6 }}$ ) are similar to those for the intramolecular reaction, in this case favoring addition from the $r e$ face of the $\alpha$-carbon in an anti-oriented enamine to the re face of the aldehyde (cf. 7). ${ }^{11}$ It is convenient to consider that face selectivity for addition to the enamine is controlled by the absolute configuration of the proline catalyst and the face selectivity for addition to the aldehyde is dictated by the 'closed' transition state. In a similar reaction with a chiral aldehyde, the intrinsic diastereoface selectivity can either reinforce or counteract the face selectivity preferred by this 'closed' transition state (i.e. the influence of a chiral R fragment in TS 7) resulting in double stereodifferentiation and/or kinetic resolution. Only modest levels of enantiotopic group selectivity have been observed among the scattered examples of proline-catalyzed aldol reactions with chiral
aldehyde 'acceptors' reported to date. ${ }^{12,13} \mathrm{We}$ speculated that these reactions might show significant enantiotopic group selectivity and double stereodifferentiation if the aldehyde possessed sufficient diastereoface selectivity. ${ }^{14}$

We have been developing stereoselective sequential two-directional aldol reactions of $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ as the foundation of a thiopyran-based synthetic route to polypropionates. ${ }^{15}$ Aldehyde 9 emerged as a good candidate for enantiotopic group selective direct aldol because additions to its carbonyl group show exclusive Felkin diastereoface selectivity. ${ }^{15 c}$ A preliminary study established that proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with simple achiral aldehydes are highly diastereo- and enantioselective. ${ }^{16}$ In the event, proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $( \pm)-\mathbf{9}$ under the previously established conditions ${ }^{16}$ gave the expected ${ }^{17}$ adduct $\mathbf{1 1}^{18}$ as a single diastereomer (Scheme 3.2) albeit in poor yield (33\%) and with disappointing enantioselectivity (ca. $50 \%$ ee) (Table 3.1, entry 1 ).

The aldol reaction of $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ was dramatically improved in the presence of added water, ${ }^{10 b, 16}$ and optimization of the conditions with respect to solvent, concentration, stoichiometry, and protocol allowed efficient preparation of $11(56 \%,>98 \%$ ee $)$ on gram scale (Table 3.1). We conclude the reaction is under kinetic control and proceeds with dynamic kinetic resolution rather than simple kinetic resolution because: i) (-)-11 ( $>98 \%$ ee) is re-isolated in $>85 \%$ yield and $>90 \%$ ee after exposure to $(S)$ - or $(R)$-proline ( 48 h , wet DMSO); ii) racemic $\mathbf{9}$ is recovered from the reaction; iii) (S)-9 $\mathbf{9}^{18}$ readily racemizes under the reaction conditions. Previously reported examples of dynamic kinetic resolution ${ }^{12 g h}$ and of isomerization of aldehydes ${ }^{12 c, f, g}$ during proline-catalyzed intermolecular aldol reactions have resulted in products with modest stereoselectivity. ${ }^{19}$

Thus it is noteworthy that the reaction of $( \pm)-\mathbf{9}$ and 10 proceeds with high enantiotopic group selectivity and high aldol stereoselectivity to give the adduct $\mathbf{1 1}$ as a single diastereomer with excellent ee.

Table 3.1. Proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of 9 with $10 .{ }^{a}$

| entry | [9] | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { (\# equiv) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { solvent } \\ \text { (\# equiv } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { time } \\ \text { (days) } \end{gathered}$ | \%yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1 | 3 | DMSO | 2 | 33 | -22 |
| 2. | 1 | 3 | DMF | 2 | 18 | -19 |
| 3. | 1 | 3 | DMF (2) | 2 | 17 | -29 |
| 4. | 1 | 3 | DMSO (2) | 2 | 39 | -31 |
| 5. | 0.5 | 3 | DMSO (2) | 2 | 19 | d |
| 6. | 2 | 3 | DMSO (2) | 2 | 47 | -20 |
| 7. | 1 | 3 | DMSO (4) | 2 | 32 | -39 |
| 8. | 1 | 3 | DMSO (8) | 2 | 36 | -43 |
| 9. | 1 | 3 | DMSO (16) | 2 | 19 | -44 |
| 10. | 1 | 6 | DMSO (8) | 2 | 52 | -46 |
| 11. | 1 | 12 | DMSO (8) | 2 | 52 | -41 |
| 12. | 1 | 6 | DMSO (8) | 4 | 48 | -46 |
| 13. | 1 | 6 | DMSO (8) | 8 | 47 | -39 |
| $14 .{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1 | 6 | DMSO (8) | 2 | 38 | -47 |
| $15 . f$ | 1 | 6 | DMSO (8) | 2 | 37 | -47 |
| 16. ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 1 | 6 | DMSO (8) | 2 | 56 | $-47^{\text {h }}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions at room temperature with 50 mg of 9 and 0.5 equiv of ( $S$ )-proline. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield of 11. ${ }^{c}$ At ambient temperature (ca. $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(\max )$ for $11=-47 .{ }^{d}$ Not determined. ${ }^{e} 0.25$ equiv of (S)-proline. ${ }^{f} 1.0$ equiv of (S)-proline. ${ }^{g} 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ of 9 . ${ }^{h}$ This sample was shown to be $>98 \%$ ee by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the derived 12 in the presence of $(+)-$ $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$.


## Scheme 3.2

Aldol $\mathbf{1 1}$ is a versatile tetrapropionate synthon that can be utilized as a precursor for both anti-syn and syn-anti stereotriads because of its differentiated 1,5-dione functionality. ${ }^{15}$ We have previously shown ${ }^{15 d}$ that $\mathbf{1 1}$ is readily isomerized to $\mathbf{1 3}$ allowing ready access to syn-syn stereotriads. Surprisingly, ${ }^{16}$ Raney nickel desulfurization of $\mathbf{1 1}$ was somewhat capricious; however reaction of the MOM ether derivative 12 gave 14 in good yield.

Encouraged by these results, we attempted to extend the process to desymmetrization of a meso dialdehyde. ${ }^{20}$ Carboxylation of $15^{15 c}$ and protection of the resulting ketodiester $\mathbf{1 6}$ gave $\mathbf{1 7}$ as a readily separable $1.6: 1$ mixture of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 7}$ and meso17, respectively (Scheme 3.3). $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of meso-17 followed by careful Swern oxidation of the product diol meso-18 gave meso-19 in good yield. Reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with meso-19 under the optimized conditions gave 20 (a $3: 1$ mixture of anomers in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) as the only aldol adduct in $68 \%$ yield and $92 \%$ ee. The adduct 20 exists exclusively in the hemiacetal form suggesting that the stereocenter originating from C-6 in 19 could be set under thermodynamic control. Gratifyingly, reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with the readily available
3.5:1 mixture of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 9}$ and meso-19, respectively, produced $\mathbf{2 0}$ in the same yield and ee as when using meso-13 alone. ${ }^{21}$ Rapid proline-catalyzed isomerization of meso-19 to give a 3.5:1 equilibrium mixture of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 9}$ and meso-19, respectively, was established by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.


Scheme 3.3

The selective formation of $\mathbf{2 0}$ from meso- $\mathbf{1 9}$ is readily explained by preferential aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with the $(\alpha S)$-aldehyde group of meso-19 (in analogy to the reaction with ( $\pm$ )-9). ${ }^{17}$ However, 20 might also arise by preferential aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $(S, S)-19$ followed by rapid isomerization and hemiacetal formation. We are unable to distinguish these possibilities; however, in either scenario, the reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $\mathbf{1 9}$ occurs with an unusual combination of enantiotopic group selectivity together with dynamic kinetic and thermodynamic resolution. To the best of our knowledge, examples of such reactions have not been previously described. This remarkable process simultaneously generates four stereogenic centers with excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivity. The adduct 20 has versatile functionality and should be a useful tetrapropionate synthon. ${ }^{22}$ To illustrate, the ketone and acetal groups in 20 were sequentially reduced to give 21 which gave 22 on desulfurization (Scheme 3.3).

In summary, proline-catalyzed direct aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with the chiral aldehydes $\mathbf{9}$ and 19 are highly diastereo- and enantioselective. The aldol adducts 11 and 20 are useful tetrapropionate synthons. ${ }^{15}$ The remarkable stereoselectivity in these reactions is attributable to combination of the high propensity for addition to the aldehyde re face imposed by the (S)-proline catalyst together with the high Felkin diastereoface selectivity intrinsic to these aldehydes that results in a strong kinetic preference for the 'matched' reaction (i.e. high enantiotopic group selectivity). ${ }^{14}$ Because the prolinecatalyzed isomerization of the aldehydes is much faster than the aldol, the reactions proceed with dynamic kinetic resolution. ${ }^{19}$ This design strategy should be applicable to other substrates in enantioselective direct aldol reactions and significantly expands the scope of this important process.

Acknowledgment We thank J. Wilson Quail of the Saskatchewan Structural Sciences Center for determination of the X-ray structures of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $(+)-21$. Financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada) and the University of Saskatchewan is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for all new compounds synthesized (PDF) and X-ray crystallographic data for 20 and 21 (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### 3.3 Supplementary Information (Experimental section)

General Methods: All solvents were distilled prior to use. Anhydrous solvents were distilled under argon atmosphere as follows: Tetrahydrofuran (THF) from benzophenone potassium ketyl; ether from benzophenone sodium ketyl; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and toluene from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$; MeOH from $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$. All experiments involving air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were conducted in an oven dried round-bottom flask capped with a rubber septum, and attached via a needle and connecting tubing to an argon manifold equipped with mercury bubbler (ca. 5 mm positive pressure of argon). Low temperature baths were ice/water $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) /$ acetone $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Reaction temperatures refer to that of the bath.

Preparative TLC (PTLC) was carried out on glass plates ( $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) precoated $(0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with silica gel 60 F254. Materials were detected by visualization under an ultraviolet lamp (254 nm) and/or by treating a 1 cm vertical strip removed from the plate with a solution of phosphomolybdic acid (5\%) containing a trace of ceric sulfate in aqueous sulfuric acid $(5 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, followed by charring on a hot plate. Concentration refers to removal of volatiles at water aspirator pressure on a rotary evaporator. Unless otherwise noted, all reported compounds were homogeneous by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and by NMR.

Flash column chromatography (FCC) was performed according to Still et al. ${ }^{23}$ with Merck Silica Gel $60(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Medium pressure chromatography (MPC) was performed essentially as reported by Taber. ${ }^{24}$ Dry flash column chromatography was performed according to Harwood. ${ }^{25}$ All mixed solvent eluents are reported as $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solutions.

Spectral Data: High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) and low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were obtained on a VG 70E double focussing high resolution spectrometer; only partial data are reported. EI ionization was accomplished at 70 eV and CI at 50 eV with ammonia as the reagent gas; only partial data are reported. IR spectra were recorded on a Fourier transform interferometer using a diffuse reflectance cell (DRIFT); only diagnostic and/or intense peaks are reported. Unless otherwise noted, NMR spectra were measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution at 300,400 , or 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 75,100 , or 125 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. Signals due to the solvent ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) or residual protonated solvent $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\right)$ served as the internal standard: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(7.26 \delta \mathrm{H}, 77.23 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right) ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\left(3.31 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}, 49.15 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right) ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ $(7.16 \delta \mathrm{H}, 128.39 \delta \mathrm{C})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants were determined assuming first-order behavior. Multiplicity is indicated by one or more of the following: $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), $q$ (quartet), $m$ (multiplet), $b r$ (broad), ap (apparent); the list of couplings constants $(J)$ corresponds to the order of the multiplicity assignment. Couplings constants $(J)$ are reported to the nearest 0.5 Hz . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were made based on chemical shift and multiplicity and were confirmed, where necessary, by homonuclear decoupling and/or NOE experiments. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR assignments were made on the basis of chemical shift and multiplicity ${ }^{26}$ (as determined by $J$-modulation ${ }^{27}$ or $\mathrm{HSQC}^{28}$ ) and were confirmed, where necessary, by two dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation experiments (HSQC and/or $\mathrm{HMBC}^{29}$ ).

Materials: The preparations of the following compounds were described previously: $( \pm)$ $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 5} ;{ }^{30}(R)-(+)-\mathbf{9} ;{ }^{31} \mathbf{1 0} .{ }^{32}{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. All other reagents were commercially available and unless otherwise noted, were used as received.
(3S)-3-[(R)-(6S)-1,4-Dioxa-8-thia-spiro[4.5]dec-6-yl(hydroxy)methyl]tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one (11).


A suspension of thiopyranone (10) (4.0 g, 34 mmol$)$ and (S)-proline (300 mg, 2.60 mmol ) in dry DMSO $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then the aldehyde $9(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After stirring for 2 days, the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(\times 3)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The excess $\mathbf{1 0}$ was removed at high vacuum (and collected in a cold trap) and the remaining residue was fractionated by FCC ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the known aldol 11 ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \% ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-47, c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ;>98 \%$ ee $)$. The absolute configuration was assigned as $\left(1^{\prime} R, 3 S, 6^{\prime \prime} S\right)$ by comparison of the sign of the $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ with the literature value (Ward, D. E.; Akinnusi, O. T.; Alarcon, I. Q.; Jheengut, V.; Shen, J.; Quail, J. W. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 2425-2430). The ee for 11 was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the derived MOM ether 12 in the presence of $(+)-\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$; the ee was conservatively estimated to be $>98 \%$ because the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ peak for the minor enantiomer was smaller (not detected) than the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ satellite from the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ peak for the major enantiomer (180:1). Separation of enantiomeric peaks under the conditions was confirmed by spiking with a racemic sample.
(3S)-3-[(R)-(6S)-1,4-Dioxa-8-thia-spiro[4.5]dec-6-
yl(methoxymethoxy)methyl]tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one (12).

$\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(400 \mathrm{mg} ; 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}), i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}(0.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{MOMCl}(0.25$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were sequentially added to a solution of the aldol $11(314 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature under argon. After standing for 24 h (reaction complete by TLC), the mixture was diluted with 1 M HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (x3). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The residue was triturated with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane (x3) and the supernatant was filtered through a short pad of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. The combined filtrates were concentrated to give the titled compound as a solid ( 330 mg , $92 \%$; ee $>98 \%$ ) that was homogeneous by TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: mp $119-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+8.4$ (c $1.0 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ );

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 2912,1709,1153,1132,1095,1066,1031,889 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.82\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.46\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1{ }^{\prime}\right), 4.10-3.93\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2\right), 3.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ), $3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.94-$ 2.88 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-3, \mathrm{HC}-5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{HC}-7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ), 2.80-2.71 (2H, m, HC-7", HC-9"), 2.70-2.57 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-5, \mathrm{HC}-9$ "), $2.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,4.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6 "), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3$, $\left.5.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-10^{\prime \prime}\right), 1.70\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.5,11,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-10{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 208.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-4), 108.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-5\right.$ '), $97.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 74.9(\mathrm{~d}$, C-1'), $65.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 64.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 58.3$ (d, C-3), $56.8\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 50.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}), 43.6$ (t, C-5), 36.1 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-10$ "), 32.8 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 30.5 (t, C-6), 28.9 (t, C-7"), 27.0 (t, C-9");

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 348 ([M] $\left.{ }^{+}, 4\right), 286$ (9), 224 (10), 197 (11), 159 (13), 133 (22), 132 (61), 99 (100). HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 348.1056, found 348.1056.
(4S,5R,6S)-6-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-5-(methoxymethoxy)-4-methylheptan-3-one (14).


A suspension of freshly prepared Raney-Ni (W-2) ( 1.5 mL settled volume) in ethanol (2 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added at once to a well stirred solution of $12(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was heated under reflux. After 25 min (reaction complete by TLC analysis), the supernatant was filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the residue was suspended in ethanol ( 20 mL ) and heated under reflux. The supernatant was filtered and this process was repeated if necessary. The combined filtrates were concentrated and fractionated by FCC ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the titled compound as a pale yellow oil (35 mg, 85\%):
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+64\left(c 0.77, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$
IR max: 2976, 2938, 2884, 1711, 1464, 1200, 1033, $925 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.69\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2}\right), 4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{HCO}_{2}\right), 4.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 3.57-3.42\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2\right), 3.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=6.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 2.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7,18 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dq}, J=7,18 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=1,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 1.77-1.62\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $1.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-7\right), 1.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1\right), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-4\right), 0.91\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) ~ \delta: 211.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-3), 113.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2\right.$ ), $97.43\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $77.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{C}-5), 65.5\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.3\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 51.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-4), 41.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-6), 36.7(\mathrm{t}$, C-2), $27.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ "), $12.4(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-1), 10.7(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-7), 8.2\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.0\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$;

LRMS (CI, NH3), m/z (relative intensity): 306 ([M+18] ${ }^{+}, 2$ ), 258 (20), 257 (95), 227 (15), 149 (13), 127 (99), 101 (100), . HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ 259.1545, found 259.1543 (EI).

## Determination of Isomerization Rate Constants

The kinetic model for reversible proline-catalyzed isomerization of aldehyde $\mathbf{A}$ to aldehyde $\mathbf{B}$ via enolization assumes that both the forward and reverse reactions are $1^{\text {st }}$ order with respect to the particular 'reactant' at a fixed concentration of proline. The 'composite' rate constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{-1}$ can be easily obtained by simply monitoring the rate of appearance or disappearance of the aldol stereoisomers A and/or B.

For a kinetically first order reversible reaction:


It is easily shown that for a system not at equilibrium (i.e., $[\mathbf{A}] \neq[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{e}}$ ): ${ }^{33}$

$$
\left(k_{1}+k_{-1}\right) t=-\ln \left(\frac{[\mathbf{A}]_{t}-[\mathbf{A}]_{e}}{[\mathbf{A}]_{0}-[\mathbf{A}]_{e}}\right)=-\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{R}_{t}-\mathrm{R}_{e}}{\mathrm{R}_{t}+1}\right) \text { [equation 1] }
$$

where $[\mathbf{A}]_{0}$ is the initial concentration of $\mathbf{A},[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the concentration of $\mathbf{A}$ at equilibrium, $[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the concentration of $\mathbf{A}$ at time $t, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the ratio of $[\mathbf{A}] /[\mathbf{B}]$ at time $t$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the equilibrium ratio of $[\mathbf{A}] /[\mathbf{B}]$. In this form, the equation resembles that for an irreversible first order reaction of $\mathbf{A}$ with a rate constant $k_{\text {obs }}\left(=k_{1}+k_{-1}\right)$ but with the analytical concentration of $\mathbf{A}$ (i.e. $[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) replaced by the 'active' concentration of $\mathbf{A}$ (i.e. $[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{t}}-[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{e}}$ ) which is that fraction of $\mathbf{A}$ undergoing transformation). Thus, $k_{\text {obs }}\left(=k_{1}+k_{-1}\right)$ is the first order rate constant for equilibration of a non-equilibrium system.

Proline-catalyzed racemization of (+)-9
The rate of racemization of $\mathbf{9}$ under the aldol reaction conditions was investigated by measuring the time dependent change in the optical rotation of a solution of $(+)-9$ in wet DMSO in the presence of proline. Thus, a solution of ( $S$ )-proline ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and water $(0.040 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMSO $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was prepared. The aldehyde $(+)-$
$\mathbf{9}^{9}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$; ca. $88 \%$ ee $)$ was dissolved in the above DMSO solution and diluted to 1 mL using a volumetric flask. This solution was transferred into a $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0$ cm polarimetry cell and the optical rotation $\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ of the solution was measured every 5 min for 15 h (Figure S 1 a ). Because the excess concentration of $(+)-9$ is proportional to the optical rotation $(\alpha)$, the first order rate constant for racemization $\left(k_{\mathrm{obs}}=5.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ under these conditions is obtained from plot of $-\ln \left[\left(\alpha_{t}-\alpha_{e}\right) \div\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{e}\right)\right]$ vs. $t$ (Figure 3.1a). The half-life $\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=(\ln 2) / k_{\text {obs }}\right)$ for the racemization is calculated to be 128 min or 2.1 h . An identical experiment was conducted using $( \pm)-\mathbf{9}$ in place of $(+)-\mathbf{9}$; the optical rotation $(\alpha)$ changed from $-5^{\circ}$ to $-16^{\circ}$ over 15 h . In both cases, the aldehyde 9 was recovered in $>85 \%$ yield and was shown to be racemic (ee $<5 \%$ ) by NMR. ${ }^{9}$ The optical rotation ( $\alpha$ ) of the DMSO solution containing $(S)$-proline $(4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ and water $(20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ was $-13^{\circ}$. We expect that the rate of isomerization of $\mathbf{9}$ is considerably faster during aldol reaction than in the above experiment because of the much higher proline concentration (ca. x10).


Figure 3.1. Racemization of $(+)-9(12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ in DMSO solution containing water (20 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and (S)-proline (4 mg/mL): (a) plot of $-\ln \left[\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{t}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \div\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\right]$ versus time (data from the initial 2 half-lives; i.e., $t=30-300 \mathrm{~min}$ ): (b) plot of optical rotation $\left(\alpha_{D}\right)$ versus time at ambient temperature.

### 3.4 References

1. List, B.; Lerner, R. A.; Barbas, C. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2395-2396.
2. Reviews: (a) Jarvo, E. R.; Miller, S. J. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 2481-2495. (b) List, B. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 5573-5590. (c) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Garcia, J. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 65-75. (d) List, B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 548-557. (e) Notz, W.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C. F., III Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 580-591. (f) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138-5175. (g) List, B. In Modern Aldol Reactions; Mahrwald, R., Ed. Wiley-VGH: Weinheim, 2004; Vol. 1, Chapter 4, pp 161-200.
3. Willis, M. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 1765-1784.
4. Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 8291-8327.
5. (a) Hajos, Z. G.; Parrish, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 1615-1621. (b) Eder, U.; Sauer, G.; Wiechert, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1971, 10, 496-497. Reviews: (c) Cohen, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 412-417. (d) Drauz, K.; Kleemann, A.; Martens, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 584-608. (e) Martens, J. Top. Curr. Chem. 1984, 125, 165-246. Also see refs 2a,b.
6. (a) Allemann, C.; Gordillo, R.; Clemente, F. R.; Cheong, P. H.-Y.; Houk, K. N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 558-569. (b) List, B.; Hoang, L.; Martin, H. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 5839-5842. (c) Clemente, F. R.; Houk, K. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5766-5768.
7. Bahmanyar, S.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12911-12912.
8. (a) Agami, C.; Platzer, N.; Sevestre, H. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1987, 358-360. (b) Agami, C.; Sevestre, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1385-1386. For examples of kinetic resolution in proline-catalyzed cyclization of chiral 1,5-diketones and ketoaldehydes, see: (c) Agami, C.; Levisalles, J.; Sevestre, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 418-420. (d) Agami, C.; Platzer, N.; Puchot, C.; Sevestre, H. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 1091-1098.
9. (a) Pidathala, C.; Hoang, L.; Vignola, N.; List, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 2785-2788. (b) Mans, D. M.; Pearson, W. H. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3305-3308. (c) Kurteva, V. B.; Afonso, C. A. M. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 267-273.
10. For examples of low stereoselectivity in proline enamine formation from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$ symmetric cyclohexanones, see: (a) Hayashi, Y.; Yamaguchi, J.; Sumiya, T.; Hibino, K.; Shoji, M. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5966-5973. (b) Nyberg, A. I.; Usano, A.; Pihko, P. M. Synlett 2004, 1891-1896.
11. Bahmanyar, S.; Houk, K. N.; Martin, H. J.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2475-2479.
12. (a) Notz, W.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7386-7387. (b) Sakthivel, K.; Notz, W.; Bui, T.; Barbas, C. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5260-5267. (c) Chowdari, N. S.; Ramachary, D. B.; Cordova, A.; Barbas, C. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 9591-9595. (d) Peng, L.; Liu, H.; Zhang, T.; Zhang, F.; Mei, T.; Li, Y.; Li, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5107-5108. (e) Liu, H.; Peng, L.; Zhang, T.; Li, Y. New J. Chem. 2003, 27, 1159-1160. (f) Cordova, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 3949-3952. For examples with dynamic kinetic resolution, see: (g) Chowdari, N. S.; Ramachary, D. B.; Barbas, C. F., III Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1685-1688. (h) Chan, V.; Kim, J. G.; Jimeno, C.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2051-2053. For examples with double stereodifferentiation, see ref 12 g and: (i) Izquierdo, I.; Plaza, M. T.; Robles, R.; Mota, A. J.; Franco, F. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 2749-2754. (j) Pan, Q.; Zou, B.; Wang, Y.; Ma, D. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1009-1012.
13. Kinetic resolution of racemic substrates is equivalent to an enantiotopic group selective reaction; i.e., groups on enantiomeric substrates are enantiotopic by external comparison. See: Mislow, K.; Raban, M. Top. Stereochem. 1969, 1, 1-38.
14. For a discussion of this design strategy, see: Ward, D. E.; Sales, M.; Hrapchak, M. J. Can. J. Chem. 2001, 79, 1775-1785.
15. (a) Ward, D. E.; Man, C. C.; Guo, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2201-2202. (b) Ward, D. E.; Guo, C.; Sasmal, P. K.; Man, C. C.; Sales, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 13251328. (c) Ward, D. E.; Sales, M.; Man, C. C.; Shen, J.; Sasmal, P. K.; Guo, C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1618-1629. (d) Ward, D. E.; Sales, M.; Sasmal, P. K. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 4808-4815.
16. Ward, D. E.; Jheengut, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 8347-8350.
17. The relative and absolute configurations of the product correspond to reaction of an $(\alpha S)$-aldehyde via a TS analogous to 7. The favored addition to the aldehyde re face (cf. 7) corresponds to the favored Felkin selectivity for an ( $\alpha S$ )-aldehyde but is anti-Felkin for an $(\alpha R)$-aldehyde (e.g. 9 or 13).
18. Ward, D. E.; Akinnusi, O. T.; Alarcon, I. Q.; Jheengut, V.; Shen, J.; Quail, J. W. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 2425-2430.
19. For an example of a proline-catalyzed intramolecular aldol cyclization with dynamic kinetic resolution involving (in part) isomerization by aldehyde enolization, see: Woodward, R. B.; Logusch, E.; Nambiar, K. P.; Sakan, K.; Ward, D. E.; Au-Yeung, B.W.; Balaram, P.; Browne, L. J.; Card, P. J.; Chen, C. H.; Chênevert, R. B.; Fliri, A.; Frobel, K.; Gais, H.-J.; Garratt, D. G.; Hayakawa, K.; Heggie, W.; Hesson, D. P.; Hoppe, D.; Hoppe, I.; Hyatt, J. A.; Ikeda, D.; Jacobi, P. A.; Kim, K. S.; Kobuke, Y.; Kojima, K.; Krowicki, K.; Lee, V. J.; Leutert, T.; Malchenko, S.; Martens, J.; Matthews, S. R.; Ong, B. S.; Press, J. B.; RajanBabu, T. V.; Rousseau, G.; Sauter, H. M.; Suzuki, M.; Tatsuta, K.; Tolbert, L. M.; Truesdale, E. A.; Uchida, I.; Ueda, Y.; Uyehara, T.; Vasella, A. T.;

Vladuchick, W. C.; Wade, P. A.; Williams, R. M.; Wong, H. N.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3210-3213.
20. For examples of desymmetrization of meso dialdehydes by aldol reaction, see ref 9 and: (a) Oppolzer, W.; De Brabander, J.; Walther, E.; Bernardinelli, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4413-4416. (b) Oppolzer, W.; Walther, E.; Balado, C. P.; De Brabander, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 809-812. (c) De Brabander, J.; Oppolzer, W. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 9169-9202. For examples using reactions other than aldol, see ref. 3.
21. For kinetic resolution of meso/dl mixtures, see: Ward, D. E.; How, D.; Liu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 1884-1894.
22. The stereochemical motif in $\mathbf{2 0}$ is present in several polypropionates (e.g. erythromycins, oleandomycin, rutamycin, etc.)
23. Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.
24. Taber, D. F. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1351.
25. Harwood, L. M. Aldrichimica Acta 1985, 18, 25.
26.The multiplicity of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals refers to the number of attached H's (i.e., $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ )
27. Brown, D. W.; Nakashima, T. T.; Rabenstein, D. L. J. Mag. Reson. 1981, 45, 302.
28. Willker, W.; Leibfritz, D.; Kerssebaum, R.; Bermel, W. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1993, 31, 287-292.
29. Bax, A.; Summers, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2093-2094.
30. Ward, D. E.; Sales, M.; Man, C. C.; Shen, J.; Sasmal, P. K.; Guo, C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1618-1629.
31. Ward, D. E.; Akinnusi, O. T.; Alarcon, I. Q.; Jheengut, V.; Shen, J.; Quail, J. W. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 2425-2430.
32. (a) Spears, G. W.; Caufield, C. E.; Still, W. C. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1226-1231. (b) Unruh, G. R.; Birney, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8529-8533
33. Zuman, P.; Patel, R. C. Techniques in Organic Reaction Kinetics; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1984, 68-120.**

[^6]
# 3. Enantioselective Direct Intermolecular Aldol Reactions with Enantiotopic Group Selectivity and Dynamic Kinetic 

 Resolution: Scope and LimitationsDale E. Ward, Vishal Jheengut, and Garrison E. Beye

Graphical Abstract


### 4.1 Preface

Proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyranone with racemic 1,4-dioxa-8-thia-spiro[4.5]decane-6-carboxaldehyde proceeds with dynamic kinetic resolution to give a single adduct in good yield with excellent ee. The high enantiotopic group selectivity of this reaction resulted from the high intrinsic diastereoface selectivity of the aldehyde. In principle, chiral $\alpha$-substituted aldehydes possessing high intrinsic diastereoface selectivities should also be potential candidates in the proline-catalyzed aldol reactions. A detailed study on the scope and limitations of the research discussed in chapter 3 using different catalysts, aldehydes, and ketones (cyclic and acyclic) is described in this manuscript.

The following manuscript will be submitted to the Journal of Organic Chemistry and is formatted in this chapter as per thesis regulations of the University of Saskatchewan. Garrison E. Beye is a co-author in this manuscript and permission to include his unpublished work in my thesis in order to complete this joint project was granted by him. His contributions include aldol reactions of ketones (1, 10, 11, and 12) with racemic aldehyde 2 using catalyst 7 and characterization of compounds 10a, 11a, and 12 (Section 4.3). The remainder of the work was carried out by me and the manuscript was prepared in collaboration with Garrison Beye and my supervisor.
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#### Abstract

Intermolecular direct aldol reactions of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyranone with different racemic aldehyde acceptors have been explored using different organocatalysts. Moderate to good yields with excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivities were observed in these reactions. Aldol reactions of other ketone donors such as acetone and 2-butanone with chiral aldehydes are also described. The aldol reactions proceeded with dynamic kinetic resolution and high enantiotopic group selectivity resulting from the high intrinsic diastereoface selectivity of the chiral aldehydes. These reactions significantly extend the scope of the enantioselective direct aldol reaction and constitute simple and efficient syntheses of functionalized oligopropionate synthons with potential application to the synthesis of polypropionate natural products.


The aldol reaction is undoubtly the most instrumental and versatile tool for the construction of carbon-carbon bonds in modern organic synthesis. ${ }^{1}$ It involves the nucleophilic addition of ketone enol or enolate derivatives to an aldehyde (up to two new stereogenic centers can be produced) to form a $\beta$-hydroxy ketone that is a common structural motif found in many natural products. ${ }^{2}$ In 2000, the use of proline to catalyze highly enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions was disclosed by List, Lerner and Barbas ${ }^{3}$ almost 30 years after its intramolecular variant known as the Hajos-Parrish-Eder-Sauer-Wiechert reaction ${ }^{4}$ was discovered. Subsequently, this report inspired investigations by several other groups towards designing more efficient organocatalysts for the aldol reaction and extending this concept to other key carbon-carbon bond forming reactions. ${ }^{5}$ Although the stereoselectivities achieved in various organocatalyzed aldol reactions are remarkable, a major limitation of this process is the rather narrow substrate scope. The vast majorities of examples to date involve simple achiral reactants and functionalized chiral nonracemic aldehydes ${ }^{6}$ while only scattered examples using racemic aldehydes in dynamic kinetic resolution ${ }^{7}$ have been published. In this regard, we describe organocatalyzed intermolecular direct aldol reactions of different ketones with racemic aldehydes that proceed with diastereo- and enantiotopic group selectivities and dynamic kinetic resolution.

We have been investigating simultaneous and stepwise iterative aldol homologations of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one 1 with thiopyran aldehyde 2 followed by desulfurization as a conduit to rapidly assemble stereochemically complex polypropionate synthons (six to seven stereogenic centers) in only 2-3 steps in the context of a thiopyran route to polypropionates. ${ }^{8}$ We reported preliminary studies of the proline
catalyzed enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions of tetrahydro- 4 H -thiopyran-4-one $\mathbf{1}$ with various achiral aldehydes ${ }^{9}$ that furnished aldol adducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities in moderate to excellent yields (Scheme 4.1). Desulfurization of these aldol adducts or their derived diols afforded products equivalent to those from aldol reactions of 3-pentanone. Consequently, we also demonstrated that the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of 1 and racemic aldehyde 2 proceeded with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities but in modest yield ${ }^{10}$ (Scheme 4.1). The remarkable stereoselectivity of this reaction was attributable to the combination of the high propensity for the addition to the re face of (S)-2 imposed by the (S)-proline catalyst together with the high Felkin diastereoface selectivity intrinsic of 2 that resulted in a strong kinetic preference for the "matched" reaction (i.e. high enantiotopic group selectivity). Because the proline-catalyzed isomerization of 2 is much faster than the aldol condensation, the reaction proceeds with dynamic kinetic resolution. Herein, we report an extension of this important process using proline and its derivatives towards cyclic/acyclic ketones and racemic aldehydes. Conceptually, these reactions significantly extend the scope of the direct aldol reaction and constitute simple and efficient syntheses of functionalized acetate-propionate synthons with potential synthetic utility in polypropionate synthesis.


Scheme 4.1. Previous work

## Results and disscussion

Screening of different catalysts: The use of cyclic sulfides to facilitate various chemical transformations is a well established synthetic strategy. ${ }^{8,11}$ The synthetic utility of thiopyranone 1 towards polypropionate synthesis has prompted several other groups to investigate its compatibility towards organocatalyzed reactions ${ }^{12}$ where the beneficial effect of water as additive in the aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with various achiral aldehydes was independently disclosed by Pihko ${ }^{12 b-c}$ and ourselves. ${ }^{9}$ In the event, we also demonstrated the dramatic improvement in the yield and enantioselectivity of the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ and $( \pm)-2$ in the presence of added water and optimization of the reaction conditions with respect to solvent, concentration, stoichiometry and protocol allowed the efficient preparation of $5(56 \%,>98 \%$ yield $)$ in gram scale. ${ }^{10}$ Desulfurization of the MOM ether derivative 5a gave the synthetically useful tetrapropionate synthon 6 . We aimed to further improve the aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ and $( \pm)-\mathbf{2}$ by screening other proline
derived organocatalysts having greater solubility than proline in various organic solvents that might increase the reaction efficiency. From the recent literature, ${ }^{13}$ catalysts $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ (Table 4.1) were demonstrated to perform better than proline in certain aldol reactions due to their increased solubility in organic solvents. Using catalysts 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ under the established conditions ${ }^{10}$ for proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ and ( $\pm$ )-2 (Table 4.1, entry 1) followed by a brief optimization of ketone loading showed that 7 (Table 4.1, entry 4) was a superior catalyst furnishing 5 as a single diastereomer in $77 \%$ yield and $>98 \%$ ee after 3 days. Control experiments carried out by subjecting adduct 5 to catalyst 7 in wet DMSO showed that 5 underwent retro-aldol thereby explaining the drop in yield observed after prolonged reaction times as shown in entries 3-5 (Table 4.1). No significant increase in turn over was recorded when the amount of water was changed (Table 4.1, entries 6 and 7 ). When the reaction was run at a lower concentration ( 0.5 M ) using 12 equivalence of ketone, aldol adduct 5 was obtained in $86 \%$ yield with excellent ee (Table 4.1, entry 10). Catalysts $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}^{14}$ gave poor conversions and were accordingly not further studied. Based on these results, attributing the success of 7 to its increased solubility in DMSO compared to proline might be premature in our case since a study conducted using dissolved proline in DMSO [ $\sim 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ ] instead of solid proline added directly to the reaction showed that a lower yield (22\%) and ee ( $\sim 78 \%$ ) were obtained under the previously optimized conditions ${ }^{10}$ (i.e. same reaction conditions as in entry 1 , Table 4.1). Interestingly, when the aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ and ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{2}$ was run under almost neat conditions with DMSO as additive using 7 as catalyst, adduct 5 was obtained in $75 \%$ yield over 8 days ${ }^{15}$ using only 2 equivalent of $\mathbf{1}$ (Table 4.1, entry 15). Conclusively, the
use of catalyst 7 significantly improved the efficiency of the aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ and ( $\pm$ )-2 over our initial report using (S)-proline.

Table 4.1. Aldol reaction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of $\mathbf{1}$ with ( $\pm$ )-2 under various conditions

${ }^{a}$ All reactions carried out in DMSO at r.t unless specified. ${ }^{\text {b ee determined by comparing optical rotation }}$ from lit. $c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(\max )$ for $3=-47 .^{\mathrm{c}}$ Conditions: 1, 2 equiv; 2, 1 equiv; DMSO, 1.5 equiv; 7, 0.2 equiv; water, 2 equiv; r.t; 8 d .

Application to different ketones: We were interested to study the aldol reaction of cyclohexanone (10) with ( $\pm$ )-2 as another cyclic ketone to ascertain the scope of our design strategy. Adapting the previously published parameters for aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $( \pm)-2$ using (S)-proline, ${ }^{10}$ reaction of cyclohexanone with ( $\pm$ )-2 gave 10a as a single
diastereomer in low yield (22\%). A significant increase in yield of 10a (66\%) with excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivities was noted as a consequence of doubling the amount of ketone (Table 4.2, entry 3). Additionally, catalyst 7 was again proven to be more efficient than proline (Table 4.2, entries 4 and 5) using cyclohexanone $\mathbf{1 0}$ as the donor but a higher ketone loading was necessary.

Acetate-propionate synthons such as 11a, 12a and 12b are common structural motifs in many polyketides. ${ }^{16}$ By judicious C-C bond disconnection, they can be visualized from aldol reactions from either acetone (11) or 2-butanone (12) with 2. Inspired by their synthetic usefulness, we next investigated aldol reaction of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{2}$ with 11 and 12. The proline catalyzed aldol reaction of 11 with ( $\pm$ )-2 was found to be very sensitive to the amount of water added. The reaction behaved best in dry DMSO furnishing diastereomer 11a in $75 \%$ yield ( $\mathrm{dr}=12: 1,92 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) and was accompanied by $\sim 6 \%$ of elimination product 11c (entry 8, Table 4.2). Interestingly, no elimination was detected when 1 equiv of water was added to the reaction but the yield was seriously compromised (entry 11, Table 4.2). The aldol reaction of 11 and ( $\pm$ )-2 occurred with a substantial amount of elimination when catalyzed by 7 (entries 12 and 13, Table 4.2). Subjecting adducts 11a and 11b (10:1 mixture) to the reaction conditions using both (S)proline and 7 separately showed that elimination was only observed with 7 (60-70\%). 2Butanone, another interesting ketone with its regioselective issue was also attempted but unfortunately no reasonable success was met even after extensive investigation of different reaction parameters and was not further pursued. In short, an inseparable mixture of two regioisomers 12a and 12b (1.6-2:1) in 20-36\% combined yield with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities of the major product 12a were obtained ( $95 \%$ ee, Table
4.2, entry 20). Elimination products ( $\sim 5 \%$ ) were also noted in some cases studied. Other ketone donors such as $\alpha$-hydroxylacetone, 3-pentanone and dihydrothiophen-3(2H)-one attempted were not promising. The relative and absolute stereochemistry of 10a, 11a and, 12a were assigned by analogy. ${ }^{17}$
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Figure 4.1. Adducts from aldol reactions of ( $\pm$ )-2 with 10, 11 and 12

Table 4.2. Aldol reactions ${ }^{a}$ of ( $\pm$ )-2 with cyclohexanone (10), acetone (11) and 2-
butanone (12)

| Entry | Catalyst | Ketone (equiv) | [2]M | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \text { (equiv) } \end{gathered}$ | Time (days) | Yield (\%) | Adduct(s) | Ratio | ee <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  | 10a | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10a } \\ & \text { (dr) } \end{aligned}$ | $10 a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1 | (S)proline | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 25 |  | >50:1 | c |
| 2 |  | 6 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 26 |  | $>50: 1$ | c |
| 3 |  | 12 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 66 |  | $>50: 1$ | 93 |
| 4 | 7 | 6 | 0.5 | 8 | 3 | 80 |  | $>50: 1$ | c |
| 5 |  | 12 | 0.5 | 8 | 4 | 85 |  | >50:1 | $>95$ |
|  | (S)proline | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11a(11c) | 11a:11b | $11 a^{\text {d }}$ |
| 6 |  | 20 | 0.5 | 0 | 3 | 72(13) |  | 10:1 | 90 |
| 7 |  | 20 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3 | 57(10) |  | 13:1 | 83 |
| 8 |  | 40 | 0.5 | 0 | 3 | 75(6) |  | 12:1 | 92 |
| 9 |  | 40 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3 | 60(4) |  | 15:1 | 93 |
| 10 |  | 20 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70(15) |  | 10:1 | 82 |
| 11 |  | 20 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 48(0) |  | 15:1 | c |
| 12 | 7 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 57(21) |  | 11:1 | 95 |
| 13 |  | 20 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 46(46) |  | 10:1 | c |
|  | (S)proline | 12 |  |  |  |  | 12a+12b | 12a:12b | $12 a^{\text {d }}$ |
| 14 |  | 20 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 20 |  | 1:1 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 15 |  | 20 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 | 20 |  | 2:1 | c |
| 16 |  | 20 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 20 |  | 2:1 | c |
| 17 |  | 20 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 21 |  | 1.5:1 | c |
| 18 |  | 40 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 15 |  | 2:1 | c |
| 19 | 7 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 24 |  | 1:1.7 | c |
| 20 |  | 20 | 1 | 0.5 | 7 | 36 |  | 1.6:1 | 95 |

$\overline{{ }^{a} \text { All reactions carried }}$ out in DMSO and 0.5 eq of catalyst. ${ }^{\text {bee }}$ determined by resolving the MOM derivative of aldol 10a by ${ }^{1} H$ NMR using chiral shift reagent ( R )-(-)-2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(9-anthryl)ethanol (TFAE). ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Not determined. ${ }^{\text {d ee }}$ determined by resolving the aldols by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using (TFAE).

Application to different aldehydes: We demonstrated that if a chiral aldehyde possesses high diastereoface selectivity, it will be a good candidate in enantiotopic group selective aldol reactions. The intrinsic high diastereoface selectivity of cyclic aldehyde $( \pm)-2$ was attributed to the presence of the ketal group; ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~d}}$ this finding directed our interest to investigate acyclic aldehyde $( \pm)-16$. Aldehyde $( \pm)-16$ was easily prepared by
desulfurization from known keto-ester $13^{18}$ followed by $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction and Swern oxidation. We initially investigated aldol reaction of 1 with ( $\pm$ )-16 using different catalysts under our previously established conditions. ${ }^{10}$ To our delight, adduct 17 was obtained as a single diastereomer in $60 \%$ yield with excellent ee (entry 5, Table 4.3) when using $\mathbf{7}$ as catalyst. MOM protection of $\mathbf{1 7}$ followed by desulfurization gave the known tetrapropionate synthon $6,{ }^{10}$ which unambiguously confirmed the relative and absolute stereochemistry of adduct 17. Acetone successfully underwent aldol reaction with ( $\pm$ )-16 using proline in the absence of water to afford 19a with its minor diastereomer in $70 \%$ yield and $91 \%$ ee (entry 7, Table 4.3) and was accompanied with a significant amount of elimination product 19b. The presence of water again proved to suppress elimination but the yield significantly diminished (entry 8, Table 4.3). Resubjecting the 17:1 mixture of adduct 19a and its minor diastereomer to the same reaction conditions using either (S)-proline or 7 resulted in elimination (10-30\%) but negligible retroaldol ( $\sim 2 \%$ ) with both catalysts. When 20 equivalents of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ was added to a mixture of either $(S)$-proline or 7 and $( \pm)-16$ in DMSO at ambient temperature for 2 days, recovered 16 showed $90 \%$ deuterium incorporation (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) indicating that aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{1 1}$ with $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 6}$ using either proline and $\mathbf{7}$ proceed with dynamic kinetic resolution (i.e. isomerization of 16 is faster than aldol). Adducts $\mathbf{1 7}$ and 19a are synthetically useful synthons in polypropionate synthesis.

a.Ra-Ni, $70 \%$ b. $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, 90 \%$, c. $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMSO, DIPEA, $70-80 \%$


Scheme 4.2. Preparation of ( $\pm$ )-16 and aldol reactions with 1 and 11
Table 4.3. Aldol reactions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of $( \pm)-16$ with 1 and 11

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst (equiv) | Ketone (equiv) | [16]M | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \text { (equiv) } \end{gathered}$ | Yield (\%) | Adduct(s) | dr | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 17 |  | $17^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1 | (S)- | 0.5 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 42 |  | $>50: 1$ | 86 |
| 2 | proline | 0.5 | 6 | 0.5 | 8 | 47 |  | $>50: 1$ | $>95$ |
| 3 | 7 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.5 | 8 | 42 |  | $>50: 1$ | c |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 6 | 0.5 | 8 | 60 |  | $>50: 1$ |  |
| 5 |  | 0.5 | 12 | 0.5 | 8 | 60 |  | $>50: 1$ | $>95$ |
| 6 | 8 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.5 | 8 | 14 |  | $>50: 1$ | d |
|  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 19a(19b) |  | $19^{\text {d }}$ |
| 7 | (S)- | 0.5 | 20 | 0.5 | 0 | 70(10) |  | 17:1 | 91 |
| 8 | proline | 0.5 | 20 | 0.5 | 8 | 35(0) |  | 17:1 | c |
| 9 | 7 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.5 | 8 | 38(35) |  | 9:1 | c |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction carried out in DMSO as solvent. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ee determined by converting 17 to 6 that was resolved by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using chiral shift reagent $(+)-\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ and lit. comparison of optical rotation of 6 (ref. 10). ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Not
 chiral shift reagent $(+)-E u(h f c)_{3}$.

We next turned our focus to syn aldehyde $( \pm)$-20s ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~d}}$ which can possibly epimerize to its anti diastereomer $( \pm)-20 \mathrm{a}$ in presence of bases or acids. The stability of 20 s was questionable initially as some elimination was detected even when kept at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A brief survey was carried out by exposing 20s to different catalysts in various organic solvents (Table 4.4) and showed that 20s readily epimerizes to 20a and that the latter was more prone to elimination. Elimination of the MOM group in aldehydes 20s and 20a will be therefore a major concern during their aldol reactions using proline or 7 as catalysts. Nevertheless, this situation offers the opportunity to study simultaneously diastereotopic and enantiotopic group selectivity in (S)-proline catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ and ( $\pm$ )-20s. It is appropriate to consider that 20s and 20a have the 'matched' diastereoface selectivities for addition to the re face by the enamine whose facial selectivity is controlled by the absolute configuration of (S)-proline (Scheme 4.3). Aldol reaction of $( \pm)-\mathbf{2 0}$ s with 1 in the presence of (S)-proline under the previously established conditions ${ }^{10}$ gave adducts 22 and 23 in 20\% combined yield over 2 days. Doubling both the amount of ketone and reaction time afforded a 1:2 mixture of 22 and 23 respectively, in 31-37\% combined yield with excellent enantiopurity for 23 (entries 3 and 5, Table 4.5). The ratio of products suggests that aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with the anti diastereomer ( $\pm$ )-20a is faster than ( $\pm$ )-20s affording two major Felkin aldols 22 and 23. The two anti-Felkin adducts were also detected as minor products $(\sim 5-10 \%)^{19}$ in all cases studied. These results support our earlier views that the importance of the ketal group in dictating a high diastereoface selectivity in aldehydes 2 and 16. The aldol reaction of 1 and ( $\pm$ )-20s performed slightly better when catalyst 7 was used instead (entry 7, Table 4.5). When the aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ were done with a mixture of aldehydes, a better selectivity of 22:23
(1:5) was obtained but no improvement in yield was noted. These findings point out that the diastereotopic group selectivity of the aldol reaction can be modulated if 20a is used as the starting aldehyde instead (entries 8 and 9, Table 4.5). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of organocatalyzed aldol reaction that occurs with a combination of diastereotopic and enantiotopic group selectivities. The absolute configurations of $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}{ }^{17}$ were assigned by analogy to previous examples.

Table 4.4. Epimerization and elimination of 20s and 20a


| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst (equiv) | Solvent | [20s]M | Time (h) | 20s:20a:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 0.5 | DMSO | 0.1 | 0 | $1: 0: 0$ |
| 2 |  | 0.5 | DMSO | 0.1 | 1 | $10: 1: 1$ |
| 3 | $(S)$-proline | 0.5 | DMSO | 0.1 | 24 | $1.2: 1.2: 1$ |
| 4 |  | 0.5 | DMSO | 0.1 | 48 | $1: 1: 1.2$ |
| 5 |  | 0.5 | DMSO | 0.1 | 168 | $>95 \%$ of $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| 6 |  | 1 | DMSO | 1 | 14 | $2: 4: 1$ |
| 7 |  | 1 | DMF | 1 | 14 | $2: 4: 1$ |
| 7 | imidazole | 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1 | 14 | $7: 11: 1$ |
| 8 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1 | 48 | $5: 8: 1$ |  |
| 9 | 7 | 1 | $\mathrm{DMSO}_{3}$ | 1 | 14 | $1.3: 1.5: 1$ |



Scheme 4.3. Aldol reaction of 1 with ( $\pm$ )-20s using (S)-proline

Table 4.5. Aldol reaction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of 1 with ( $\pm$ )-20s

| Entry | Catalyst | Aldehyde | Concentration of aldehyde | Time (days) | Yield (\%) $(22+23)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{dr} \\ 23: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee (\%) } \\ \mathbf{2 3}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (S)proline | 20s | 0.5 | 1 | 14 | 2:1 | c |
| 2 |  |  | 0.5 | 2 | 25 | 2.5:1 | c |
| 3 |  |  | 0.5 | 4 | 37 | 2.3:1 | 93 |
| 4 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 25 | 2:1 | c |
| 5 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 31 | 2:1 | 95 |
| 6 | ent-7 |  | 0.5 | 4 | 33 | 2:1 | c |
| 7 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 42 | 2:1 | $>95$ |
| 8 | (S)proline | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20s:20a:21 } \\ (3: 1: 0.7) \end{gathered}$ | 0.5 | 4 | 28 | 5:1 | c |
| 9 | 7 |  | 1 | 4 | 42 | 5:1 | >95 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ All reactions carried out in DMSO, 12 eq of $1,0.5$ eq of proline and 8 eq of water. ${ }^{\mathrm{b} e e}$ was determined by resolving the bis-3,5-dinitrobenzoate derivative of the 1,3 syn diol that was obtained from $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of 23 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using chiral shift reagent $(+)-\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Not determined.

Conclusion: In summary, we successfully extended the proline-catalyzed direct aldol reaction that proceeded with a combination of dynamic kinetic resolution and enantiotopic group selectivity to other cyclic and acyclic acceptors/donors using different organocatalysts. High ketone loading, narrow substrate scope and long reaction times are among the major limitations to achieve high conversions in these aldol reactions. We also demonstrated that aldol reaction of 1 with $( \pm)$-20s proceeded with a combination of diastereotopic and enantiotopic group selectivities. This design strategy can be applied to other substrates in enantioselective direct aldol reactions and significantly extends the scope of this important process.

### 4.3 Supporting Information (Experimental section)

General Methods. All solvents were distilled prior to use. Anhydrous solvents were distilled under argon atmosphere as follows: Tetrahydrofuran (THF) from benzophenone potassium ketyl; ether from benzophenone sodium ketyl; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and toluene from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$; MeOH from $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$. All experiments involving air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were conducted in an oven dried round-bottom flask capped with a rubber septum, and attached via a needle and connecting tubing to an argon manifold equipped with mercury bubbler (ca. 5 mm positive pressure of argon). Low temperature baths were ice/water $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ or $\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~s})} /$ acetone $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; reaction temperatures refer to that of the bath. Concentration refers to removal of volatiles at water aspirator pressure on a rotary evaporator with the final traces of solvent removed at high vacuum (ca. 0.4 Torr). Preparative TLC (PTLC) was carried out on glass plates $(20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm})$ pre-coated ( 0.25 mm ) with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$. Materials were detected by visualization under an ultraviolet lamp (254 nm) and/or by treating a 1 cm vertical strip removed from the plate with a solution of phosphomolybdic acid (5\%) containing a trace of ceric sulfate in aqueous sulfuric acid ( $5 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), followed by charring on a hot plate. Flash column chromatography (FCC) was performed according to Still et al. ${ }^{20}$ with silica gel 60 (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m})$. All mixed solvent eluents are reported as $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solutions. Unless otherwise noted, all reported compounds were homogeneous by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Spectral Data. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) and low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were obtained on a double focusing high resolution spectrometer; only partial data are reported. EI ionization was accomplished at 70 eV and CI at 50 eV with
ammonia as the reagent gas; only partial data are reported. IR spectra were recorded on a Fourier transform interferometer using a diffuse reflectance cell (DRIFT); only diagnostic and/or intense peaks are reported. Unless otherwise noted, NMR spectra were measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution at 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 125 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. Signals due to the solvent ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) or residual protonated solvent $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\right)$ served as the internal standard: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(7.26 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}, 77.23 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right) ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(7.16 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}, 128.39 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants were determined assuming first-order behavior. Multiplicity is indicated by one or more of the following: $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), $q$ (quartet), m (multiplet), br (broad), ap (apparent); the list of couplings constants ( $J$ ) corresponds to the order of the multiplicity assignment. Couplings constants $(J)$ are reported to the nearest 0.5 Hz . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were made based on chemical shift and multiplicity and were confirmed, where necessary, by homonuclear decoupling and/or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation experiments (HSQC and/or $\mathrm{HMBC}^{21}$ and/or NOE experiments. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR assignments were made based on chemical shift and multiplicity ${ }^{22}$ (as determined by $J$-modulation ${ }^{23}$ or $\mathrm{HSQC}^{24}$ and were confirmed, where necessary, by two dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation experiments (HSQC and/or HMBC).

Materials: The preparations of the following compounds were described previously: enantioenriched 5-7, ${ }^{25}( \pm)-\mathbf{1 3},{ }^{26}( \pm)-\mathbf{2 0 s},{ }^{26}$ and $( \pm)-\mathbf{2 0 a}{ }^{26}$ and Raney-Nickel $(\mathrm{W}-2)^{27}$. All other reagents were commercially available and unless otherwise noted, were used as received.
(S)-2-[(R)-(6S)-1,4-Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl(hydroxy)methyl]cyclohexanone (10a).


A solution of cyclohexanone $(0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 310 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}), 5-[(2 R)$-pyrrolidine-2-yl]-1H-tetrazole ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and water ( $38 \mathrm{uL}, 38 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 0.27 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then aldehyde ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After 4 days, the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC (15-50\% ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the aldol product 10a $(60 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \% ;>95 \%$ ee by resolving the MOM derivative of aldol 10a by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using TFAE, see Appendix B); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+30$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3519,1694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4,4,7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HC-1'), 4.05-3.90 (4H, m, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2, \mathrm{HC}-4 " \& 5 "\right), 3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HO}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\left.J=10,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7{ }^{\prime}\right), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,11,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,3,14$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7$ ' $)$, $2.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=5,6.5,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2,3.5,5.5,13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.44-2.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,4,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6$ '), 2.07-1.96 (3H, m), 1.91$1.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.75-1.60(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 214.7(\mathrm{~s}), 109.7(\mathrm{~s})$, $68.9(\mathrm{~d}), 64.7(\mathrm{t}), 64.2(\mathrm{t}), 54(\mathrm{~d}), 47(\mathrm{~d}), 42.8(\mathrm{t}), 36.4(\mathrm{t}), 31.6(\mathrm{t}), 28.5(\mathrm{t}), 27.2(\mathrm{t}), 26.9$ (t), 24.8 (t); LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 286 ([M] $]^{+}, 3$ ), 269 (2), 159 (8), 132 (13), 55 (100); HRMS m/z calcd. for C14H22O4S: 286.1239; found: 286.1236 (EI).
(4S)-4-[(6R)-1,4-Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl]4-hydroxy-2-butanone (11a).


A solution of acetone $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}), 5-[(2 R)$-pyrrolidine-2-yl]-1H-tetrazole ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and water ( $76 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 76 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 1.1 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then aldehyde ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After stirring for 4 days, the reaction was taken up in ethyl acetate and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give an inseparable 10:1 mixture of aldol diastereomers ( 126 mg , $48 \%$; major diastereomer (11a) was $95 \%$ ee by resolving 11a by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using TFAE (see Appendix C); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-14$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Spectroscopic data for the major diastereomer (11a)

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3502,1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 4.67-4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-4)$, 4.10-3.94 (4H, m, H2CO x 2, HC-4" \& 5"), $3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HO}), 3.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82-2.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2,3.5,5.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=3.5,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.2\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1\right), 2.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,3,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $3,3.5,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3.5,11.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta:$ $208.3(\mathrm{~s}), 109.9(\mathrm{~s}), 65.5(\mathrm{~d}), 64.7(\mathrm{t}), 64.4(\mathrm{t}), 49.2(\mathrm{~d}), 48.7(\mathrm{t}), 36(\mathrm{t}), 30.9(\mathrm{q}), 26.7(\mathrm{t} \mathrm{x}$ 2); LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 246 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 11$ ), 184 (6), 159 (17), 132 (40), 113 (9), 99 (100); HRMS m/z calcd. for C11H18O4S: 246.0926; found: 246.0929 (EI).

## 4-(1,4-Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)-4-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-butanone and 5-(1,4-

## Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)-5-hydroxy-3-pentanone.

12a


12b

A solution of butanone ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $5-[(2 R)$-pyrrolidine-2-yl]-1H-tetrazole ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and water ( $76 \mathrm{uL}, 76 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 1.1 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then aldehyde ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After 7 days, the reaction was taken up in ethyl acetate and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give an inseparable 1.5:1 mixture of aldol regioisomers ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ) and ee of major isomer 12a was $>95 \%$ determined by resolving 12a by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using TFAE (see Appendix D).

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3493,2923,1708 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.63(0.4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=3$, $6,9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.25(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,5,9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.08-3.90(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.13(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.1(0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05-2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.81-2.67(2.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.64(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5$, $3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58-2.43(2.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.19(1.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.09(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.07$ $(0.4 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3,5.5,12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.01(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,3,11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.5$, $3.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.75-1.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.04(1.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(1.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 213$ ( s , major), 211 ( s , minor), 110.3 (s, major), 110 ( s , minor), 71.8 (d, major), 65.7 (d, minor), 64.83 (t, major), 64.76 (t, minor), 64.51 (t,
minor), 64.45 (t, major), 49.3 (d, minor), 49.0 (d, major), 47.4 ( t , minor), 46.5 (d, major), 37.0 ( t , minor), 36.3 ( t , major), 36.2 ( t , minor), 30.2 ( q , major), 26.80 ( t , major), 26.77 ( t , minor), 26.7 ( t , major), 26.2 ( t , minor), 13.8 ( q , major), 7.8 ( q , minor); LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 260 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 13$ ), 199 (5), 159 (10), 132 (9), 99 (100); HRMS m/z calcd. for C12H20O4S: 260.1082; found: 260.1083.

## Methyl 2-Ethyl- $\alpha$-methyl-1,3-dioxolane-2-acetate (14).



A suspension of freshly prepared Raney-Ni (W-2) ( 8 mL settled volume) in ethanol was added in one portion to a well stirred solution of ketal ester ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$.The reaction mixture was heated under reflux and progress was monitored by TLC. Additional Raney-Ni ( 2 mL settled volume) was added each hour until the reaction was complete $(2-3 \mathrm{~h})$. The supernatant was filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the residue was suspended in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated under reflux for several minutes. The supernatant was filtered and the residue treated as above (this process repeated 3 times). The combined filtrates were concentrated and fractionated by FCC ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the titled compound as a clear oil ( 862 mg , $72 \%)$.

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 1737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.04-3.94\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2, \mathrm{HC}-4\right.$ \& 5), $3.69\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-\alpha), 1.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HC-1'), $1.75\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1\right.$ '), $1.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-\alpha\right), 0.90(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 174.1(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 111.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2)$,
$65.9\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.8\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 51.9\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 46.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-\alpha), 28.1(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-1$ '), $12.7(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\alpha$ ), 7.4 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ); HRMS m/z calcd. for C9H16O4: $211.1049(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$; found: 211.0938 (ESI).

2-Ethyl- $\alpha$-methyl-1,3-dioxolane-2-ethanol (15). Known compound: Daniewski, Andrzej Robert; Piotrowska, Emilia; Wojciechowska, Wanda. Liebigs Annalen der Chemie (1989), (11), 1061-4.


A solution of the ester ( $862 \mathrm{mg}, 4.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(180 \mathrm{mg}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and after 1 h , was quenched by addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~N}, 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was filtered through a short column of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ washing with THF. The combined filtrate and washings were concentrated to give the titled compound as a clear oil ( $660 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ).

IR $\lambda_{\max }: 3427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.03-3.98\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2, \mathrm{HC}-4\right.$ \& 5), $3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-\alpha), 3.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-\alpha), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, HO), $2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-\beta), 1.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ap q, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-\beta\right), 0.90\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2{ }^{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 114.7$ (s, C2), $65.3\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.1(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-\alpha), 40.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-\beta), 29.7(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-1$ '), $12.5(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\beta$ ), 7.6 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~s}$ ); HRMS m/z calcd. for C8H16O3: $183.1099(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$; found: 183.0998 (ESI).

## 2-Ethyl- $\alpha$-methyl-1,3-dioxolane-2-acetaldehyde (16).



DMSO $(0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(0.20$ $\mathrm{mL}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 30 min , a solution of alcohol ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 2.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. After $30 \mathrm{~min}, i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}(1.1$ $\mathrm{mL}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm at ambient temperature over 1 h . Hexane ( 25 mL ) and toluene ( 25 mL ) were added and reaction mixture was concentrated to a volume of ca. 25 mL . Additional hexane ( 25 mL ) was added and the precipitated amine salt was removed by filtration through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The filtrate was concentrated and fractionated by FCC (10\% ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a clear yellow oil ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ).

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 1719 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHO}), 4.08-$ $4.00\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2, \mathrm{HC}-4 \& 5\right), 2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=2,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-\alpha), 1.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=$ $\left.7.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1^{\prime}\right), 1.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), $1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-\alpha\right), 0.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 203.8(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 112.1(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2), 65.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.6\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 53.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-\alpha), 28.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{l}), 9.2(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\alpha$ ), 7.6 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~s}$ ); HRMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for C8H14O3: $181.0943(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$; found: 181.0840 (ESI).

## (4R,5S)-5-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-4-hydroxyhexan-2-one (19a).



A solution of acetone $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S)$-proline $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 0.8 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then aldehyde $\mathbf{1 6}(50 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.32 mmol ) was added. After stirring for 2 days, the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give an inseparable $17: 1$ mixture of two aldol diastereomers $\left(49 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \% ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+24\left(c 1.3, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)\right.$ and elimination product $\mathbf{1 9 b}$ (separable) was also observed as a byproduct; ee of 19a was $91 \%$ ee determined by converting 19a to its 3,5-dinitrobenzoate derivative that was resolved by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using chiral shift reagent (+)-Eu(hfc) $)_{3}$ (see Appendix E).

Spectroscopic data for the major diastereomer (19a): IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3514,1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=1,4,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 3.43-3.38\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ x $\left.2, \mathrm{HC}-4^{\prime} \& 5^{\prime}\right), 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HO}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=4,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 1.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 1.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}-1), 1.62\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}\right), 1.00$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-6$ ), $0.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ס: 207.1 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 114.8 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 67.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-4), 65.6\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.1\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 49.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-$
3), 42.9 (d, C-5), 30.8 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 28.3 (t, C-1"), 8.38 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-6$ ), 8.32 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{l}$ ); HRMS m/z calcd. for C11H20O4: $239.1362(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$; found: $239.1262(\mathrm{ESI})$.
(5S,E)-5-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)hex-3-en-2-one (19b).


IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4)$, $6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 3.49-3.41\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2, \mathrm{HC}-4{ }^{\prime} \& 5^{\prime}\right), 2.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, HC-5), $1.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}-1), 1.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-1 "), 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 196.9(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2), 148.3$ (d, C-4), 132.3 (d, C-3), 113.3 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 65.87$ (t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 65.81 (t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 44.3 (d, C-5), 29.0 (t, C-1"), 27.0 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 14.4 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-6$ ), 7.9 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{Z}$ ); HRMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for C11H18O3: 221.1256 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$; found: $221.1155(\mathrm{ESI})$.

## (S)-3-[(1S,2S)-2-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-1-hydroxypropyl)dihydro-2H-

 thiopyran-4(3H)-one (17).

A suspension of thiopyranone ( $400 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 5-[(2S)-pyrrolidine-2-yl]-1Htetrazole $(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMSO $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then the aldehyde $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After 2 days, the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The excess ketone was removed at high vacuum (and collected in a cold trap) and the remaining residue was fractionated by FCC ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the titled compound as a single diastereomer ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$; $>95 \%$ ee by lit. ${ }^{25}$ comparison of the $[\alpha]_{D}$ of 6 derived from 17 or by resolving 6 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using chiral shift reagent (+)$\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ (see Appendix F).
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ of $\mathbf{1 7}=-62\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) ;$ IR $\lambda_{\max }: 3529,1719 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ ס: $4.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1 '), 3.43-3.36\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2, \mathrm{HC}-4 " \& 5\right.$ "), 3.05 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HO}$ ), $2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.58-2.28\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-5\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-6\right), 1.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=2.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2$ '), 1.72-1.61 (2H, m, HC-1"'), $1.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $\left.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 209.2(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-$
4), 114.5 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}\right), 70.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ '), $65.6\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.3\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 56.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-3), 44.3(\mathrm{t}$, C-5), 40.9 (d, C-2'), 34.0 (t, C-2), 31.6 (t, C-6), 28.1 (t, C-2"'), 8.9 (q, C-3'), 8.2 (q, C-2"'); HRMS m/z calcd. for C13H22O4S: $297.1239(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$; found: 297.1142 (ESI).
(S)-3-[(1S,2S)-2-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-1-(methoxymethoxy)propyl)dihydro-2H-thiopyran-4(3H)-one (18).

$\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(195 \mathrm{mg} ; 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}), i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}(0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{MOMCl}(0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were sequentially added to a solution of the aldol $17(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature under argon. After standing for 24 h (reaction complete by TLC), the mixture was diluted with 1 M aq HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The residue was triturated with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane and the supernatant was filtered through a short pad of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. The combined filtrates were concentrated to give the titled compound as a yellow oil (118 mg, $85 \%,[\alpha]_{D}-15(c 0.67$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ).

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHO}), 4.60$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1^{\prime}\right), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHO}), 3.73-3.50\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{x}\right.$ 2, HC-4" \& 5"), $3.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,7,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, HC-5), $2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=1,7,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.47-$ $2.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-6\right), 1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=2.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2$ ), 1.75-1.64 (2H, m, HC-
$1^{\prime \prime}$ '), $1.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 0.91\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 207.5$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 113.8 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}\right), 98.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ), 77.2 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}-1$ '), 65.7 ( t , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.4\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 58.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-3), 56.3\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 43.5(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5), 42.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right), 33.3$ (t, C-2), 31.0 (t, C-6), 27.9 (t, C-1"'), 10.6 (q, C-3'), 8.14 (q, C-2'"); HRMS m/z calcd. for C15H26O5S: $341.1501(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$; found: 341.1387 (ESI).
(4S,5R,6S)-6-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-5-(methoxymethoxy)-4-methylheptan-3-one (6)


A suspension of freshly prepared Raney-Ni (W-2) ( 2 mL settled volume) in ethanol was added in one portion to a well stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 8}(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol (5 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux and progress was monitored by TLC. Additional Raney-Ni ( 1 mL settled volume) was added each hour until the reaction was complete $(2-3 \mathrm{~h})$. The supernatant was filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the residue was suspended in methanol ( 5 mL ) and heated under reflux for several minutes. The supernatant was filtered and the residue treated as above (this process repeated 3 times). The combined filtrates were concentrated and fractionated by FCC (30\% ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the titled compound as a clear oil ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%,[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+63$ (c 0.77 , $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ and NMR data for $\mathbf{6}$ was identical to that previously reported. ${ }^{25}$

## (S)-3-((S)-hydroxy((3S,4R)-4-(methoxymethoxy)tetrahydro-2H-thiopyran-3-

 yl)methyl)dihydro-2H-thiopyran-4(3H)-one

22


23

A suspension of thiopyranone $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $5-[(2 R)$-pyrrolidine-2-yl]-1Htetrazole ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and water $(110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMSO $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then aldehyde ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After stirring for 4 days, the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC (10-25\% ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a separable 2:1 mixture aldol adducts 22 and 23, respectively ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ ). Pure samples of $23\left([\alpha]_{D}+19\right.$, с $1.3 \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} ;>95 \%$ ee by resolving the bis-3,5-dinitrobenzoate derivative of the derived 1,3 syn diol obtained from $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of 23 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using chiral shift reagent $(+)-\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}\left(\right.$ see Appendix G) and $22\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-7.6\right.$, с 0.95, benzene; ee not determined) were obtained by fractionation of the mixture by PTLC. NMR data for $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$ were essentially identical to that previously reported. ${ }^{26}$

5,6-Dihydro-2H-thiopyran-3-carboxaldehyde (21).[elimination product of 20a and 20s]


IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 2809,2712,1679,1636 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s $), 3.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, ap t, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 193.0,151,139,27.7,24.9,22.5$; LRMS (EI), $m / z$ (relative intensity): 128 (100), 112 (15), 99 (34), 67 (26), 65 (38), 54 (10), 53 (32); HRMS m/z calcd. for C6H8OS: 128.0296; found: 128.0295 (EI).
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## 5. The Thiopyran Route to Polypropionates:

## An Efficient Synthesis of Serricornin
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### 5.1 Preface

Proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyranone with racemic 1,4-dioxa-8-thia-spiro[4.5]decane-6-carboxaldehyde proceeds with dynamic kinetic resolution to give a single adduct in good yield with excellent ee. The reaction constitutes a simple and efficient synthesis of useful tetrapropionate synthon. Application of this tetrapropionate synthon towards an efficient synthesis of (-)-serricornin is described in this manuscript.
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#### Abstract

The synthesis of serricornin [(4S,6S,7S)-7-hydroxy-4,6-dimethylnonan-3-one], a sex pheromone produced by the female cigarette beetle (Lasioderma serricorne F.), in 7 steps from readily available racemic 1,4-dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6-carboxaldehyde (6) is described. The key steps include enantioselective aldol reaction of 6 with tetrahydrothiopyran-4-one catalyzed by 5-[(2S)-pyrrolidine-2-yl]-1H-tetrazole to fabricate the tetrapropionate skeleton, stereoselective $\mathrm{Li}^{5} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ reduction of the resulting aldol adduct, Barton-McCombie deoxygenation, and Raney nickel desulfurization.


Serricornin (1) is the sex pheromone of the female cigarette beetle (Lasioderma serricorne F.), a serious pest of cured tobacco leaves and various dried foodstuffs. ${ }^{1}$ Because serricornin (1) exists as an equilibrium mixture of the ketol and cyclic hemiacetal forms, ${ }^{2}$ it is often characterized as the corresponding acetate 2 (Scheme 5.1). The relative and absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1}$ was determined from a series of synthetic studies that produced all of the possible stereoisomers of $\mathbf{2} .^{3}$ The attractant activity of $\mathbf{1}$ is at least $10^{3}$ greater than any of the other stereoisomers and the $(4 S, 6 S, 7 R)$-diastereomer inhibits the activity of $\mathbf{1} .^{4}$ The potential commercial value of $\mathbf{1}$ has prompted numerous synthetic studies. ${ }^{5}$ The large majority of reported stereoselective syntheses of $\mathbf{1}$ proceed either by addition of EtMgBr to the lactone $3 \mathbf{a}^{6,7}$ or by alkylation of 3-pentanone with a suitable derivative of $4 .{ }^{8,9}$


## Scheme 5.1

We have been developing stereoselective stepwise two-directional aldol reactions of 5 and 6 as the foundation of a thiopyran-based synthetic route to polypropionates (Scheme 5.2). ${ }^{10}$ In this regard, we recently reported that (S)-proline catalyzes an

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{BH}, \mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (83\%); (b) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiOTf}$, 2,6-lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (97\%); (c) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (95\%); (d) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CS}_{2}$, MeI (93\%); (e) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, PhMe, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (91-97\%); (f) Raney-Ni, EtOH, reflux (82\%); (g) $\mathrm{HOAc}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (h) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (72\% from 11) ; (i) $\mathrm{HOAc}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (94\%); (j) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \operatorname{EtOAc}(78 \%, 2$ cycles $)$; (k) $\operatorname{DIBALH}(\mathbf{1 4 s}, 92 \%)^{10 \mathrm{c}}$ or $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3} \mathrm{BH}(\mathbf{1 4 a}, 92 \%)$.

Scheme 5.2
enantioselective direct aldol reaction of 5 with ( $\pm$ )-6 that proceeds with dynamic kinetic resolution ${ }^{11}$ to give adduct $9\left(>98 \%\right.$ ee). ${ }^{12}$ In this paper, we describe an improved synthesis of 9 and its efficient conversion into serricornin (1) and 2.

Our reported procedure for the (S)-proline-catalyzed ( 0.5 equiv) aldol reaction of 5 (6 equiv) with 6 ( 1 M in DMSO with 8 equiv of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) gives $\mathbf{9}$ as a single isomer in 55$60 \%$ yield on 1 g scale (Scheme 5.2). ${ }^{12}$ On larger scale the reaction work up is complicated by the need to remove large amounts of 5 by sublimation or chromatography. All attempts to reduce the amount of $\mathbf{5}$ used in the reaction gave $\mathbf{9}$ in lower yield and/or enantioselectivity. Interestingly, reactions in the absence of solvent were much less enantioselective than those in DMSO. These reactions were exceedingly slow at room temperature; however, sonication of a mixture of $\mathbf{6}, 5$ (1.5 equiv), (S)proline ( 0.5 equiv), and water (1 equiv) for 60 h at $38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave 9 as the sole aldol diastereomer in $80 \%$ yield but in $<20 \%$ optical purity. ${ }^{13}$ We also investigated the more soluble catalyst 8 developed independently by the Ley, Yamamoto, and Arviddson groups. ${ }^{14}$ Under optimized conditions (5, 2 equiv; DMSO, 1.5 equiv; 8, 0.2 equiv; room temperature, 8 d ) 9 ( $>98 \%$ ee) was obtained in $75 \%$ yield from 6 ( 1 g scale). Reactions run at lower concentrations (e.g., 1 M in DMSO) gave yields of up to $85 \%$ but required a large excess of 5 (6-12 equiv).

Aldol adduct $\mathbf{9}$ contains the complete carbon skeleton of $\mathbf{1}$ and the synthesis requires only functional group manipulations: deoxygenation of the alcohol, stereoselective reduction of the ketone, desulfurization, and hydrolysis of the ethylene acetal (Scheme 5.2). Stereoselective reduction of 9 with DIBALH is known to give the undesired syn-1,3-diol 10s and attempted reduction with $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3} \mathrm{BH}^{15}$ (usually 1,3-anti
selective in these systems) ${ }^{10 \mathrm{c}}$ gave poor selectivity (1:1.5 10a:10s). The desired anti-1,3diol 10a was obtained in good yield by reaction of $\mathbf{9}$ with $\mathrm{Li}^{5} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ (Scheme 5.2). ${ }^{16}$ The secondary hydroxy groups in 10a were readily differentiated by treatment with HCl in methanol to give the cyclic acetal 13a. Deoxygenation of 13a was achieved by treatment of its xanthate derivative $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ to give $\mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{c}\left(80 \%\right.$ yield over 2 steps). ${ }^{17}$ Unfortunately, attempted Raney nickel desulfurization of 13c (or 13d) was capricious and we were unable to isolate the desired product in any significant amount. ${ }^{18}$

Alternatively, reaction of diol 10a with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiOTf}$ gave the mono silyl ether 11a in excellent yield (Scheme 2). Barton-McCombie deoxygenation ${ }^{17}$ of 11a gave 11c that was smoothly desulfurized by treatment with Raney nickel (W-2) in refluxing ethanol to give the desired serricornin derivative 12 ( $73 \%$ over 3 steps). Exposure of $\mathbf{1 2}$ to mild acid gave serricornin (1) that was isolated and characterized as the acetate derivative $2(71 \%$ over 2 steps). The spectral properties and specific rotation of 2 were fully consistent with those reported previously. ${ }^{1,6}$

In summary, serricornin (1) was prepared in 7 steps from the readily available aldehyde $( \pm)-\mathbf{6}^{10 \mathrm{c}}$ ( $31 \%$ overall yield). The key step involves the catalytic enantioselective direct aldol reaction of $\mathbf{6}$ with 5 that occurs with dynamic kinetic resolution to give adduct $\mathbf{9}$ in excellent yield and enantiopurity. It is noteworthy that diols 10s, 15a, and 15s are also readily prepared from $\mathbf{9} ;{ }^{10 c, 19}$ thus, the same strategy might be extended to afford each of the possible stereoisomers of $\mathbf{1}$.

## Experimental Section ${ }^{\ddagger \ddagger, 20}$

[^8]Acknowledgement. Financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada) and the University of Saskatchewan is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for 13a-13d, 14, and 15a; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for all reported compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### 5.3 Supplementary Information (Experimental section)

General Methods. All solvents were distilled prior to use. Anhydrous solvents were distilled under argon atmosphere as follows: Tetrahydrofuran (THF) from benzophenone potassium ketyl; ether from benzophenone sodium ketyl; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and toluene from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$; MeOH from $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$. All experiments involving air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were conducted in an oven dried round-bottom flask capped with a rubber septum, and attached via a needle and connecting tubing to an argon manifold equipped with mercury bubbler (ca. 5 mm positive pressure of argon). Low temperature baths were ice/water $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ or $\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~s})} /$ acetone $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; reaction temperatures refer to that of the bath. Concentration refers to removal of volatiles at water aspirator pressure on a rotary evaporator with the final traces of solvent removed at high vacuum (ca. 0.4 Torr). Preparative TLC (PTLC) was carried out on glass plates $(20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm})$ pre-coated $(0.25$ mm ) with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$. Materials were detected by visualization under an ultraviolet lamp (254 nm) and/or by treating a 1 cm vertical strip removed from the plate with a solution of phosphomolybdic acid (5\%) containing a trace of ceric sulfate in aqueous sulfuric acid $(5 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, followed by charring on a hot plate. Flash column chromatography (FCC) was performed according to Still et al. ${ }^{22}$ with silica gel 60 (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m})$. All mixed solvent eluents are reported as $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solutions. Unless otherwise noted, all reported compounds were homogeneous by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Spectral Data. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) and low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were obtained on a double focusing high resolution spectrometer; only partial data are reported. EI ionization was accomplished at 70 eV and CI at 50 eV with
ammonia as the reagent gas; only partial data are reported. IR spectra were recorded on a Fourier transform interferometer using a diffuse reflectance cell (DRIFT); only diagnostic and/or intense peaks are reported. Unless otherwise noted, NMR spectra were measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution at 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 125 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. Signals due to the solvent ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) or residual protonated solvent $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\right)$ served as the internal standard: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(7.26 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}, 77.23 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right) ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(7.16 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}, 128.39 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants were determined assuming first-order behavior. Multiplicity is indicated by one or more of the following: $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), $q$ (quartet), m (multiplet), br (broad), ap (apparent); the list of couplings constants ( $J$ ) corresponds to the order of the multiplicity assignment. Couplings constants $(J)$ are reported to the nearest 0.5 Hz . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were made based on chemical shift and multiplicity and were confirmed, where necessary, by homonuclear decoupling and/or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation experiments (HSQC and/or $\mathrm{HMBC}^{23}$ and/or NOE experiments. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR assignments were made based on chemical shift and multiplicity ${ }^{24}$ (as determined by $J$-modulation ${ }^{25}$ or $\mathrm{HSQC}^{26}$ and were confirmed, where necessary, by two dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation experiments (HSQC and/or HMBC).

Materials: The preparations of the following compounds were described previously: 5, $( \pm)-6,10$ s, and $\mathbf{1 5 s} ;{ }^{27} \mathbf{8} ;{ }^{28} \mathrm{~W}-2$ Raney nickel; ${ }^{29}$ A 1 M solution of $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ in acetic acid was prepared by adding glacial acetic acid $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise with stirring to a roundbottom flask charged with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(75 \mathrm{mg})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon (CAUTION: $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ evolution). The resulting clear, colorless solution was stirred for 10 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at room temperature for 2 h . All other reagents were commercially available and unless otherwise noted, were used as received. thiopyran-4-one (9).
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A solution of ketone $5(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, aldehyde $\mathbf{6}(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 5.37 \mathrm{mmol})$, catalyst $\mathbf{8}$ $(145 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, water $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMSO $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature. After 8 days, the brownish semi-solid reaction mixture was taken up in ethyl acetate and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC (5-10\% ethyl acetate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give 9 as a white solid $(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$ : $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-48, c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (lit. ${ }^{12}$ for 9 of $>98 \%$ ee: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-$ 47, c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Spectroscopic data for 9 were identical to that previously reported. ${ }^{12}$ The catalyst could be recovered in $>80 \%$ yield by concentrating the water layers and precipitating the residue from hot MeOH on addition of benzene.

## $(\alpha R, 6 S)-\alpha-[(3 R, 4 S)$-Tetrahydro-4-hydroxy-2H-thiopyran-3-yl]-1,4-dioxa-8-

 thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6-methanol (10a).
$\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{BH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF; $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of ketone $9(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 3.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 3 h , phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{pH}=7.5 ; 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were sequentially added. The mixture was allowed to stir for 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then was diluted with cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{x} 4)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated and fractionated by FCC ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the titled diol as a colorless oil ( $852 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ +41 (c $3.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3466 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=1.5,2,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=$ $2.5,3,4,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13-3.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,11,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10,14 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,12.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3,3.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J$ $=2,4.5,4.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=1.5,3,4,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3,14 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.20-2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=2,3.5,11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2.5,3,8.5,10$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=3,3,11,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4.5,12.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 110.4$ (s), 70.2 (d), $67.0(\mathrm{~d}), 64.9(\mathrm{t}), 64.3$ ( t$), 47.0(\mathrm{~d})$, $43.5(\mathrm{~d}), 36.1(\mathrm{t}), 34.1(\mathrm{t}), 26.8(\mathrm{t}), 26.7(\mathrm{t}), 26.2(\mathrm{t}), 23.9(\mathrm{t}) ;$

HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 306.0960, found 306.0963.

## ( $\alpha R, 6 S$ )- $\alpha-[(3 S, 4 S)-4$-Triethylsilyloxytetrahydro-2H-thiopyran-3-yl]-1,4-dioxa-8-

 thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6-methanol (11a).
$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \operatorname{SiOTf}(0.477 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of diol $\mathbf{1 0 a}(615 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,6-lutidine $(2.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.21 \mathrm{~g}, 20.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 10 min (reaction complete by TLC), the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the titled product as a colorless liquid (820 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%):[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+34$ (c 1.1, benzene);

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3526 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=7,7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5,7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5,7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15-3.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,13,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,3,14 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2,3.5,4,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06-1.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=3,3,5,14$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,3,10,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74-1.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.5,13$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.03(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 111.1$ ( s$), 68.6$ (d), 65.4 (d), 64.6 (t), 64.1 (t), 46.3 (d), $46.2(\mathrm{~d}), 37.0(\mathrm{t}), 36.3(\mathrm{t}), 27.0(\mathrm{t}), 26.1(\mathrm{t}), 24.0(\mathrm{t}), 22.3(\mathrm{t}), 7.6(\mathrm{q} \times 3), 5.8(\mathrm{t} x 3) ;$ HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si} 420.1824$, found 420.1835.

## O-(R)-[(6S)-1,4-Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]decan-6-yl][(3S,4S)-4-

## (triethylsilyloxy)tetrahydro-2H-thiopyran-3-yl]methyl S-Methyl Carbonodithioate

 (11b).
$\mathrm{NaH}(50 \%$ dispersion in oil; $650 \mathrm{mg}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of alcohol 11a ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole $(\sim 10 \mathrm{mg})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and, after 30 min , was cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added via syringe. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and, after 1 h , was cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{MeI}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added via syringe. After 30 min , the reaction was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 15 h (reaction complete by TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched by careful addition of water [caution: $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ evolution]. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC (5-10\% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the titled xanthate as a yellow oil ( $850 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+90$ (c 1.6, benzene);
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 6.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2,6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.12-4.05(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), ~ 4.01-3.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.87-3.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3,13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,13,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,3,12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.46(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=3,3.5,4,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24-2.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.14-2.08$
$(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=1.5,3.5,13,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,13,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.00$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.72-0.63(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 215$ ( s$), 108.8$ ( s$), 81.9$ (d), 67.1 (d), 64.7 (t), 64.5 ( t$)$, $48.2(\mathrm{~d}), 47.5(\mathrm{~d}), 36.6(\mathrm{t}), 36.2(\mathrm{t}), 28.4(\mathrm{t}), 27.0(\mathrm{t}), 24.0(\mathrm{t}), 22.1(\mathrm{t}), 19.2(\mathrm{q}), 7.4(\mathrm{q} \times 3)$, 5.6 ( t x 3 );

HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 510.1422$, found 510.1420.

## \{(3S,4S)-3-[(6S)-1,4-Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]decan-6-ylmethyl]tetrahydro-2H-

 thiopyran-4-yloxy\}triethylsilane (11c).

Tributylstannane ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of xanthate 11b ( $850 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene ( 5 mL ) under argon. The mixture was heated under reflux and then AIBN (ca. 15 mg ) was added. After 30 min , the reaction was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and then was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and the resulting colorless oil was passed through a short pad of silica gel (eluting first with hexane and then with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to afford the titled compound (653 mg, 97\%): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ -14 (c 1.9, benzene);
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=2.5,2.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50-3.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,11,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89-2.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60-2.54(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=1.5,3,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{dddd}, J=1.5,3.5,5.5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=3,10.5$,
$13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.88-1.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71-1.53(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.98(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.55(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8$
Hz);
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 109.5(\mathrm{~s}), 71.5(\mathrm{~d}), 64.7(\mathrm{t}), 64.6(\mathrm{t}), 42.5(\mathrm{~d}), 40.9$ (d), $35.6(\mathrm{t}), 35.3(\mathrm{t}), 31.6(\mathrm{t}), 30.4(\mathrm{t}), 28.1(\mathrm{t}), 27.0(\mathrm{t}), 23.5(\mathrm{t}), 7.3(\mathrm{qx}), 4.9(\mathrm{tx} 3) ;$

HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si} 404.1875$, found 404.1869.

## Triethyl[(3S,4S,6S)-6-(2-ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-4-methylheptan-3-yloxy]silane (12).



A suspension of freshly prepared Raney-Ni (W-2) $)^{21}$ ( 4 mL settled volume) in ethanol (2 mL ) was added in one portion to a well stirred solution of $11 \mathrm{c}(282 \mathrm{mg}, 0.698 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux and progress was monitored by TLC. Additional Raney-Ni ( 2 mL settled volume) was added each hour until the reaction was complete $(2-3 \mathrm{~h})$. The supernatant was filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the residue was suspended in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated under reflux for several minutes. The supernatant was filtered and the residue treated as above (this process repeated 3 times). The combined filtrates were concentrated and fractionated by FCC ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the titled compound as a clear oil ( 198 mg , $82 \%):[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-27$ (c 1.6, benzene);
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.61-3.56(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 1.77-1.67 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,12,12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57-1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.92$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.67(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 114.7$ ( s$), 79.3$ (d), 65.7 ( t$), 65.6$ ( t ), 37.5 (d), 35.8 (d), $34.9(\mathrm{t}), 27.3(\mathrm{t}), 27.2(\mathrm{t}), 14.5(\mathrm{q}), 14.2(\mathrm{q}), 10.9(\mathrm{q}), 8.2(\mathrm{q}), 7.7(\mathrm{q} \times 3), 6.1(\mathrm{t} 3)$; HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si} 344.2747$, found 344.2740.
(3S,4S,6S)-4,6-Dimethyl-7-oxononan-3-yl acetate (2).
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Acetic acid ( $21 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of $12(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 10 min , DMAP ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( 0.1 mL , excess) were added, After 15 min , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC (pentane and then $5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to give serricornin acetate (2) as a colorless oil ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-20$ (c 0.3 , hexane) (lit. ${ }^{1,6}-16.1$ to -18.7 );

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 2964,2940,2886,1732,1708,1462,1367,1235,1104,1014 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4.5,4.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.56-$ $2.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.60-1.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 215.3,171.2,78.3,43.7,36.0,34.5,33.8,24.3,21.3$, $16.8,14.6,10.4,8.0$;

LRMS (CI, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), m/z (relative intensity): 246 ([M+18] ${ }^{+}, 27$ ), 229 ([M+1] ${ }^{+}, 7$ ), 189 (41), 169 (100); HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} 228.1725$ (246.2069 for $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}$ ), found $246.2063\left(\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$.

## (4aR,5aS,9aS,10R,10aS)-Octahydro-4a-methoxy-1H,3H,5aH-dithiopyrano[4,3-

 b:3',4'-e]pyran-10-ol (13a).

13a
Concentrated aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(12 \mathrm{M}, 3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of diol 10a $(378 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(17.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. After 1.5 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (Caution: effervescence). The mixture was diluted with water and the phases separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (x2) and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the titled product as a white solid (324 mg, 95\% yield): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-87$, (c 1.5, benzene);

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3449,2922,2874,1420,1337,1139,1056,882 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,3,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5 \mathrm{a}), 3.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-10), 2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,13,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,13$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-9), 2.69\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2$, $3,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1), 2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,13,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,3$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-9), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2,3,3.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2,3.5$, $3.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,10.5$, $11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-10 \mathrm{a}), 1.86-1.79$ (2H, m, HC-6, HC-9a), 1.60 ( 1 H, dddd, $J=3,3.5,13.5,13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 1.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.5,13.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 0.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HOC}-10)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 98.3$ (s, C-4a), 69.7 (d, C-10), 64.9 (d, C-5a), 47.8 (d, C10a), 45.9 (d, CH3O), 43.8 (d, C-9a), 33.4 (t, C-4), 32.6 (t, C-6), 27.1 (t, C-1), 24.9 (t, C3), 23.1 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 21.9 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-9$ );

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 276 ([M] $]^{+}, 100$ ), 244 (11), 227 (91), 226 (93), 159 (32), 129 (26), 101 (33), 99 (45); HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 276.0854, found 276.0856.

## O-(4aR,5aS,9aR,10R,10aS)-Octahydro-4a-methoxy-1H,3H,5aH-dithiopyrano[4,3-b:3',4'-e]pyran-10-yl S-methyl carbonodithioate (13b).



13b
$\mathrm{NaH}(70 \%$ dispersion in oil; $373 \mathrm{mg}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ to a stirred solution of alcohol 13a (310 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole $(\sim 10 \mathrm{mg})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and, after 30 min , was cooled at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(0.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added via a syringe. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and, after 1 h , was cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and MeI $(0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0$ mmol ) was added via syringe. After 30 min , the reaction was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 4 h (reaction complete by TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched by careful addition of water [caution: $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ evolution]. The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and
fractionated by FCC (5-10\% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the corresponding xanthate as a pale yellow solid ( $383 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield $):[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-87$, (c 1.0, benzene);

IR $\lambda_{\max }: 2928,2826,1420,1331,1205,1044,942,882 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-10), 3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $3,3,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5 \mathrm{a}), 2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-9), 2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-$ 1), $2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,13.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2.5,3,5.5,12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HC-9a), $2.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=2,13.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=2,3,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,11.5,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-10 \mathrm{a}), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5$, $13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-9), 2.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CS}\right), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2,3.5,3.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 1.93-$ $1.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-4, \mathrm{HC}-7), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=3,3,4,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6), 1.54-1.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, HC-4, HC-6);
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 216.4$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ ), 99.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{a}$ ), 82.9 (d, C-10), 64.9 (d, C5a), 46.53 (d, C-10a), 46.50 (q, CH3O), 40.9 (d, C-9a), 33.9 (t, C-4), 32.7 (t, C-6), 27.4 (t, $\mathrm{C}-1), 25.3$ (t, C-3), 23.2 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-9), 23.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-7), 19.2\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$;

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 366 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 15), 333 (87), 259 (86), 227 (26), 169 (100), 139 (80), 105 (22), 79 (14); HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ 366.0452, found 366.0452. e]pyran (13c).


13c
Tributylstannane ( $0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of xanthate $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under argon. The mixture was heated under reflux and then AIBN (ca. 10 mg ) was added. After 30 min , the reaction was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and then was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and the resulting colorless oil was passed through a short pad of silica gel (eluting first with hexane and then with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to afford the titled product (195 mg, 91\%): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-$ 40, (c 0.7, benzene);

IR $\lambda_{\max }: 2922,2826,1420,1325,1211,1104,1044,942,882 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.63(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3,3,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5 \mathrm{a}), 3.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $12.5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,13.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2,4,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97$ $(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=2,4,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.89-1.73(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.66-$ $1.51(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz})$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 98.6$ (s, C-4a), 66.1 (d, C-5a), 46.4 (q, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 41.4 (d), $37.0(\mathrm{~d}), 34.2(\mathrm{t}), 33.6(\mathrm{t}), 32.6(\mathrm{t}), 31.2(\mathrm{t}), 27.4(\mathrm{t}), 25.7(\mathrm{t}), 23.0(\mathrm{t}) ;$

LRMS (EI), $m / z$ (relative intensity): 260 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 91), 229 (47), 228 (85), 195 (25), 141 (25), 139 (21), 113 (100), 99 (28), 79 (27); HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 260.0905, found 260.0898 .
(4aR,5aS,9aS,10aS)-Octahydro-1H,3H,5aH-dithiopyrano[4,3-b:3',4'-e]pyran-4a-ol (13d).


13d
A solution of acetal 13c ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1.25 mL ), water ( 1.25 mL ) and acetic acid ( 4 mL ) was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1.5 h (reaction complete by TLC), the mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC $(20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the titled hemiacetal as a white solid ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-12$, (c 0.89 , benzene);

IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3364,2946,2910,1420,1247,1133,1050,924 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=3,3,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5 \mathrm{a}), 2.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=11.5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=1.5,4,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{dddd}, J=2,4,4,13$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.87(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=3,3,3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.84-1.61(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5,13,13$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,3,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 96.1$ (s), 65.9 (d), 40.9 (t), 40.5 (d), 37.2 (d), 33.9 (t), 32.6 $(\mathrm{t}), 31.4(\mathrm{t}), 27.3(\mathrm{t}), 26.2(\mathrm{t}), 23.0(\mathrm{t})$;

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 246 ([M] $]^{+}, 100$ ), 228 (39), 195 (10), 167 (12), 141 (29), 113 (33), 112 (26), 99 (35); HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 246.0748, found 246.0751 .
(3R)-3-[(R)-(6S)-1,4-Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl(hydroxy)methyl]tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one (14).


14
The isomerization of $( \pm)-\mathbf{9}$ to $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 4}$ in the presence of imidazole was reported previously. ${ }^{30}$ On preparative scale, the following procedure was more convenient. A slurry of silica gel $60(230-400$ mesh, 3.20 g$)$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of aldol $9(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethyl acetate $(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The resulting slurry was stirred for 8 h to obtain a 2.5:1 equilibrium mixture (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ) of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{9}$, respectively. The mixture was filtered the combined filtrate and ethyl acetate washings were concentrated and fractionated by FCC (5-10\% ethyl acetate $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give 14 as a colorless oil ( $620 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) and a $4: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{9}$ and 14, respectively ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). The mixture ( 440 mg ) was resubjected to the same conditions ( 1.5 g silica gel, $0.80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 6 \mathrm{~mL}$ ethyl acetate) to give additional $\mathbf{1 3}$ (218 $\mathrm{mg}, 50 \%)$ and a $4: 1$ mixture of 9 and $14(203 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%)$. Thus, the combined yield of 14 after 2 cycles of isomerization was $78 \%:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+64$, c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{31}$ for ent- $\mathbf{1 3}$ of $90 \%$ ee: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-48$, c 1.3, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Spectroscopic data for $(+)$ - $\mathbf{1 4}$ closely matched that previously reported for $( \pm)$-14.

## $(\alpha S, 6 R)-\alpha-[(3 R, 4 R)$-Tetrahydro-4-hydroxy-2H-thiopyran-3-yl]-1,4-dioxa-8-

 thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6-methanol (ent-15a).
ent-15a
$\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in glacial acetic acid, $0.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$; freshly prepared) was added dropwise via syringe over four min to a stirred solution of ent-14 ${ }^{32}(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 2.9 mL , distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ ) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The resulting clear solution was stirred for 2 h at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then warmed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h , saturated aqueous sodium potassium tartrate ( 5 mL ) was added and the resulting cloudy solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min . The mixture was added to saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC ( $60-80 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the titled diol as a white solid (51 mg, 92\%): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-29\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 3420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-\alpha), 4.12-3.93(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2\right), 3.67\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.5,9,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4{ }^{\prime}\right), 3.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-7)$, 2.93-1.47 (7H, m, H2C-2', H2C-6', HC-7, H2C-9), 2.27-2.10 (3H, m, HC-5', HC-6, HC10), $1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=3,5.5,8.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3 '), 1.81-1.72$ (2H, m, HC-5', HC-10); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 110.1(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-5), 70.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-4$ '), $69.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-\alpha), 64.9$ (t, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 64.6\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 48.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-3$ '), $47.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-6), 36.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5$ '), $35.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-10), 27.7(\mathrm{t}$, C-2'), 27.6 (t, C-7), 26.8 (t, C-6'), 26.6 (t, C-9);

LRMS (EI), m/z (relative intensity): 306 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 33), 244 (11), 189 (11), 159 (24), 132 (79), 99 (100); HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 306.0960$, found 306.0964.
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### 6.1 Preface

Proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyranone with racemic 1,4-dioxa-8-thia-spiro[4.5]decane-6-carboxaldehyde proceeds with dynamic kinetic resolution to provide easy access to useful enantiomerically pure tetrapropionate synthon. Application of this tetrapropionate synthon towards the synthesis of membrenone B and a formal synthesis of membrenone A is described in this chapter.
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#### Abstract

S, $7 S, 8 S, 9 R, 10 S)$-(-)-Membrenone B was synthesized in nine steps (9.4\% overall yield) beginning with two-directional aldol coupling of tetrahydro-4H-thiopyran-4-one with racemic 1,4-dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6-carboxaldehyde. The first aldol reaction occurs with dynamic kinetic resolution to give a single adduct ( $>98 \%$ ee). The second aldol reaction is highly diastereoselective ( 3 of 8 possible adducts) and both major products are converted to membrenone $B$. The route also constitutes a formal synthesis of membrenone A.


An important aspect of marine chemical ecology ${ }^{1}$ concerns predator-prey interactions. Opisthobranchs (commonly known as sea slugs) are soft-bodied marine molluscs and are often devoid of a protective shell. Their defense mechanism relies on the secretion of chemicals rendering them poisonous or at least extremely distasteful to potential predators. ${ }^{2}$ In 1993, Ciavatta et al. ${ }^{3}$ reported partial structures for membrenones A-C (1-3; Figure 6.1) isolated from the skin of the notaspidean Pleurobranchus membranaceus, a Mediterranean mollusc species. The scarcity of available material limited preliminary bisoassays with membrenone A (1), which was shown to be a feeding deterrent to Carassius auratus.

In pioneering work conducted by Sampson and Perkins, the relative configurations of 1-3 were firmly established by stereoselective synthesis. ${ }^{4}$ Considering all available data, a persuasive argument was presented ${ }^{4 c}$ that the natural products 1-3 are the $(+)$-enantiomers with the absolute configurations indicated in Figure 6.1. ${ }^{5}$ This assignment requires the conclusion that the originally reported ${ }^{3}$ specific rotations for membrenones $\mathrm{B}(2)$ and C (3) are incorrect (wrong sign) and unfortunately cannot be substantiated because samples of natural material are no longer available. In this paper we report a very concise synthesis of (-)-membrenone B (ent-2) from racemic fragments. ${ }^{6}$

(+)-membrenone A: R=Me (1) (+)-membrenone B: R=H (2)

(+)-membrenone A (3)

Figure 6.1. Structures and proposed absolute configurations for membrenones A-C.

The thiopyran route to polypropionates is an attractive strategy for the rapid assembly of stereochemically diverse hexapropionate synthons from simple precursors (Figure 6.2). ${ }^{7,8,9}$ For example, we recently demonstrated that 11 of the 20 possible diastereomers of 7 could be selectively prepared in 2 or 3 steps from 4 and $5 .{ }^{8 b}$ We chose the hexapropionate (-)-membrenone B (ent-2) as a synthetic target to test and illustrate this approach.

Both ent-1 and ent-2 are available by appropriate acylation of (-)-8 (Scheme 6.1). ${ }^{4 \mathrm{c}}$ From a retrosynthetic perspective, hydrolytic ring opening of the $\alpha$-dihydropyrone in 8 leads to 9 as a potential precursor. The dihydroxytrione 9 should be available by simple functional group manipulation of any of the four possible diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 1}^{10}$ that in turn, result from sequential two-directional aldol reactions of 5 with 4 . The synthesis of $\mathbf{1 1}$ by coupling the chiral fragments $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ requires management of the issues of double stereodifferentiation and mutual kinetic enantioselection (MKE). ${ }^{11}$ Previous work suggested that aldol reaction of $(S)-4^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ with any of the 4 diastereomers of the MOM-derivatives $\mathbf{1 0}$ via their $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolates would produce $\mathbf{1 1}$ with the desired absolute configuration (i.e. path $a$ ). ${ }^{8 b}$ Although routes to each of the diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 0}$ are available, ${ }^{7}$ use of $\left(1^{\prime} S, 6^{\prime} R\right)$ - $\mathbf{1 0}$ would be particularly attractive because this isomer is easily prepared via the D-proline catalyzed aldol reaction of 5 with ( $\pm$ )-4 which proceeds with dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR). ${ }^{7 c}$ A more appealing and efficient approach would involve reaction of the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolate of $(+)$ - $\mathbf{6 s s}$ with $( \pm)-\mathbf{4}$, a process expected ${ }^{8 b}$ to occur with kinetic resolution (i.e. path b). Because (+)-6ss is also available via an organocatalyzed reaction of 5 with $( \pm)-4,{ }^{9 b}$ this route would allow the complete assembly of $\mathbf{1 1}$ from achiral and racemic fragments.


Figure 6.2. The thiopyran route to polypropionates


Scheme 6.1. Retrosynthetic analysis for ent-1 and ent-2

Enantiomerically enriched (+)-6ss ( $>98 \%$ ee) was readily obtained on gram scale in two steps from ( $\pm$ )-4 and 5 in $59 \%$ yield (Scheme 6.2 ). ${ }^{9 b, 12}$ Under carefully optimized conditions, aldol reaction of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{4}$ with ( $\pm$ )-6ss via the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolate gave a 10:3:1 mixture of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 2 a},( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 2 b}$, and $\mathbf{1 2 c}$, respectively, in $80 \%$ yield. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ This result indicates the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolate of $(+)$-6ss reacts 3-4 times faster with $(R)-4$ than with (S)-4 and implies
that kinetic resolution will be modest. In the event, reaction of (+)-6ss with ( $\pm$ )-4 (2 equiv) under the same conditions gave a 11:6:1 mixture of $(+) \mathbf{- 1 2 a},(+) \mathbf{- 1 2 b}$, and $\mathbf{1 2 c}$, respectively, in $80 \%$ yield. The slight erosion in the stereoselectivity of the reaction using $(+)$-6ss compared to that with $( \pm)$-6ss suggests that racemization of $\mathbf{4}$ is slower than the aldol coupling under these conditions ${ }^{12}$ (i.e. no DKR). ${ }^{11}$ Although the stereoselectivity of this reaction is modest ( 3 of 8 possible adducts produced), the two major adducts ( $94 \%$ of the products) have relative and absolute configurations appropriate for the synthesis of membrenones. ${ }^{13}$


Scheme 6.2. Synthesis of (-)-membrenone B (ent-2)

The diastereomers $(+) \mathbf{- 1 2 a}$ and $(+)-\mathbf{1 2 b}$ were difficult to separate and highly enriched samples were available only by repeated fractionation on silica gel. ${ }^{14}$ Because the individual diastereomers were separately transformed into (-)-8 with similar efficiencies, the mixture was used without separation (Scheme 6.2). Thus, the 11:6:1 mixture of aldol adducts $(+)-\mathbf{1 2 a},(+)-\mathbf{1 2 b}$, and 12c, respectively, was subjected to DIBALH reduction to give a 2.1:1 mixture of $(+)-\mathbf{1 3 a}$ and $(+)$ - $\mathbf{1 3 b}$, respectively, in good yield. ${ }^{14}$ Each diol 13 gave the corresponding acetonide 14 with high diastereotopic group selectivity. ${ }^{14,15}$ The resulting crude $2.8: 1$ mixture of $(-)-\mathbf{1 4 a}$ and $(+)-\mathbf{1 4 b}$ was desulfurized with Raney nickel to give a 2.8:1 mixture of $(+) \mathbf{- 1 5 a}$ and $(-) \mathbf{- 1 5 b}$ that in turn was oxidized to give a 2.8:1 mixture of $(+) \mathbf{- 1 6 a}$ and $(-) \mathbf{- 1 6 b}$, respectively, in $72 \%$ yield over the three steps. Brief exposure of the mixture of $\mathbf{1 6}$ to $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave the known (-)-8 $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-130\right.$, c $0.55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ;$ lit. $^{4 \mathrm{c}}-114$, с $\left.0.48, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ in moderate yield. Various alternative methods (e.g. amberlyst ${ }^{\circledR}, \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{FeCl}_{3} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) lead to extensive decomposition. ${ }^{16}$ Acylation of (-)-8 with propanoyl chloride gave (-)-membrenone B (ent-2) with spectroscopic and chiroptical ${ }^{17}$ properties essentially identical to those previously reported. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{c}}$

In summary, the total synthesis of $(6 S, 7 S, 8 S, 9 R, 10 S)-(-)$-membrenone B has been achieved in 9 steps ( $9.4 \%$ overall yield) via two-directional aldol coupling of achiral ketone 5 with racemic aldehyde 4. Remarkably, although the route involves coupling of chiral fragments, either $(+)-2,(-)-e n t-2$, or $( \pm)-2$ is selectively available from identical components simply by altering the catalyst used in the first aldol reaction. It is also noteworthy that the entire 17 -carbon skeleton of $\mathbf{8}$ is derived from methyl acrylate as both 4 and 5 are directly and efficiently prepared from this simple precursor (e.g. $6.5 \%$ overall
yield of (-)-8 from methyl acrylate in 13 steps). ${ }^{17}$ Because the conversion of (-)-8 into ent-1 by esterification with (S)-2-methylbutanoic acid is also known, ${ }^{4 c}$ our route constitutes a formal synthesis (-)-membrenone A. Further applications of the thiopyran route to polypropionates are in progress and will be reported in due course. Experimental section. ${ }^{* * *, 18}$
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### 6.3 Supplementary Information (Experimental section)

General Methods. Anhydrous solvents were distilled under argon atmosphere as follows: Tetrahydrofuran (THF) from benzophenone sodium ketyl; ether from benzophenone sodium ketyl; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2} ; \mathrm{MeOH}$ from $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$. All experiments involving air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were conducted in an oven dried round-bottom flask capped with a rubber septum, and attached via a needle and connecting tubing to an argon manifold equipped with mercury bubbler (ca. 5 mm positive pressure of argon). Low temperature baths were: ice/water $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~s})} /$ acetone $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Unless otherwise noted, reaction temperatures refer to that of the bath.

Concentration refers to removal of volatiles at water aspirator pressure on a rotary evaporator. Preparative TLC (PTLC) was carried out on glass plates $(20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm})$ precoated $(0.25 \mathrm{~mm})$ with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$. Materials were detected by visualization under an ultraviolet lamp ( 254 nm ) and/or by treating a 1 cm vertical strip removed from the plate with a solution of phosphomolybdic acid (5\%) containing a trace of ceric sulfate in aqueous sulfuric $\operatorname{acid}(5 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, followed by charring on a hot plate. Flash column chromatography (FCC) was performed according to Still et al. ${ }^{19}$ with Merck Silica Gel 60 $(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. All mixed solvent eluents are reported as $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solutions. Unless otherwise noted, all reported compounds were homogeneous by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Spectral Data. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) and low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were obtained on a VG 70E double focusing high resolution spectrometer; only partial data are reported. EI ionization was accomplished at 70 eV and CI at 50 eV with
ammonia as the reagent gas; only partial data are reported. IR spectra were recorded on a Fourier transform interferometer using a diffuse reflectance cell (DRIFT); only diagnostic and/or intense peaks are reported. Unless otherwise noted, NMR spectra were measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution at 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 125 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. Signals due to the solvent ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) or residual protonated solvent $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\right)$ served as the internal standard: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(7.26 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}, 77.23 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right) ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(7.16 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}, 128.39 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants were determined assuming first-order behavior. Multiplicity is indicated by one or more of the following: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), br (broad), ap (apparent); the list of coupling constants $(J)$ corresponds to the order of the multiplicity assignment. Coupling constants $(J)$ are reported to the nearest 0.5 Hz (digital resolution ca. 0.2 Hz ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were made based on chemical shift and multiplicity and were confirmed, where necessary, by homonuclear decoupling and/or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation experiments (HSQC and/or $\mathrm{HMBC}^{20}$ ) and/or NOE experiments. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR assignments were made on the basis of chemical shift and multiplicity ${ }^{21}$ (as determined by $J$-modulation ${ }^{22}$ or $\mathrm{HSQC}^{23}$ ) and were confirmed, where necessary, by two dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation experiments (HSQC and/or HMBC). Specific rotations $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ are the average of 5 determinations at ambient temperature using a $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{dm}$ cell; the units are $10^{-1} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, the concentrations (c) are reported in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, and the values are rounded to reflect the accuracy of the measured concentrations (the major source of error). Circular dichroism (CD) curves were obtained from 240-400 nm at ambient temperature using a 1 mm cell. Molar ellipticities $([\theta])$ are reported at the wavelengths (in nm ) of maximum $|\Delta \mathrm{A}|$ ) and are the average of 5 determinations; the units are $10 \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, the concentrations are reported in $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$
$(\mathrm{mM})$, and the values are rounded to reflect the accuracy of the measured concentrations (the major source of error).

Materials: The preparations of $( \pm)-4,{ }^{24} 5,{ }^{25}$ and $(+)-6 s s^{26}$ were previously described. $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ was distilled under argon from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ was distilled under argon. $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (ca. 0.55 M in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right),{ }^{27} \mathrm{~W}-2$ Raney nickel, ${ }^{28}$ and the Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP) ${ }^{29}$ were prepared by established procedures. All other reagents were commercially available and unless otherwise noted, were used as received. Spectroscopic data for the racemic versions of $\mathbf{1 2 a} / \mathbf{b},{ }^{30} \mathbf{1 3 a} / \mathbf{b},{ }^{30 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 a} / \mathbf{b}^{30 a}$ were previously reported; in each case, the NMR data obtained for the highly enantiomerically enriched compounds reported herein were essentially identical to those for the racemic compounds.

## Aldol reaction of (+)-6ss with ( $\pm$ )-4.





The procedure was according to that reported for reaction of $( \pm)$ - 6 ss with $( \pm) \mathbf{- 4}$. $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\left(0.55 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; 1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of $(+)-6$ ss $(284 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After $2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(0.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over 1 min and the reaction mixture turned into a yellow slurry. After $2 \mathrm{~min},{ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{2} \operatorname{EtN}(0.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via (the yellow slurry solid dissolved and reaction mixture became black). After $1.5 \mathrm{~h},( \pm)-4(351 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added neat via syringe. After 6 h , the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, diluted with water, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated FCC to give a 11:6:1 mixture (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) of 12a:12b:12c, respectively, as a white solid ( $368 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ combined yield). This mixture was used in the next step without further purification. Highly enriched samples of (+)-12a (contaminated with 12b, ca. $90 \%$ purity; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+73$, с $\left.0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and $(+) \mathbf{- 1 2 b}\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+71\right.$, c $0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) could be obtained by repeated fractionation of the mixture by PTLC $(40 \%$
ethyl acetate in hexane, multiple elutions). Spectrocopic data for the purified samples were essentially identical to that reported for the racemic compounds. Fractionation of the products from a similar reaction (200 mg of (+)-6ss) provided (S)-(-)-4 (ca. $50 \%$ yield; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-27$, c 1.0, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$; ca. 20\% optical purity) and (+)-6ss (ca. 10\%).
(3S,4S,5S)-3-[(S)-(6R)-1,4-Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ylhydroxymethyl]-5-[(R)-(6S)-1,4-dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ylhydroxymethyl]tetrahydro-4-hydroxy-2Hthiopyran $((+)-13 a)$ and (3S,5S)-3,5-Bis[(S)-(6R)-1,4-dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ylhydroxymethyl]tetrahydro-4-hydroxy-2H-thiopyran ((+)-13b).



DIBAL-H (1.0 M in toluene; $3.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of a 11:6:1 mixture (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) of 12a:12b:12c, respectively, ( 270 mg , $0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar. After 3 h , excess DIBAL was quenched by dropwise addition of $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting mixture was allowed to room temperature over 15-30 min. A saturated aqueous solution of sodium potassium tartrate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added (Caution: exothermic) to the well-stirred mixture. After 1 day, the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC (50-75\% ethyl
acetate in hexanes) gave a 2.1:1 13a and 13b, respectively, as a white solid ( 226 mg , $83 \%$ ). This mixture was used in the next step without further purification. Pure samples of $(+)-\mathbf{1 3 a}$ and $(+)-\mathbf{1 3 b}$ were obtained by careful fractionation of the mixture or from similar reactions with single diastereromers of 12. Spectrocopic data for the purified samples were essentially identical to that reported for the racemic compounds. ${ }^{30 \mathrm{a}}$

Data for ( ${ }^{(+)-13 a: ~}$
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+24$, c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ;$
IR $v_{\max } 3487 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4), 4.17-3.93(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ' or $\left.\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 4^{\prime}\right), 3.88\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}\right), 3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=12,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=2.5,13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83-2.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,2,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54-2.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.20-2.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.02-1.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.5,11,14 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4,13.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 110.5$ (s), 110.1 (s), 71.3 (d), 68.0 (d), 65.2 (d), 65.1 (t), $64.9(\mathrm{t}), 64.6(\mathrm{t}), 64.5(\mathrm{t}), 46.9(\mathrm{~d}), 46.1(\mathrm{~d}), 42.9(\mathrm{~d}), 41.4(\mathrm{~d}), 36.7(\mathrm{t}), 35.8(\mathrm{t}), 26.85(\mathrm{t})$, $26.82(\mathrm{t}) 26.7(\mathrm{t}), 26.0(\mathrm{t}), 23.6(\mathrm{t}), 22.9(\mathrm{t})$.

Data for $(+) \mathbf{- 1 3 b}$ :
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+46$, c 2.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ;$
IR $v_{\max } 3503 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.74\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}\right), 4.26\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}-1{ }^{\prime}\right)$, $4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 4.17-3.94(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.07-2.96 (4H, m), 2.87-2.76(3H, m), 2.65-2.50(4H, m), $2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2,3.5,11$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.22-2.08(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.05-1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80-1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 110.6(\mathrm{~s}), 110.5(\mathrm{~s}), 71.0(\mathrm{~d}), 67.9(\mathrm{~d} \times 2), 65.1(\mathrm{t}), 64.9$ $(\mathrm{t}), 64.43(\mathrm{t}), 64.40(\mathrm{t}), 46.9(\mathrm{~d}), 46.1(\mathrm{~d}), 42.8(\mathrm{~d}), 41.2(\mathrm{~d}), 36.5(\mathrm{t}), 36.3(\mathrm{t}), 26.8(\mathrm{t})$, 26.7 (t), 26.5 ( t$), 25.8$ ( t$), 24.4$ ( t$), 24.3$ ( t$).$
$(\alpha S, 6 R)-\alpha-[(4 S, 4 a S, 8 R, 8 a R)-4-(6 S)-1,4-D i o x a-8-t h i a s p i r o[4.5] d e c-6-y l t e t r a h y d r o-2,2-$ dimethyl-4H,5H-thiopyrano[4,3-d]-1,3-dioxin-8-yl]-1,4-dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6-methanol ((-)-14a) and ( $\alpha R, 6 S$ )- $\alpha-[(4 S, 4 a S, 8 R, 8 a R)-4-(6 S)-$ 1,4-Dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yltetrahydro-2,2-dimethyl-4H,5H-thiopyrano[4,3-d]-1,3-dioxin-8-yl]-1,4-dioxa-8-thiaspiro[4.5]decane-6-methanol ((-)-14b).


(2,2-Dimethoxypropane ( 1 mL , excess) and p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (ca. 5 mg ) were added to a stirred solution of a 2.1:1 of mixture triols 13a and 13b, respectively, ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in dichloromethane $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature.

After 5 min reaction was complete by TLC analysis and was diluted with dichloromethane, washed sequentially with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give a crude 2.8:1 mixture (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ) of acetonides 14a and $\mathbf{1 4 b}$, respectively, as a white solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg} ;>95 \%$ pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ). This mixture was used in the next step without further purification. Pure samples of (-)-14a and (+)14b were obtained from similar reactions with single diastereromers of 13. Spectrocopic data for the purified samples were essentially identical to that reported for the racemic compounds. ${ }^{30 \mathrm{a}}$

Data for (-)-14a:
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-12, c 0.65, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$;
IR $v_{\max } 3525 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=1.5,1.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-\alpha), 4.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2$, $3 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H}-8 \mathrm{a}), 4.27$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4$ '), 4.18-4.10 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.06-3.88 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.24-3.15 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $3.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=2.5,13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}) 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,8,11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, J=2,4,4,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=3.5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,4.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{dddd}, J=2,3.5,4,11$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.09-1.97(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{dddd}, J=3,3,3,10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=3.5,12,14$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.5,8,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 110.6$ (s), 109.1 (s), 99.7 (s), 71.2 (d), 68.2 (d), 66.9 (d), 65.2 $(\mathrm{t}), 65.1(\mathrm{t}), 64.3(\mathrm{t}), 64.1(\mathrm{t}), 45.9(\mathrm{~d}), 45.0(\mathrm{~d}), 41.2(\mathrm{~d}), 36.4(\mathrm{t}), 36.0(\mathrm{~d}), 35.4(\mathrm{t}), 30.1$ $(\mathrm{q}), 29.3(\mathrm{t}), 26.8(\mathrm{t} \times 2), 25.6(\mathrm{t}), 24.6(\mathrm{t}), 22.4(\mathrm{t}), 20.1(\mathrm{q})$.

Data for $(+) \mathbf{- 1 4 b}$ :
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+19, c 0.56, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ;$
IR $v_{\text {max }} 3514 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 5.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-\alpha), 4.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4{ }^{\prime}\right)$, $4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, HC-8a), 3.67-3.58 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.40-3.50(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.33-3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.25-$ $3.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.11-3.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-5), 2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2$, $3,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.5,13,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.55-2.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.26-$ $2.09(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.77-1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3,4,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.60-1.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.42$ (3H, s), $1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 111.0$ (s), 109.6 (s), 100.1 (s), 72.7 (d), 69.8 (d), 66.3 (d), 65.2 $(\mathrm{t}), 65.1(\mathrm{t}), 64.4(\mathrm{t}), 64.0(\mathrm{t}), 48.3(\mathrm{~d}), 45.2(\mathrm{~d}), 42.5(\mathrm{~d}), 37.4(\mathrm{t}), 37.3(\mathrm{~d}), 36.1(\mathrm{t}), 30.4$ $(\mathrm{q}), 30.0(\mathrm{t}), 27.1(\mathrm{t} \times 2), 26.9(\mathrm{t}), 24.6(\mathrm{t}), 22.3(\mathrm{t}), 19.7(\mathrm{q})$.
(2R,3S,4R)-2-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-4-\{(4R,5S,6R)-6-[(S)-1-(2-ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl]-2,2,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl\}pentan-3-ol ((+)-15a) and (2S,3R,4R)-2-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-4-\{(4R,5S,6R)-6-[(S)-1-(2-ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl]-2,2,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl\}pentan-3-ol ((-)-15b).


A suspension of freshly prepared W-2 Raney nickel (3 mL settled volume) in ethanol (1 mL ) was added at once to a well stirred solution of crude 2.8:1 mixture of (-)-14a and $(+) \mathbf{- 1 4 a}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux and progress was monitored by TLC and, if necessary, additional Raney nickel was added until reaction was complete (typically 3-4 h). The supernatant was filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the residue was extracted by suspension in MeOH and heating under reflux for several minutes. This process was repeated with methanol and once with a $1: 1$ mixture acetone and dichloromethane. The combined filtrates were concentrated to give a crude 2.8:1 mixture (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ) of $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ and 15b, respectively, as a clear oil ( $88 \mathrm{mg},>90 \%$ pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). This mixture was used in the next step without further purification. Pure samples of $(-)-\mathbf{1 5 a}$ and $(+)-\mathbf{1 5 b}$ were obtained after fractionation of the crude products from similar reactions with single diastereromers of 14.

Data for ( + )-15a:
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+6$, c 1.2, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} ;$
IR $v_{\max } 3479 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 4.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 4.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HO}), 3.93$ ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}^{\prime} \mathbf{6}^{\prime}\right), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4$ '), 3.65-3.57 (4H, m, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2\right), 3.53-3.43\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 2\right), 2.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtCC}-2), 2.04(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dq}, J=7.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtCC}-2), 1.98-1.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ ", HC-2, HC-4), 1.84-1.72 (2H, m, HC-5', EtCC-1"), 1.58 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtCC}-1$ "), 1.36 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2^{\prime}$ ), 1.30 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2^{\prime}$ ), $1.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2\right), 1.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}\right), 1.08$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5\right.$ '), $1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtCC}-2), 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, EtCC-1"), 0.66 (3H, d, $\left.J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-4\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 115.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-2\right), 113.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ "), 99.7 (s, C-2'), 80.2 (d, C-4'), 74.9 (d, C-3), 74.5 (d, C-6'), $65.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.6\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $65.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 42.7$ (d, C-1" or C-2), 42.4 (d, C-1" or C-2), 38.6 (d, C-4), 35.2 (d, C-5'), 30.4 (q, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 28.0$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{EtCC}-2$ ), 26.6 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{EtCC}-1$ "), $19.8\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2\right.$ '), $12.6\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\right.$ $\left.1^{\prime \prime}\right), 12.1$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-5$ ), 8.9 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 8.0 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{EtCC}-2$ ), 7.5 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{EtCC}-1$ '), 6.5 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5$ '); LRMS (CI, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) m/z (relative intensity): 445 ([M+1] ${ }^{+}, 4$ ), 325 (6), 257 (5), 131 (10), 120 (9), 101 (100), 84 (11); HRMS (CI, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7}: 445.3165(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; found: 445.3158 .

Data for (-)-15b:

$$
[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-10, c 0.69, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} ; \text { IR } v_{\max } 3524 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 4.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3), 3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2$, 6.5 Hz, HC-6'), $3.80\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4{ }^{\prime}\right), 3.53-3.43\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 4\right), 2.93$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HO}), 2.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=1.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, J=6,9.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4)$, $1.99\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=6.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1{ }^{\prime}\right), 1.86-1.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-5 '$, EtCC-1"), 1.77-1.70 (2H, ap q, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtCC}-2), 1.62\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtCC}-1\right.$ '), $1.45\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2^{\prime}\right)$, $1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2^{\prime}\right), 1.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-1^{\prime \prime}\right), 1.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2\right)$, $1.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-5 '\right), 1.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-4\right), 0.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, EtCC-1"), 0.95 (3H, t, J=7.5 Hz, EtCC-2);
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 115.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-2\right), 114.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}\right), 99.2(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ '), 77.3 (d, C-4'), $74.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\right), 72.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-3), 65.64\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.60\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $\left.65.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}\right), 42.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-2), 39.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-4), 35.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-5)^{\prime}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\right.$ 2'), 28.3 (t, EtCC-2), 26.6 (t, EtCC-1"), 20.1 (q, CH3 C-2'), 12.7 ( $q, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1$ '), 11.5 (q, C5), 9.5 (q, C-1), 8.7 (q, EtCC-2), 7.5 (q, EtCC-1"), 6.5 (q, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5$ ');

LRMS (CI, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) m/z (relative intensity): 445 ([M+1] ${ }^{+}$, 13), 325 (28), 257 (14), 245 (11), 227 (12), 131 (30), 101 (100); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7}: 445.3165$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; found: 445.3150.
(2R,4S)-2-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-4-\{(4S,5S,6R)-6-[(S)-1-(2-ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl]-2,2,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl\}pentan-3-one ((+)-16a) and (2S,4S)-2-(2-Ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-4-\{(4S,5S,6R)-6-[(S)-1-(2-ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl]-2,2,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl\}pentan-3-one ((-)-16b).


DMP ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of the crude 2.8:1 mixture of 15a and $\mathbf{1 5 b}(88 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 10 min , the mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed sequentially with a $1: 1$ mixture of $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and sat. aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous washings were extracted with ethyl acetate and the combine organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by FCC ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a $2.8: 1$ mixture (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) of $\mathbf{1 6 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 b}$, respectively, as a white solid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ from $\mathbf{1 3}$ over three steps). Pure samples of $(+) \mathbf{- 1 6 a}$ and $(-)-\mathbf{1 6 b}$ were obtained from similar reactions with single diastereromers of 15.

Data for (+)-16a:
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+140$, c 1.6, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} ;$
IR $v_{\text {max }} 1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 4.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4 \mathrm{l}), 3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2,6.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HC}^{\prime} 6^{\prime}\right), 3.64\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 0.5\right), 3.53-3.42\left(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 3.5\right), 3.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ "), $1.86-1.73\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-5 ', \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} \times 1.5\right), 1.55\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=14,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} \times 0.5\right), 1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2^{\prime}\right), 1.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1\right), 1.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-2^{\prime}\right), 1.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}\right), 1.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-5\right.$ '), $1.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5\right), 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.90\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 216.1(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-3), 113.9(\mathrm{~s}), 113.2(\mathrm{~s}), 99.3(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{l}), 79.6(\mathrm{~d}$, C-4'), 74.3 (d, C-6'), $65.8\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 55.6$ (d, C-2), 49.7 (d, C-4), 42.7 (d, C-1"), 34.3 (d, C-5'), $30.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 27.9(\mathrm{t}), 26.7(\mathrm{t})$, 19.7 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ ), 12.7 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-1$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1$ '), 12.6 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-1$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1$ "), 11.8 (q, C-5), 7.6 (q), 7.5 (q), $6.3\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5\right.$ ');

LRMS (CI, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) m/z (relative intensity): 443 ([M+1] ${ }^{+}, 1$ ), 427 (2), 101 (100); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{7}: 443.3009(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; found: 443.3009.

Data for (-)-16b:
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-34, c 0.24, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$; IR $v_{\max } 1719 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left.\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4)^{\prime}\right), 4.02-3.89(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \times 4\right), 3.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-6$ '), $3.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 2.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}$, $J=9,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-4), 1.89\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=5.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}\right), 1.80-1.66\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CCC}-2\right.$, EtCC-1"), 1.62-1.50 (2H, m, HC-5', EtCC-1"), 1.36 (3H, s, H3CC-2'), 1.27 (3H, s, H3CC$\left.2^{\prime}\right), 1.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1\right), 0.97\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-1\right.$ '), $0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, J=7$
$\left.\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5\right), 0.90\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-5\right)^{\prime}\right), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtCC}-2), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtCC}-1 \mathrm{l})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 214.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-3), 113.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ " $), 112.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-2\right)$, 100.0 (s, C-2'), 75.6 (d, C-4'), 73.6 (d, C-6'), 65.8 (t, CH2O), 65.6 (t, CH2O), 65.4 (t, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 52.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-2), 47.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-4), 41.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{l}), 33.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-5{ }^{\prime}\right), 30.0(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 29.2$ (t, EtCC-2), 26.0 (t, EtCC-1"), 19.5 (q, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ ), 12.5 (q, C-5), 12.1 (q, $\mathrm{C}-1$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1$ "), 12.0 (q, C-1 or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-1$ "), 7.8 (q, EtCC-2), 7.1 (q, EtCC-1"), 5.8 (q, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-5$ ');

LRMS $\left(\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity): 443 ([M+1] ${ }^{+}, 2$ ), 101 (100); HRMS (CI, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) .m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{7}: 443.3009(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; found: 443.3008.
(2S,3S)-6-Ethyl-2,3-dihydro-2-[(1R,2R,3S)-2-hydroxy-1,3-dimethyl-4-oxohexyl]-3,5-dimethyl-4H-pyran-4-one ((-)-8)

p-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred of a 2.8:1 mixture of $\mathbf{1 6 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 b}(11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ and in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. After 10 min , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed sequentially with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by PTLC (30\% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give (-)-8 as a colorless oil (3 mg, 38\%) ([ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-$ $130, c 0.55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ;$ lit. $^{31}-114$, c $\left.0.48, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Spectroscopic data for (-)-8 were identical to that reported by Sampson and Perkins.

IR $v_{\text {max }} 3407,1717,1653,1604 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ddd}, J=2,4.5,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2$ ), $3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $2,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-2), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HO}), 2.78\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=4,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-3^{\prime}\right), 2.64-$ 2.26 (5H, m, HC-3, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-5$ ', $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CC}-6$ ), 1.85-1.78 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{HC}-1$ '), 1.73 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-5$ ), $1.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-3^{\prime}\right), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{EtC}-6), 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-1^{\prime}\right), 1.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\right), 1.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-3\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 216.0$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{l}$ ), 195.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4$ ), 172.0 (s, C-6), 108.9 (s, C5), 84.3 (d, C-2), 73.2 (d, C-2'), 47.2 (d, C-3'), 40.5 (d, C-3), 36.6 (d, C-1'), 35.3 (t, C-5'), 25.7 (t, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}-6\right), 11.2(\mathrm{q}), 10.9(\mathrm{q}), 9.6(\mathrm{q}), 9.5(\mathrm{q}), 8.4(\mathrm{q}), 7.9(\mathrm{q}) ;$

LRMS (EI) m/z (relative intensity): 296 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 4$ ), 155 (58), 153 (47), 142 (31), 137 (23), 113 (100), 109 (22), 86 (26), 69 (20), 57 (52); HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 296.1988; found: 296.1981.
(6S,7S, $8 S, 9 R, 10 S$ )-membrenone B ((-)-ent-2).

(-)-ent-2
The procedure was according to Sampson and Perkins. ${ }^{31}$ Pyridine ( $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ and propionyl chloride ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sequentially added to a stirred solution of (-)$8(5.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature under argon. After 1.5 h, the reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with sequentially with aqueous citric
acid $(2 \mathrm{M})$ and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and fractionated by PTLC ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the (-)-ent-2 as a white solid ( $5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) Spectroscopic and chiroptical data for (-)-ent-8 were identical to that previously reported: ${ }^{31,32}$
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-50, c 0.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{31}-44, ~ c ~ 0.68, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ );
CD curve $\left(1.1 \mathrm{mM}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)[\theta]_{301}+7300,[\theta]_{269}-17,000,[\theta]_{269} /[\theta]_{301}=2.3\left(\right.$ lit.: ${ }^{31} 1 \mathrm{mM}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:[\theta]_{300}+6613,[\theta]_{267}-15,438,[\theta]_{267} /[\theta]_{300}=2.3$ );

IR $v_{\max } 1738,1722,1662,1619 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2,13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=3.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=17.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=13.5,7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.41-2.30(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99$ (3H, d, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ );
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 211.5,194.7,174.0,172.5,108.9,82.5,74.0,46.9,40.4$, $36.1,34.8,27.8,25.8,11.3,9.8,9.6,9.5,9.4,9.3,8.0 ;$

LRMS (EI) m/z (relative intensity): 352 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, 12), 278 (11), 193 (12), 153 (52), 137 (100), 113 (42), 109 (50), 57 (62); HRMS (EI), $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5}: 352.2250$; found: 352.2254 .
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## 7. Conclusions

### 7.1 General summary and conclusions

The synthesis of polypropionates continues to be a major challenge to synthetic chemists due to their stereochemical complexity. Among the various strategies devised, the aldol reaction has found numerous applications in polypropionate natural products synthesis. While acyclic precursors have been widely utilized, cyclic sulfides (in particular thiopyran templates) have found limited applications in polypropionates synthesis despite several inherent advantages over their acyclic counterparts.

The Ward laboratory described a general approach for polypropionate synthesis via aldol couplings of tetrahydro- 4 H -thiopyran-4-one (1) derivatives followed by desulfurization. This so-called thiopyran route to polypropionates involves stepwise iterative aldol homologations of $\mathbf{1}$ with thiopyran aldehyde $\mathbf{9}$ as conduit to rapidly assemble stereochemically complex polypropionate synthons in only a few steps. As elaborated in chapter one, thiopyran templates offer several advantages such as rapid access to starting materials (starting materials $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ are both prepared in multi-gram scale from hydrogen sulfide and methyl acrylate), stereochemical control of chemical operations (aldol stereoselectivity), and ready desymmetrization. My contributions to the thiopyran route to polypropionates are summarized below.

Initially, I established conditions for proline-catalyzed enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reactions of ketone $\mathbf{1}$ with various achiral aldehydes to give anti adducts with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities in moderate to excellent yields. The proline-catalyzed aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with aldehydes substantially improved in yields and stereoselectivities upon addition of water. The beneficial effect of water was also
independently disclosed by Pihko and coworkers. ${ }^{1}$ In our case, best results were obtained in DMF and water with aromatic aldehydes whereas dry DMSO was generally superior with the aliphatic aldehydes. Desulfurization of the aldol adducts or the derived diols gave products equivalent to those that would be obtained from 3-pentanone, a ketone that is unreactive under the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction conditions (Scheme 7.1, cf. Chapter 2).


Scheme 7.1: Proline-catalyzed asymmetric aldol reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with achiral aldehydes

Under similar conditions, we were also able to effect the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with racemic aldehyde ( $\pm$ )-9 affording tetrapropionate synthon 10 in high diastereo- and enantioselectivity. The role of water was again found to be crucial to obtain high yield and stereoselectivity in the reaction. The remarkable stereoselectivity of this reaction was attributable to the combination of the high propensity for the addition to the aldehyde re face imposed by the (S)-proline catalyst together with the high Felkin diastereoface selectivity intrinsic to aldehyde 9 that resulted in a strong kinetic preference
for the "matched" reaction (i.e., high enantiotopic group selectivity). Because the prolinecatalyzed isomerization of the aldehyde $\mathbf{9}$ is much faster than the aldol, the reaction proceeds with dynamic kinetic resolution (Scheme 7.2, cf. Chapter 3).


Scheme 7.2: Enantioselective aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with ( $\pm$ )-9

A detailed study about the scope and limitations of this reaction using different catalysts, aldehydes, and ketones (cyclic and acyclic) was successfully conducted. Aldol reactions of ketone donors such as cyclohexanone, acetone, and 2-butanone with chiral aldehydes occurred in moderate to good yields and with excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivities (Scheme 7.3, cf. Chapter 4). In principle, chiral $\alpha$-substituted aldehydes possessing high intrinsic diastereoface selectivities will be potential candidates in the proline-catalyzed aldol reactions that should occur with high enantiotopic group selectivity. Upon careful assessment, the presence of the ketal group was found to be crucial again in dictating a high Felkin selectivity in aldehydes $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ as opposed to 18.




Scheme 7.3: Scope for the aldol reactions of 1 with racemic aldehydes

The objective to synthesize of tetrapropionate synthons 10 and 14 via an enantioselective aldol reaction using racemic aldehyde 9 instead of using enantiopure 9 was therefore accomplished. These reactions significantly extend the scope of the enantioselective direct intermolecular aldol reaction and constitute simple and efficient syntheses of functionalized oligopropionate synthons that should be useful for polypropionate synthesis.

A specific application of tetrapropionate synthon 10 was demonstrated in an efficient synthesis of serricornin [(4S,6S,7S)-7-hydroxy-4,6-dimethylnonan-3-one] (24), a sex pheromone produced by the female cigarette beetle (Lasioderma serricorne F.) in 7
steps from 9. The key steps include the enantioselective aldol reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathbf{9}$ catalyzed by 5-[(2S)-pyrrolidine-2-yl]-1H-tetrazole to fabricate the tetrapropionate skeleton, stereoselective $\mathrm{Li}^{5} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ reduction of the resulting aldol adduct, BartonMcCombie deoxygenation, and Raney nickel desulfurization (Scheme 7.4, cf. Chapter 5). Our synthesis is very competitive compared to other efficient syntheses of serricornin reported in the literature. The salient features of our approach are easy accessibility and inexpensive starting materials and the same strategy could be extended to afford each of the possible stereoisomers of 24.

Finally, (-)-membrenone B was synthesized in nine steps ( $10 \%$ overall yield) beginning with a two-directional aldol coupling of $\mathbf{1}$ with ( $\pm$ )-9. The first aldol reaction occurs with dynamic kinetic resolution to give 10 as a single adduct ( $>98 \%$ ee) which was therefore isomerized to 21 . The aldol reaction of 21 with ( $\pm$ )-9 is highly diastereoselective ( 3 of 8 possible adducts) and both major products were converted to membrenone B (22). It is also noteworthy that the entire 17 -carbon skeleton of 22 is derived from methyl acrylate as both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ are directly and efficiently prepared from this simple precursor (e.g. 6.5\% overall yield of (-)-22 from methyl acrylate in 13 steps). The route also constitutes a formal synthesis (-)-membrenone A (23) (Scheme 7.4, Chapter 6). Perkin reported the synthesis of 22 in $1.7 \%$ over 12 steps from 26, which is generally prepared from commercially available $25^{2}$ (CAN $\$ 2880 / \mathrm{mol}$, Aldrich) in three additional steps. Therefore, our route is more efficient ( $10 \%$ over 9 steps) starting from $\mathbf{1}$ and 9 both prepared from commercially available diester 27 (Scheme 7.4; CAN \$12/mol, Aldrich).


24 (-)-serricornin


Scheme 7.4: Synthesis of serricornin (24) and membrenones A (23) and B (22)

As a general conclusion, my objectives of designing a methodology to make nonracemic tetrapropionate synthons 10 and 21 via an organocatalytic approach using racemic 9 and their application to the synthesis of polypropionate natural products (-)serricornin (24) and (-)-membrenones B (22) and formal synthesis of (-)-membrenone A (23) were successfully achieved.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ The as, ss, sa and, aa labels refer to the syn (s) or anti (a) relative configurations at C-3,1' and C-1', $6^{\prime}$, respectively, in the diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 4}$ (e.g. 14as, Scheme 1.2 ) or derivatives (i.e. 135, see Scheme 1.23)

[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The like combination refers to the same absolute configurations at C-6' of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and 6 " of $\mathbf{1 3}$, while unlike refers to the opposite absolute configurations at C-6' of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $6^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Scheme 1.21).

[^2]:    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ The optical rotation was later suggested to have been misreported as described by Perkins. See section 1.7.4

[^3]:    ${ }^{\S}$ See section 1.7.4 for absolute configuration assignments of 147 and 148

[^4]:    * Corresponding author. Tel.: (306) 966-4656; fax: (306) 966-4730; e-mail: Dale.Ward@usask.ca

[^5]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for (+)-3b have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication number CCDC 247160. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [fax: $+44(0)-1223-336033$ or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk] (See appendix A).

[^6]:    ${ }^{* *}$ References from the manuscript and supporting information are both combined together.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ References from the manuscript and supporting information are both combined together.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ The experimental section of this manuscript is combined with the Supporting Information (Section 5.3)

[^9]:    ${ }^{\S \S}$ References from the manuscript and supporting information are both combined together.

[^10]:    *** The experimental section of the manuscript is combined with the Supporting Information (Section 6.3)

[^11]:    ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ References from the manuscript and supporting information are both combined together.

